INTERMEDIATE LANGUAGE STRUCTURE A METHOD FOR THE GENERATION OF A LANGUAGE FOR REPRESENTING SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION

INGER BIERSCHENK

GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET INSTITUTIONEN FÖR SPRÅKVETENSKAPLIG DATABEHANDLING 1980 INTERMEDIATE LANGUAGE STRUCTURE A METHOD FOR THE GENERATION OF A LANGUAGE FOR REPRESENTING SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION

intermediate function of the thesaurus has led to an investigation of the principles for structuring and representing information in such a way that it corresponds to the cognitive structure assumed to exist in the information searcher. The model of investigation of cognitive representation is based on overt manifestations of concepts and MAHOSABIG RABNI store as they emerge in the abstract language of titles of scientific documents. On the

basis of this language structure, an algorithm has been developed for coding the relevant concepts by means of prepositions. The relevance of the concepts is judged with respect to a schema model containing the main components Problem, Method and Goal, assumed to represe DNIJDNAHVA X21MADAXA ts of research itself.

för filosofie doktorsexamen i språkvetenskaplig databehandling, som kommer att försvaras offentligt fredagen den 26 september 1980 kl. 9.15 i sal H1 på företagsekonomiska institutionen, Vasagatan 3,

registers to be properly in

Deniriana

for the

result of the analysis is thus that the intermediciprodetöd structure of scientific titles displays a degree of abstractness suitable or automatic concept extraction, provided that an information or cognition-oriented approach is employed in order

algorithmic coding, compriational linguistics, concept

Anslagen på universitetets anslagstavla 1980-09-05, intyga i tjänsten

Björn Eriksson

GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET INSTITUTIONEN FÖR SPRÅKVETENSKAPLIG DATABEHANDLING

INTERMEDIATE LANGUAGE STRUCTURE

A METHOD FOR THE GENERATION OF A LANGUAGE FOR REPRESENTING SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION

INGER BIERSCHENK

as algorithe generates [200 coace[is corresponding to the compomarks, and assigns then to different data registers. An important result of the analysis is that that this intermediate language into these of orightifts bitles displays a desire of abaktman sees. An include that an inconstant of cognition-orighted approach is amployed in order it is data registers to be properly into proceed?

interview = acquirteners = substitutes, concept concept = substitutes, detailsed, information science, representation isotoge, structural theorys s, tool processing, lost repretorization.

GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET INSTITUTIONEN FÖR SPRÅKVETENSKAPLIG DATABEHANDLING 1980

Abstract

In modern information and documentation systems, the thesaurus has the important function of serving as a link between the author of a document and the information searcher. For this reason, the linguistic structure of thesauri should receive more attention than has been the case so far. In this study, the intermediate function of the thesaurus has led to an investigation of the principles for structuring and representing information in such a way that it corresponds to the cognitive structure assumed to exist in the information searcher. The model of investigation of cognitive representation is based on overt manifestations of concepts and conceptual relations as they emerge in the abstract language of titles of scientific documents. On the basis of this language structure, an algorithm has been developed for coding the relevant concepts by means of prepositions. The relevance of the concepts is judged with respect to a schema model containing the main components Problem, Method and Goal, assumed to represent the basic components of research itself. When applied to scientific titles, the assumption is verified. The algorithm generates the concepts corresponding to the components, and assigns them to different data registers. An important result of the analysis is thus that the intermediate language structure of scientific titles displays a degree of abstractness suitable for automatic concept extraction, provided that an information- or cognition-oriented approach is employed in order for the data registers to be properly interpreted.

Key words: algorithmic coding, computational linguistics, concept recognition, empirical database, information science, representation language, structural analysis, text processing, text representation.

II

CONTENTS

			Page				
Ack	nowle	dgements	2				
1.	PROBLEMS AND AIMS						
2.	STRUCTURING PRINCIPLES						
	2.1	Hierarchies	10				
	2.2	Facets	12				
	2.3	Structural relations	15				
	2.4	Networks	17				
	2.5	Schemas	19				
3.	MODELS OF REPRESENTATION						
	3.1	Intermediate languages	23				
	3.2	The thesaurus as a means of communication	27				
	3.3	Degree of structuring in thesauri	29				
4.	A METHOD OF GENERATION BASED ON FUNCTIONAL RELATION						
	4.1	Starting points for the construction of a model	36				
	4.2	Presentation of the model	41				
	4.3	Operationalization of the model	47				
5.	STRUCTURES IN A REPRESENTATIVE DATA BASE						
	5.1	Organization of the data base	59				
	5.2	Patterns in the titles	62				
	5.3	Degree of differentiation as a function of type	69				
6.	CONCEPTS IN FUNCTIONALLY RELATED REGISTERS						
	6.1	Coding of functional relations	73				
	6.2	Intermediate language functions	83				
	6.3	Generated registers	92				
7.	CONCLUSTONS						
8.	SIIMMARY						
9	REFERENCES						

III

he knowledgere * 15

The research properted here has been carried out within full of ject "information and Documentation" at the Dopartment of Sector tional and Psychological Research, University of Sand - Makelo Sector; and his received floancial support from the Sector that of Floration.

in selmö, I have received substantial assistance and support from received substantial assistance and support from receiveders elsewhere. Therefore. I would like to take this opportunity to express an inteblement to the following persons.

Professor Sture Allén et the Department of Computational Libguistics, University of Scheberg, her played as important role in the development of this thesis. I wish of thank him for his constructive criticises and him varuable suggestions for hig conments, as well as for making is possible for me to discuss the vorx presented here in seminars on computational linguistics. I an convinced that without his interest to any work, my plans and the completion of by study could have materialized only with considerable difficulty.

bave also pad the opportunity to discuss by thesis from the point of view of cognitive psychology with fr. Michael Katzko at the Center for Advanced Study in Theoretical Psychology, at the University of Alberta in Somenico, Canada. I would like to them him for valuable office concerning some theoretical aspects of my work?

The translation of the proposed algorithm into a workable computer program was called out by Pill Kand, Agneta Sternerup-Manason at the Computing Centre of the University of Lund - Mainto, I am grateful for the interest she has shown and for the many valuable suggestions she has made during the development of the analysis.

To Bernhard

Dr. Solve Whitender at the Chyline department, University of Gotebors, has kindly revised the Chyline manascript with respect to proper wording and stylistic appears. This to thank him for his discorning reading of my chilab.

Acknowledgements

The research presented here has been carried out within the project "Information and Documentation" at the Department of Educational and Psychological Research, University of Lund - Malmö, Sweden, and has received financial support from the Swedish Board of Education.

Although the main phases of this research have been performed in Malmö, I have received substantial assistance and support from researchers elsewhere. Therefore, I would like to take this opportunity to express my indebtedness to the following persons:

Professor Sture Allén at the Department of Computational Linguistics, University of Göteborg, has played an important role in the development of this thesis. I wish to thank him for his constructive criticisms and his valuable suggestions for improvements, as well as for making it possible for me to discuss the work presented here in seminars on computational linguistics. I am convinced that without his interest in my work, my plans and the completion of my study could have materialized only with considerable difficulty.

I have also had the opportunity to discuss my thesis from the point of view of cognitive psychology with Dr. Michael Katzko at the Center for Advanced Study in Theoretical Psychology, at the University of Alberta in Edmonton, Canada. I would like to thank him for valuable advice concerning some theoretical aspects of my work.

The translation of the proposed algorithm into a workable computer program was carried out by Fil. Kand. Agneta Sternerup-Hansson at the Computing Centre of the University of Lund - Malmö. I am grateful for the interest she has shown and for the many valuable suggestions she has made during the development of the analysis.

In computational linguistics, success is greatly dependent on the proper transfer of data. I wish to thank Mrs. Ingegerd Johansson for her extensive work transferring the material on to magnetic tape.

Dr. Sölve Ohlander at the English Department, University of Göteborg, has kindly revised the English manuscript with respect to proper wording and stylistic aspects. I wish to thank him for his discerning reading of my English.

The typing of several Swedish versions has been carried out by Mrs. Karin Dahlberg, Malmö, while Mrs. Birgitta Barenkob, Göteborg, has typed the English version. Further, Mrs. Alice Hansson, Malmö, and Mr. Lennart Tegner, Göteborg, have been helpful in the reproduction process. My thanks go to all of them for their care and thoroughness.

Last but not least I would like to express my deep gratitude to my scientific inspirer, Bernhard Bierschenk, who is also my husband. He introduced me to the problem field with which this thesis is concerned. The ample opportunities I have had, over the years, of discussing various research problems with him, both on formal and informal occasions, have been an invaluable asset in my work. With these experiences alive in my memory, I dedicate this study to him.

Inger Bierschenk

multiplicity of measured, descriptions, should als dissectanced siluat the conjugades is having access to fire decomparts there serves. This characterize creates an often well-grounded anothtainty should the test existence of a deciment. Another barrier is this eduanced technical systems have deen pullt up as a nornecting link interact the incompation set when and the information potentially available in the user of the logic on which the programs are based. Another completening factor is that the "troublecome" clusters are not the origin of the systems of a desirable provide corrections for the logic on which the programs are based. Another completening factor is that the "troublecome" clusters are not fibe of charge; further, the systems of a desirable provide corrections is "interactive consulting via natural language", developed by Shapiro's Evany (1975). Large groups of provide have been developed which was handle document descriptions of instance. A third barrier is that as not no complete programs have been developed which was handle document descriptions of instances in programs and the languages. Such programs would be of great help in the program. accession concerning matters of instances help in the program.

For some time It has been claimed that modern society is char-

1. PROBLEMS AND AIMS

From the point of view of information technology, society today is characterized by a high level of development. New information and documentation systems (I & D systems) have been, and are being, devised in many places around the world. But the effects of this development vary considerably. A case in point is the phenomenon which may be called "information frustration". Information, the first part of this compound, refers to the meaning an individual abstracts from data. Data are characterized by physical existence in the sense that they can be counted, measured, and classified. The second part of the compound refers to a psychological phenomenon connected with the barriers that have emerged between the information searcher and the goal of the search, namely to get access to the information contained in the documents.

One of the more serious barriers in this connection is the multiplicity of document descriptions, which are disseminated without the individual's having access to the documents themselves. This circumstance creates an often well-grounded uncertainty about the real existence of a document. Another barrier is that advanced technical systems have been built up as a connecting link between the information searcher and the information potentially available. The user is often afraid of the machines, and he is not always aware of the logic on which the programs are based. Another complicating factor is that the "troublesome" routines are not free of charge; further, the systems usually do not provide user-oriented tutoring functions. An example of a desirable guiding program is "Interactive consulting via natural language", developed by Shapiro & Kwasny (1975). Large groups of potential users are in effect prevented access to such information as is absolutely necessary for the development and management of information. A third barrier is that as yet no computer programs have been developed which can handle document descriptions presented in several different languages. Such programs would be of great help in international cooperation concerning matters of I & D for different subject areas.

For some time it has been claimed that modern society is char-

acterized by information overflow and information explosion (Price, 1963; Anderla, 1973). Such claims, however, cannot be fully accepted, since objections may be raised against Price's global methods and overgeneralizations (see Gilbert, 1978; B. Bierschenk, 1979). Moreover, several studies have shown that many professional categories requiring information, such as researchers and teachers (B. Bierschenk, 1974; Jernryd, 1976; 1978), seem to be suffering from a considerable lack of information.

To set things right, increased research on the basic mechanisms at work in information selection, storage and retrieval is required. Increased communication is no solution to the problem. There is a need for greater insights into and a better understanding of how information can be made available, enabling the individual to adapt it to the cognitive structures characterizing the models which govern his search for information. In the present work the available information consists of so-called "non fugitive information", i. e. the kind of information that has been documented. A *document* may then be defined as

a written or printed record, being the definite proof that information exists.

Thus documentation means, among other things, the supplying of documents. Libraries traditionally supply documents. But when it comes to the computer-based I & D systems, the situation is different. They traditionally supply only document descriptions or references to documents. Thus documentation has also come to imply the organization and representation of document descriptions.

Against this background the concept of *data base*, in connection with information and documentation, may be defined as

a set of data which is part of another set of data (documents) and which consists of at least one register, which is organized in such a way that its structure is suited for a precise description of the documents of which the first set of data is a part.

On the basis of this definition, *register* refers to a list of specified data, whose purpose is to describe a document. The possibility of retrieving meaningful information from a data base is determined by the way in which the documents are described and how these descriptions are structured in the registers. The descriptions constitute representations of physical documents. The basic problem for every modern I & D system is to

find the formats of representation most adequate to its different goals, and to develop inference mechanisms in order to make for comprehension of stored information.

One format of representation may be a purely bibliographic description. Examples of bibliographic elements are "name of author", "co-author", "title", or "name of journal". Another kind of representation may be "outward" characteristics, such as "colour of cover", "layout", "binding", or "general condition" of a document. A system may also need to discriminate between types of documents, i.e. books as distinct from non-book material, a way of characterization which has caused trouble all over the world, partly because the borderlines between what should and what should not be regarded as books have become increasingly blurred due to new composing and copying techniques.

All these ways of characterizing a document may be said to be descriptive. Many information systems are based on an organization of such descriptive data about documents, and the retrieval from a search in such data bases should, therefore, be called document retrieval.

The use of the term "information retrieval" presupposes that the representation is a result of some form of *analysis* of what a document is intended to communicate. This process is referred to by many terms, some of which are "content analysis", "content detection" and "making judgement of aboutness" (see e.g. Fairthorne's discussion in the Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1969).

A document description based on content analysis adds a cognitive dimension to the I & D system which, in turn, may have different representational levels. The analysis may be represented by means of some keywords (or descriptors) being added to the document description, relating the document to a certain conceptual structure. *Keyword* is a word or a term which is assigned to the document from the document itself for indexing purposes. A *descriptor* is a main term or phrase which, for the same purposes, is drawn from a thesaurus (see below). In information and documentation *word* is defined as being a string of characters, while *term* refers to a word or phrase designating a *concept*. (The definitions in connection with this subject field are taken from Wersig & Neveling, 1976.)

Both keywords and descriptors may be assigned to documents on the basis of title, list of contents or search in the text. Examples of higher levels are *abstracts*, which are a kind of compressed informative or indicative summaries, and *extracts*, which here are to be regarded primarily as selections of representative paragraphs. These document descriptions are usually provided not by the author himself, but by someone else.

In devising bibliographic descriptions it is convenient to follow some international standard, e.g. the American Psychological Association (APA). In general, the design of a bibliographic data base does not cause any difficulties when the level of structuring is low. Structuring based on content in documents, however, has raised many problems, since it is based on interpretation. The structuring principles within library science, for example, rely on philosophical thinking, as manifested in a classification system. Information is then determined in such a way that the description of a document is adapted to the structure that characterizes the classification systems. Examples of such systems are the SAB (Sveriges Allmänna Biblioteksförening / The General Library Society of Sweden) and the UDC (Universal Decimal Classification). However, in connection with computer-based I & D systems means of assistance have been developed in the form of the kind of structured dictionaries that are usually called thesauri. A thesaurus is characterized by an organized display of the relations which hold between terms and descriptors and which define these. No matter what organization form the designer of the system chooses, he has to see to it that information is made explicit. Thus the central problem of an information system is to find ways of representing information in such a way that the structure in which the author communicates it corresponds to the structure in which the reader perceives it or wishes it to be. Therefore, it is hardly possible to try to solve the so-called information problem without focusing on its cognitive aspects.

This general introduction to the field of information and documentation, terminology and computer-based systems and activities connected with it, contains the ideas which have governed the present attempt to tackle the information problem. To summarize, the aims of this study are:

 To present some basic principles governing the systematization of information.

These principles are outlined in Chapters 2 and 3.

- 2. To present a general model for re-cognition based on such components in titles as are derived from research on cognition, and to develop an algorithm capable of coding titles in accordance with the model.
- 3. To analyse and demonstrate the linguistic representation format of the model, and to show the extent to which regularities in language can be used in the communication of scientific information within a particular field of application.

This theoretical discussion is presented in Chapter 4.

- 4. To map structural relations in the linguistic representation format and to describe those structures quantitatively, and also to analyse the relationship between structures and types of documents. The results of the structural analysis are given in Chap-
- 5. To analyse and describe the components' conceptual foundation in a data base, and to indicate intermediate language functions.

ter 5.

6. To demonstrate the function of the registers in the data base and the relevance of their entries as a basis for a functionally oriented thesaurus in the field of education.

The presentation of these results is to be found in Chapter 6. In the last Chapter some concluding remarks are made.

The process of melection, storage and retrieval of documents and document descriptions at the hib/lographic level is no longer a technical problem. This level of representation will therefore not be further considered. This end, the intellectual toutines that are related to analysis and description of document content for information retrieval will be focused on.

There are authors who, from the standpoint of matural schemes, argue that progress is made through detection of fasts or through new ideas and evants (e.g. Price, 1965). From the point of the of social schemes however, it may be claimed that progress in to a greater extent made through new principles of organization, new theories, pew relationships (Trepacking of older information" according to Anderla, 1953, p. 126). It would hardly be realistic to believe that an I & D system would be able to survey the total

2. STRUCTURING PRINCIPLES

Communication processes are made possible by means of systems that are open with respect to information input. An information system designed to handle documents or document descriptions should have as its primary goal to provide an overview, as comprehensive as possible, of incoming information. Its fundamental purpose, therefore, should be to create order. A universal conception of the creation of order has guided library systems in the past and still does. With the computerization of library science the possibility arose to automatically sort and organize bibliographic indexes and catalogues (e.g. author indexes). Such so-called non-intellectual routines could be taken over by the machines. Difficulties arose, however, when it came to intellectual routines, such as indexing, i.e. the process that includes analysis and classification of documents. The need for automatic generation of subject indexes brought to the fore other than bibliographic problems. These circumstances, together with the highly increased output of scientific documents of different kinds, led to the establishment of a new discipline, namely information science, which, besides library science, involves systems theory, automatic text processing, linguistics, and computer science. A fairly good picture of the content of and development within this field is given in the Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, whose first issue appeared in 1966.

The process of selection, storage and retrieval of documents and document descriptions at the bibliographic level is no longer a technical problem. This level of representation will therefore not be further considered. Instead, the intellectual routines that are related to analysis and description of document content for information retrieval will be focused on.

There are authors who, from the standpoint of natural science, argue that progress is made through detection of facts or through new ideas and events (e.g. Price, 1963). From the point of view of social science however, it may be claimed that progress is to a greater extent made through new principles of organization, new theories, new relationships ("repacking of older information" according to Anderla, 1973, p 120). It would hardly be realistic to believe that an I & D system would be able to survey the total amount of information, especially since information is constantly changing. The adaptive properties of an I & D system, therefore, are reflected in its capacity to structure information in a flexible way. In the following section a short presentation of some principles for structuring of information will be given.

2.1 Hierarchies

For the purpose of collecting "all" documented information in libraries, the UDC (Universal Decimal Classification) was developed for the organization of book stocks on shelves. The starting point was Dewey's Decimal Classification (DC). The decimals are retained within UDC, and the literature is organized in ten main categories, designated by the numbers 0 - 9. The UDC differs from the DC by using more than one decimal.

The task of classification systems is to define the relationships between the single elements. The hierarchical structure that characterizes the UDC is similar to a tree structure with strict super- and subordination. *Rierarchy* is defined as a strict organizational system. By means of the ten main classes related subjects are grouped together, even though a strict ranking order in the subclasses is maintained. Consequently, restructuring is only possible through addition and expansion along the outer edges of the tree. On the other hand, the UDC system may more easily than other library systems be used for retrieval purposes (see Mølgaard-Hansen, 1968). In order to illustrate the hierarchy as a structuring principle, Box 1 shows the structure of General Linguistics as presented in the Swedish version of the UDC system

General Linguistics is classified directly under main class 4, i.e. "Linguistics, Philology". Class 41 indicates that the subject field is rather old. It may be compared with, e.g., Psychology, which is classified in main class 1 under "Philosophy", although as number 159.9 in the tree. This means that it is a subject field that has been added to the original structure at a later stage. Psychology, however, contains six times as many branches as Linguistics.

Box 1. Example of hierarchy: General Linguistics as structured by the Swedish version of the UDC.

41 General Linguistics 411 Orthographic rules. Correct spelling. Orthography Orthographic reform . 4 412 Word classes 413 Lexicology. Dictionaries . . 1 Words according to meaning. Semasiology Place names .11 Names of persons .13 Homonyms and synonyms .14 .163 Foreign words. Loanwords .164 Professional terms. Technical terms .2 Dictionaries classified according to different aspects -414 Phonetics. Phonology 415 Grammar .4 Etymology. Semantics. Semiotics .5 Morphology. Accidence. .6 Syntax 416 Metrics. Prosody Reference sciences. Hermeneutics. Exegesis. Textual 417 criticism 418 Original sources of Linguistics

The assignment of a document's place in a classification system depends on the preciseness of the indexing, i.e. the assignment of keywords or descriptors to the document. A way to avoid subjectivity in making decisions is to use a controlled terminology. Such a terminology for document description has been developed in computer-based I & D systems for the purpose of representing concepts and conceptual relations. Regardless of what principles may govern the structuring of a subject field, the structure employed is represented in a thesaurus. The thesaurus is an aid in

both indexing and information search. The best-known thesaurus in the field of education is that developed by ERIC (Educational Resources Information Center). The Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors (1975) is in principle hierarchically structured. Three types of function terms are used for structuring the vocabulary. These types are, basically, USE ("see or use") and UF ("used for"); BT ("broader term") and NT ("narrower term"); RT ("related term"). The terms in the first group have a controlling function. By USE is indicated which term is the more correct one (used by professionals), whereas UF indicates which term has been used earlier in designating the same particular field. Thus the UF function admits retrospection, i.e. contact with the historical development is maintained.

The descriptors are structured by means of the hierarchical relations BT and NT. The importance of these links is that the user can let the built-in hierarchies guide his search. The possibility of relating different hierarchies is provided by the RT function. There is also a possibility of updating, i.e. additional descriptors may be assigned to the system, depicting the progress of the subject field.

2.2 Facets

The concept of *facet* refers to an aspect of a document, a subject, and so on. In an analysis of facets a complex subject field is decomposed into as many aspects as possible. One of the oldest philosophical classification systems is Ranganathan's "tree of knowledge", in which the world is described by means of the five facets "Space", "Matter", "Economy", "Time", and "Personality". Ranganathan's (1964) Colon Classification has given rise to several thesauri, one of the better-known of which is the Thesaurofacet, which classifies electrotechnical engineering and related fields. This thesaurus is structured in "fundamental facets", "sub facets" and "hierarchies" (see Aitchison, 1970).

One type of facet classification within the field of information and documentation is to be found in Terminology of Documentation (Wersig & Neveling, 1976), published by UNESCO. In comparison with the ERIC Thesaurus, it may be noted that the Terminology of Documentation uses the same function terms, i.e. BT, NT and RT,

according to the same principles as in ERIC. There is also a function named OT ("opposite term"). Since this thesaurus is not linked to an information search system (data base), it has no reference terms. The Terminology of Documentation is an attempt to standardize terminology within the I & D field in the English, German, French, Spanish and Russian languages. For the classification three aims have been deemed important (p 12), namely (1) to connect terms from a certain area of a given subject field, e.g. terms relating to punch card systems; (2) to connect terms belonging to the same facet of a given subject field, e.g. terms denoting special systems; and (3) to avoid an excessive number of terms in each class. Besides facet classifications, definitions are also included. The terms (60 per group, at most) are placed under five faceted main headings: (1) "Basic aspects of information and documentation", (2) "Documents", (3) "The activities in information and documentation", (4) "Systems in information and documentation", and (5) "Organizations and professions in information and documentation".

For the purpose of connecting this presentation with the field of education, the facet principle will be illustrated with an example from the EUDISED's (1973) Multilingual Thesaurus, which has a fully realized, albeit crude, facet classification and which, therefore, constitutes further development of the ERIC Thesaurus. The EUDISED Thesaurus is divided into 20 main facets. One of them is called "Documentation" and consists of two subfacets, namely "Information, Service" and "Index, Bibliography". Under the latter are ordered eight facets, the second of which contains ten subfacets from which "Thesaurus" is chosen as an example (Box 2).

"Thesaurus" is, among other things, related to "vocabulary". A search for this term leads to the main facet "Literacy". The terms related to "Vocabulary" show some new terms compared with the "Thesaurus" facet, i.e. some variants of the term "Word" ("Word frequency", "Word list"). This is an example of the possibility within the faceted structure of relating terms horisontally compared with vertical relations expressed by hierarchies (Box 1).

Box 2. Example of a facet: "Thesaurus" from the EUDISED classification

Thesaurus Vocabulary BT: Reference material RT: Dictionary RT: Lexicology Lexicology Terminology Semantics Thesaurus Terminology Word Vocabulary Word frequency Word list

BT = Broader term, RT = Related term

Artandi (1970) provides a summary of research and theories in classification. She exemplifies a classification of the behavioural sciences by a proposal made by Altmann & Riessler: "Unit of study", "Dynamic-static properties of units", "Energy", "Transformation processes", "Intensity", "Distribution in time", "Distribution in space", and "Ecological setting".

The best-known example of language facets is probably Roget's Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases, which was first published more than a century ago. It is structured according to six main facets: "Abstract relations", "Space", "Matter", "Intellect", "Volition", and "Affections". These in turn, are subdivided into about twenty subfacets (see Browning, 1971).

Different subject fields show different facet structure. Moreover, one and the same field may be differently faceted, depending on the classifier's view of the world. In spite of such obvious difficulties in the work on a universal classification system, the search for such a system has not ceased in library science (cf, Richmond, 1972).

In Box 3 the main characteristics of indexing and shusing a coording to the PRECIS system are presented.

2.3 Structural relations

Classification systems, whether structured in hierarchies or in facets, may be regarded as semantic in the sense that they organize concepts according to generic relationships, synonymy, antonymy, and so on. The concepts refer to relations between words considered independently of context. In this connection, therefore, it would be possible to distinguish between semantic and structural relations, where *structural* means context-dependent.

Analysing the content of document on the basis of its structural relations entails the advantage that the choice of keywords is restricted to a system of rules which may, to a great extent, increase the reliability between indexers in the document description process. The most obvious advantage, however, is that the document's own structuring of its content is represented. A classification is deductively imposed on a document, while analysis of structure admits an inductive generation of concepts by which their meaning is defined through their structural relations. This type of analysis is also known as "concept analysis".

One of the better-known adaptations of concept analysis in information science is the PRECIS system (*PRE*served *C*ontext *I*ndex *Sys*tem). It has been used at the British National Bibliography (BNB) since 1971 (Wellisch, 1977). During 1978 it was introduced in Swedish libraries. The different principles characterizing PRECIS (cf. Austin, 1977) may be outlined as follows.

A typical PRECIS entry consists of a "heading" composed of a "lead" and its "qualifier" plus a "display". Cross references are possible in that more than one concept in an entry may become a "lead". This is done through a rotation mechanism called "shunting". The structural relationships are preserved by a numerical and alphabetical code system. These operators define roles and links at different levels. The first level places the document in a context (e.g. geographic area) and determines to which observed system (subject field) the concept belongs. The second level accounts for the relevant data. This is followed by syntactic and semantic codes, which serve to localize the positions of the concepts, e.g. in a title, and which also function as keys to the original structure.

In Box 3 the main characteristics of indexing and shunting according to the PRECIS system are presented.

Box 3. Examples of structural relations: PRECIS indexing

Index string: Role operators: (0) United States (0) Location (1) aircraft industries (1) Key system (p) personnel \$h unskilled (p) Part/property (2) training \$i in-service (2) Action \$v by \$w of (3) foremen (3) Agent \$h non-lead direct difference \$i lead direct difference \$v downward reading \$w upward reading Entries: Aircraft industries. United States. Unskilled personnel. In-service training by foremen. Personnel. Aircraft industries. United States Unskilled personnel. In-service training by foremen. Training. Unskilled personnel. Aircraft industries. United States. In-service training by foremen. In-service training. Unskilled personnel. Aircraft industries. United States. By foremen.

2

The operators indicate that the system is to a large extent based on "roles". An indication of transitivity is made explicit through the \$v and \$w operators. "\$v by" thus means that the agent is to be found "downwards" (foremen). A good deal of thinking in terms of a classification scheme remains, however, probably due to the fact that the system was developed mainly for libraries (the processing of cards). Instead of "personnel" being categorized as "object" in the above example it is represented as a part or a property. But thinking in terms of roles has contributed to document analysis in that the aims of a document, which are not always made explicit in a title, can be (manually) indexed. The example given in Box 3 would probably be the result of an indexing of (in the most explicit case) a title like "Inservice training of unskilled personnel in the American aircraft industries". The agent "foremen" has been retrieved from some other place in the document.

2.4 Networks

The structures described as networks in connection with information science may be regarded as relational systems based on associations. With respect to the associationistic principle the networks have a psycholinguistic foundation. For the purpose of developing models for storing information (memory structure) several simulation programs have been constructed. Attempts have been made to build in a capacity for answering questions, asked from the point of view of one frame of reference, by using information from another frame of reference. Each frame of reference is a network connected to other frames through "associative links". Quillian's (1968) suggestion for the structuring and representation of "semantic memory" is usually considered the source of all further progress within this field. Some of his followers deserving mention are Woods (1973), who has worked on "transition networks", Simmons (1973) as a representative of the "question answering" field, and Schank (1972), whose main contribution to "natural language understanding" is his analysis of "conceptual dependency". The various theoretical views are to be found primarily in the field of cognitive psychology. Another field that has focused on the development of a theoretical framework is artificial intelligence (AI). The main goal of AI is to develop mechanisms for logical deduction, i.e. the application of rules of inference to statements made in a formal language, whose semantics is well specified. These branches of science are mainly concerned with the development and study of organizations of memory. One attempt in this direction is an organization in the form of networks. (A more thorough discussion of this is presented in Chapter 3.) The network as a structuring principle is illustrated in Figure 1.

provide the basis for the constitute of concents of armer order. Thus the networks are associative problem they conclude the relationship between concepts and artribute likes and retreat h attribute list and another.



C¹: Concept of primary order Cⁿ: Concept of higher order E₁...E₂: Extensions (Attributes)

Figure 1. Example of a network structure

A network structure has the following characteristics. The representation is based on the meaning of the concepts and not on the explicit syntactic order between them. Quillian's memory model consists of nodes which are interconnected via associative links. Each node may be regarded as a concept which has been given a name (label). The node is an entry whose meaning is specified by the links. The direction of the links is dependent on the relationships between the concepts in a hierarchic system (directed graph). This system makes use of the language to specify different degrees of abstraction at which the concept of primary order defines the concept of higher order, and so on. Links from one subject label lead to the properties (attributes) that form the basis for the object label (extension). From the object labels links are then directed to the concept of primary order that was designated by the object label. The concepts of primary order provide the basis for the formation of concepts of higher order. Thus the networks are associative because they consider the relationship between concepts and attribute lists and between one attribute list and another.

There are many concrete proposals concerning the network model in the literature, one of which is given in Lindsay & Norman (1972, p 409). For example, the system should be able to answer the question "Does a canary breathe?" A search in the memory for the information needed to answer that question takes varying amounts of time, depending on the level of abstraction at which the information required is stored. If the label "canary" is not immediately associated with the property "to breath", it means that the label and the property are to be found at different levels in the network. "To breathe" is a general property characterizing a class of which "canary" is the label of a member. "Bird" is the primary concept, having, e.g., the properties "to fly", "feathers" and "wings". If a canary is found to be a "bird", this information implies that it is also an "animal", since "bird" is. "Animal" has the property "to breathe", which means that the answer to the question "Does a canary breathe?" is "yes". The time needed to answer that question is longer than would have been the case if the question had been worded "Is a canary yellow?" The information in the memory is stored at the same node, since "yellow" is a concrete description of the concrete object labelled "canary".

This kind of network operates synthetically. The process goes from bottom to top. The associative functioning implies, among other things, context-independent activation of the nodes. The goal of network researchers is to find out how the network should be structured and how many "semantic primitives" are required to include all the information to be represented. This means that the networks store an explicit structure between properties. But what this type of structure does not represent are hierarchical relations between concepts. Thus one cannot determine what kind of inferences can be drawn about the concepts in the networks.

2.5 Schema

By the mid 1970's the associative memory models began to be replaced by a structural approach, deriving from Bartlett's (1932) suggestion that the remembering process is schematic. In order to clarify the term "schema", some basic statements from Cofer's (1976) presentation of research on memory capacity will be summarized here.

The human capacity for remembering information depends on our capacity for coding it. These capabilities are most likely individual, based on the individual's strategies for processing information. The individual uses language in order to construct propositions which describe events that he has observed. The information expressed by these propositions is coded and stored in long-term memory. The observed events constitute experiences, so when one talks about different "worlds of experience", this indicates the assumption that individuals have acquired different representations of propositions. Such a set of propositions may be characterized by means of a schema. Thus a schema is a structural model in which the components have certain specific relationships to each other. Schemas require context. The coding and processing of an input, therefore, eliminate the need for activation "from the bottom" to attain a meaningful information structure, as is required in the network model. Instead, the schema model operates on an adaptive basis. The structure of a schema is the result of abstractions, i.e. a generalizable pattern, which excludes other patterns when activated in the information analysis process.

Several experiments on memory structure have shown that the syntactic form (the manifest level) of a sentence does not have any crucial importance for retention (see Greene, 1977). It is the semantic relations that are retained. These relations seem to be selected and transformed according to a model characterized by a "role" or a "case" structure (the latent level). Furthermore, Kintsch (1974) has pointed out that the verb determines the extent to which sentences are confused in a so-called "recognition experiment", a result which supports Wearing's (1972) and Reid's (1974) argument that the verbs are only indirectly represented at a latent level.

A model for the analysis of latent structures has been developed and tested (Bierschenk & Bierschenk, 1976; B. Bierschenk, 1977). It is context-oriented and based on the assumption that the manifest level can be used for the construction of a schema suited for the analysis of latent dimensions. In this model, too, the function of the verb as an organizer of concepts and conceptual relations (abstractions) is of crucial importance.

A representation of information based on the schema principle is rational and saves memory space. As opposed to the explicit network structure, the schema structure utilizes abstractions, i.e. symbols and relations between symbols. Thus information can be procedurally embedded within the structure of a schema. Instead of concentrating on how information has to be re-structured at every question, a schema model attempts to find out what should be activated in order for the system to be able to give an adequate answer. The schema model is thus based on heterhierarchical functioning, which implies utilization of cues in lower domains in order to signal the activation of a certain component of the schema, which can then be applied to the data. Moreover, the model has psychological relevance concerning both "recognition" and "recall".

The model employed to illustrate the schema principle is the Agent-action-Object model, AaO, (for a description, see Chapter 4). The three components of this model can be used for actionoriented schematic representation. If, for example, the aim is to study what agents act through given actions towards given goals, this may be schematically represented as in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Example of an action schema

It can be seen that there are two place holders, so-called default variables. What values these default variables will assume when the components are activated depends on what can be realized by the action "to write". For example, the question mark to the left may be replaced by "researcher" and the one to the right by "reports".

A representation of the values manifested here ("The researcher writes reports") would, e.g. from the point of view of a sentence schema, be made in terms of Subject-predicate-Object and the model would, instead of AaO, be called the SvO or the N₁vN₂ model.

Another schema may be:

author. title. place of publication: publisher, date of publication.

1

This schema is regarded as an international standard in the citation of scientific references. Here there are at least five components that must assume bibliographic "values". Unless all components are activated, the reference is considered incomplete. To summarize, the characteristic feature of a schema is that its components are always present. They must, however, be provided with variables, which means that a schema is operationalized. But the single values of the variables are dependent on the context within which the schema is to be activated.

abstract relations to contains par boit of space. From this point of view, the kitle may be regarded as the plan of pressed statement about the content of a work. Moreover, in so it & D system the representation of information relies beavily on the fact that someone define called a documentalist) other than the author himself conforms the (randoormations required for document descriptions for different phyposhed on writing abstracts or indexing through the assignment of some characterizing words (keywords, descriptors), often based on interpretation of the hitle alone. As a role, such descriptions form the sole basis (or communication between the support of the document and the inferential separation between the support of the document and the inference of communication, where herein basis, therefore, are faurfaces of communication, where lenguage has the most central function to this kind of communications.

3.) Interneticate Longrages

Language may, very broadly, be described as a means of companiestion. In this respect, matural and artificial languages do not differ. Their communicative capacity and function, however, differ according to the degree of formalization employed "memorally speaking, in relation to artificial languages should language is characterized by an abundance of sadistions and alternative interpretations, necessary for the fonction as a means

3. MODELS OF REPRESENTATION

Information can be represented with different degrees of complexity. The representation of a given type of information can be different for different purposes, which, among other things, is indicated by the type of document in which it is presented (research reports, journal articles, handbooks, etc.) Through transformations information can be re-structured. Transformations are part of all intellectual activity, constituting a cognitive process which can manifest itself in a language structure. Thus each transformation implies a change of the language structure and, consequently, there is a connection between level of complexity and language structure. The author of a scientific report may transform the text himself to make it appropriate as a journal article, or he may write an abstract of it using no more than a hundred words. The more the text is compressed, the more abstract relations it contains per unit of space. From this point of view, the title may be regarded as the most compressed statement about the content of a work. Moreover, in an I & D system the representation of information relies heavily on the fact that someone (often called a documentalist) other than the author himself performs the transformations required for document descriptions for different purposes, e.g. writing abstracts or indexing through the assignment of some characterizing words (keywords, descriptors), often based on interpretation of the title alone. As a rule, such descriptions form the sole basis for communication between the author of the document and the information searcher. Document descriptions, therefore, are "surfaces of communication", whose language has the most central function in this kind of communication.

3.1 Intermediate languages

Language may, very broadly, be described as a means of communication. In this respect, natural and artificial languages do not differ. Their communicative capacity and function, however, differ according to the degree of formalization employed. Generally speaking, in relation to artificial languages, natural language is characterized by an abundance of variations and alternative interpretations, necessary for its function as a means

of communication between human beings. A greater degree of formalization makes for greater precision and clarity, thus restricting the number of possible interpretations. An artificial language is characterized by standardization of vocabulary and rules, whose meaning must be unambiguously definable. Thus highlevel languages (FORTRAN, Algol, LISP, etc.) display a more elaborated treatment of semantics and are based on a strictly formalized logic, i.e. rules for manipulating statements. The direct opposite to this may be exemplified by the language used in natural discourse.

When concepts and conceptual relations are to be translated from a natural language into an artificial one, difficulties will arise owing to just that sharpness of definitions that has to replace several different interpretations possible in natural language expressions. Such transformations are processed at different levels (with more or less formal and explicitly stated changes), which does not make it easy to define the borderline where a natural language becomes artificial.

What ought to be focused on, however, is the mechanism that underlies the transition process. This mechanism forms the basis of the languages that have been developed in order to make possible access to documents, i.e. documentary languages (concerning this term, see Wersig & Neveling, 1976, p 67). These languages are in principle as numerous as are information systems. Documentary languages, such as PRECIS (see Chapter 2.3), have a variety of structures, implying that the differences are comparable to those to be found in natural languages; in a sense, they are an abstract reflection of them. The differences in structure apply to documents (direct description) as well as to descriptions of documents (indirect description) (Coyaud, 1966, p 127).

A language must have a lexicon and a set of rules. In spite of many attemps to formulate abstracts of varying length for I & D systems, it has not been possible to formulate rules specifying how such paraphrasing of an original document should be done. To be sure, an abstract describes a document, but the language employed cannot be termed a documentary language. Furthermore, the abstract is, besides the full text of a document, the only type of description that consists of complete sentences. The next higher level of description would be the title, which, in general,

has a reduced syntax and which could be regarded as the beginning of an artificial language. In addition, the title is the level of description most frequently drawn on in determining a document's content and in the generation of descriptive terms, both manually and automatically. Therefore, the title is the communicative interface between the document and the indexer, having a key function as the last "station" before the content is transferred to new media.

Irrespective of how many stages of abstraction are used in the transformation of document content, these descriptions may be considered variants of the language used within one and the same type of medium. Similarly, other media have their own language variants, e.g. the computer languages. The linkage between document and computer in a computerized I & D system is performed through some kind of communication, which starts at the title level and is connected to the "surface" of the computer, i.e. to a symbolic language. This language is then transformed into a machine language, which is the internal language of the computer medium. Thus communication between media is also performed through languages (with a lexicon and systems of rules), which consequently may be called intermediate. The intermediate function refers to a medium between languages as well as to a medium between the author of a document and the information searcher (cf. Coyaud's term "langage intermediaire" in Coyaud, 1966, pp 18-19). The structures of those kinds of language are usually represented in a thesaurus.

As mentioned in Chapter 2, the thesaurus supplies descriptors for indexing documents. The concept of *indexing* is defined in Coyaud & Siot-Decauville (1967, p 40) as

"la traduction de documents écrits vers leur représentation dans un langage documentaire."

Indexing is a process which starts with recognition and identification of content, followed by definition by means of a statement ("This report is about..."). This statement is then represented by index terms, classification number, or descriptors taken from a thesaurus.

The main problem with such "informal interpretation of a document" (impressionistic content analysis) is that explicit de-

scription and operationalization of the interpretation process cannot be achieved (see Sparck Jones & Kay, 1973, p 18). The cognitive model used by the indexer is usually unknown, even to the indexer himself (Robinson, 1977, p 170), which means that errors made in the representation of facts cannot be controlled. Since the transformation functions have not been explicitly formulated, there is no telling in what respect a document's representation form differs from its original form.

In document description both librarians and information scientists and linguists talk about "indexing language" (see e.g. Sharp, 1967; Sparck Jones & Kay, 1973; Soergel, 1974). As mentioned above, indexing is a cognitive process, whose "language" has not been made explicit; further, it cannot without difficulties be made explicit. The control mechanisms available are the vocabulary in the subject index, the classification scheme and the thesaurus. The indexer makes use of these means in describing a document. He is the channel, while the means of control are the media. As such they function as variants of an intermediate language. Language has here been defined as a "means of communication" having a lexicon and rules. Since it is possible to talk about more or less communicative variants of language, the communicative ability of a language may be defined through the structure that characterizes each set of lexicon (vocabulary) and rules (grammar). The structure of an intermediate language is represented through the thesaurus. The standardized terminology in the field of information and documentation (Wersig & Neveling, 1975, p 118) defines thesaurus as

"A controlled and dynamic *documentary language* containing semantically and generically related *terms*, which comprehensively cover a specific domain of knowledge."

Although a thesaurus is defined as a *language* in the passage just quoted, only the structure of the vocabulary is emphasized. Its dynamic properties, however, equally are important, especially in computer-based I & D systems, where the rules stating how the terms could and should be combined for search and retrieval have to be made explicit.

The above discussion, it is hoped, will have made it clear that a presentation of intermediate languages within I & D should involve a description of the organization and function of thesauri and other more or less structured vocabularies in relation to the role they ought to play in the communication between document and information searcher.

Since the use of a thesaurus implies active and explicit assignment of descriptors to documents, no attention will be paid to classification systems (see Chapter 2) in the subsequent presentation.

3.2 The thesaurus as a means of communication

A thesaurus, according to the above outline, will here be regarded as a language, whose purpose is to make communication possible, primarily in computer-based I & D systems. From the literature concerning the construction of information systems it is evident that there is a certain confusion as regards terminology in the field, which several authors (e.g. Fairthorne, 1969) have noted as a typical feature. Many of the statements made and positions taken are no doubt due to the fact that the field is a relatively new branch of science (having existed for no longer than approximately 20 years), and that people working within this area represent different traditions. The borderlines between the disciplines involved are vague. At the same time an integration between, e.g., library science, on the one hand, and general and computational linguistics, on the other, would be valuable. One result of this line of thought is Sparck Jones & Kay's (1973) attempt to show the extent to which linguistics and information science were actually integrated. But neither at that time (1973) nor in a more recent survey (1977) do the two authors seem to have recognized the key role played by the thesaurus as a linguistic phenomenon. They state (1973, p 46) that the most important linguistic interests lie

11.	in	the	treatment	of	the	text	of	the	document,
2.	in	the	formulatio	on d	of th	e des	scri	ptic	on text.

3. in the treatment of the description text."

The linguistically interesting things that are built into the thesaurus, making possible the formulation and treatment referred to, are not discussed. There seems to be a tendency to focus on indexing as an activity in itself instead of on the medium on which it is dependent. Karlgren (1977) makes an attempt to discuss the positions taken by Sparck Jones & Kay but, unfortunately,

his position is not very precise either. For example, it is not clear if the author makes a difference between a language for description and on for retrieval. However, Karlgren makes an important point in stating that the retrieval process, as it functions today, is not treated as a linguistic problem, since retrieval is usually the result of a matching. A similar distinction between linguistic and non-linguistic means of characterizing methods for automatic document analysis is made by Coyaud & Siot-Decauville (1967). These distinctions refer to the process itself and the linguistic prerequisites have not been considered.

The following presentation is based on the statement that the success of the search and retrieval process depends on the organization of the thesaurus. Further, it is suggested that "linguistic" and "non-linguistic" are inappropriate concepts in the present context. It would be more adequate to discuss these relationships along a continuum. This implies that varying degrees of structuring are proposed to exist in intermediate languages as well as in others. A language with a low degree of structuring is supposed to have a weak communication capacity. These relationships are sketched in Figure 3.





Terminology

Figure 3. A model for studying the degree of structuring in thesauri

The degree of structuring may be expressed in three dimensions. The first dimension concerns the *terminology*, which refers to the selection and organization of the relevant terms to be incorporated into the subject field. The *syntactic* dimension refers to the structural relations that are made explicit within and between terms. The *conceptual* dimension refers to the concepts and conceptual relations formed, which, in a title, represent the content of a document. Accordingly, *content* is defined on the basis of the conceptual model employed in the analysis of the verbal expressions under consideration (see e.g. Osgood et al., 1957; Krippendorff, 1969; B. Bierschenk, 1978a). The representation of content may be based on, e.g., the framework of the subject, the theory or the psychology of science, or from combinations of these aspects. (Cf. the discussion on schemata in Chapter 2.5.)

This model for the study of the field is conceived differently from what is usually found in the literature, and so no directly relevant references can be given. However, for a general outline of the various developmental stages within information science, the reader is referred to published volumes of the Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, in particular to the chapters that deal with "Automated Language Processing", "Content Analysis, Specification and Control", and "Document Description and Representation". Impressively analytic work concerning the general orientation in documentary languages and their structure, as compared to natural languages, has been done by Coyaud (1966). But one has to keep in mind that his work should be judged in the light of research on automatic translation, where, in a very deep sense, it represents an attempt to find universal features in documentary languages.

A general frame of reference can also be obtained from B. Bierschenk (1973; 1974a) and Salton (1971), concerning information systems and their way of functioning. Furthermore, thesauri themselves often give quite good and concrete descriptions of their usage. Some of them have been discussed here.

By and large, statistical methods will be kept out of the present discussion. They are primarily used for the estimation of significant words in attribution, and for the generation of frequency dictionaries, etc., based on abstracts or full texts.

3.3 Degree of structuring in thesauri

An organized terminology with the lowest degree of structuring may be said to be an alphabetical list of terms, selected as

significant for a certain field of information. In the infancy of information science such a term index was the only control tool in indexing. Indexing problems grew in time along with growing fields of information. Expanded subject areas required expanding terminology for their description. At the same time the development of the computer-based I & D systems entailed, as a consequence, the necessity of a greater degree of formalization in the intermediate language than before. In the late 1950's and early 1960's various studies of the indexing process were performed. Among other things, they pointed to problems as regards the consistency and selection of terms (see Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1967, Chapter 4). In this connection a discussion started about one-word and multi-word descriptors and relations between index terms ("coordinating indexing") (see e.g. Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors, 1975, p XIX). In order to solve these problems, computers became tools for automatic extraction of index terms, mainly from titles of scientific documents. Thus, two techniques for the generation of terminology can be distinguished: (1) a manual technique, involving the use of controlled word lists, and (2) an automatic, uncontrolled technique. Investigations of automatic generation showed that the best descriptor was a two-word term, but also that the terminology became too bulky and unwieldy, due to, among other things, variations in the words denoting one and the same phenomenon. These experiences led to techniques for controlling the size of the vocabulary. Rules were needed which stated explicitely which terms and term combinations were important and should be allowed from the point of view of subject description, and which were possible from the point of view of language structure. By the late 1950's the so-called KWIC indexes (Key Words In Context) were created for the selection of terms. Each word in a title could become an index entry, except certain structural words, called separators. The group of words between one separator and another contained keywords and their context.

Now, when it came to creating an intermediate language which could be represented in the form of a thesaurus, it was not only the structure of the subject (see Chapter 2) or lexicographic aspects that were to be considered. Of importance were also various aspects related to the system itself. One of them was the

functioning of the terminology in automatic identification of document descriptions by matching against descriptors. Another aspect was automatic indexing for content analysis (e.g. Stone et al., 1966) of different document texts by matching against terms in natural language. It became necessary to have the terms normalized, which meant representing them in the shape of base forms. Programs for automatic suffix elimination were developed, and stop lists determined which words should not be regarded as terms. Another thing required in automatic identification was a method for standardization of universal linguistic components, able to "pick up" terms with identical morphological structure. The method is called truncation. Outputs from searches with truncated terms make it evident that it is a delicate problem to identify relevant verbal constructions, at the same time avoiding irrelevant ones (see B. Bierschenk, 1973, Chapter 7). A thesaurus that relies only on a terminology, no matter how it might be selected and organized, has a very low degree of structuring. Normalization and standardization do not solve, e.g., problems of homography or synonymy. Further, a term extracted from a KWIC index to be incorporated into a thesaurus loses its context when its lexical meaning has been determined.

The most obvious lack of communication concerning terminologically based thesauri is that the meanings of the terms are unknown. This has frustrating consequences for the information search. The matching process is only based on identity (same pattern) or partial identity (e.g. in truncation of word stems), i.e. on a coincidence of characters. Boolean algebra is used to differentiate between the existence or non-existence of terms, but structural relations are beyond its capacity.

Thus the lowest degree of structuring is to be found in automatically generated term indexes, which are not based on explicitly formulated cognitive models but may have an explicitly described syntax. The ERIC Thesaurus has tried to make use of the KWIC technique for terminological control through its "Rotated Descriptor Display", in which the allowed combinations of the descriptors included are given. But this explicit syntax does not address the problem of cognition.

Manually generated thesauri are based on implicit cognitive models, and the structuring of the terminology is performed
through an implicit syntax. In order not to lose the indexers' and the subject experts' knowledge of the structural relations holding between the terms, a system of rules was introduced, relating the terms to each other (the "related term" symbol). The relations expressed concern synonymy derived from the conception of the subject field, while the implicit syntax is restricted to denote the co-existence of the terms with regard to a certain aspect of a subject field. The language structure is a noun phrase consisting of a main concept and its attribute, mostly in two-word combinations. The search logic connects such a noun phrase with another or defines an intersection which allows part of the two phrases to coincide.

An example of the utilization of an explicitly described syntax is the automatic analysis aided by phrases as presented by Hillman & Kasarda (1969), in which explicit syntactic relations in the form of fixed compounds can be extracted from documents and also be retrieved through matching of a phrase in a search query. However, a problem in automatic syntactic analysis is that many phrases relevant to document description are not matched because of different embeddings in natural language. Further, the difference between a phrase and a multi-word term is slight. The syntactic analyses performed (e.g. Salton, 1962; Klein & Simmons, 1963) utilize so-called function words to demarcate phrases. In scientific texts it can be assumed that the context between them would be of restricted length. Therefore, it is probably a correct statement by Salton (1968) that simpler methods of analysis, i.e. such as are not syntax-based, would give at least as good results in document retrieval, since none of the methods can detect conceptual relations.

Questionable results obtained from automatic techniques for term extraction and experiences of low reliability values in manual indexing and syntactic analysis could provide the appropriate reason for the appreciation of the PRECIS system by library scientists and librarians. The system together with its applications is demonstrated in Wellisch (1977).

The general relational system in the PRECIS thesaurus contains equivalence, hierarchical relations and associative relations. Austin (1977, p 3) claims that the system relies heavily on linguistic principles. To a large extent however, it seems to

draw on library routines. Coding (indexing) is performed manually, but the "shunting" technique is computerized. This technique for producing index entries resembles that of KWIC, although the number of KWIC entries depends on the number of terms in a title. The PRECIS manual requires certain roles to be specified in the syntax-based relational system called "concept analysis". This term has been chosen because into the system has been built a dependency structure which, besides indicating notions like agent, action, etc., also defines attributive dependencies. The noun phrase as a block is kept apart from the transitivity relation that is assigned to the action term in the form of "by" or "of" ("downward reading component" and "upward reading component", respectively).

The attention paid to PRECIS indexing may be seen as an indication of a phenomenon that Sparck Jones and Kay seem to be somewhat surprised at, namely that the linguistic theories developed and tested in the late 1960's and early 1970's have exerted such limited influence on document description in information science. The libraries developed their own systems, among other things because of difficulties in integrating new methods into existing systems. By the time the ASLIB-Cranfield, the SMART and the MED-LARS projects were running, linguistic theory was central to language research and discussion. This conclusion can be drawn from surveys in the Annual Review of Information Science and Technology between 1967 and 1970. (Compare also Sharp, 1967, with Bobrow et al., 1967.) Sager (1977, p 76) states that linguists are primarily working with theories for sentence generation, while information scientists are primarily dealing with recognition. It seems that a closer connection between linguistics and information science was not established until Fillmore (1968) appeared, probably due to the indications of cognitive features in his case theory.

The real purpose of PRECIS as a document description system is not quite clear, perhaps owing to confusing mixture of viewpoints from library science and linguistics. Undoubtedly, however, PRECIS is a step in the right direction, due to its use of syntax for coding dependency structures.

A step in the development towards a higher degree of structuring is the facet-based thesaurus, which makes it possible to connect aspects of a subject field with a certain specific relationship to each other (entities and their properties, substances and their reactions, etc.). This can be expressed both syntactically and logically. One example of an intermediate language characterized by an explicit syntax and explicit philosophical relations is SYNTOL (SYNtagmatic Organization Language), described by Coyaud (1966). A SYNTOL analysis of a text can be performed at several levels, e.g. at a morphemic and a syntagmatic level. The morphemes are analysed both analytically and synthetically. The analytic relations are made up of four "formal" classes, i.e. they are of the philosophical-logical type ("Prédicats", "Entités", "Actions", "Etats"). The synthetic relations relate the morphemes dynamically or statically, with the aid of syntactic conditions.

A SYNTOL syntagm constitutes a representation of a factual condition. It consists of two lexemes, whose syntactic relations to one another are explicit. An assertion paradigm ("enoncé complet") is in SYNTOL a kind of schematic representation model for documentation ("représentation documentaire") in which the "verb component" is used only to indicate the terminological relation that is to be stored. No doubt, this language employs a cognitive approach, pointing towards the kind of conceptual representation that artificial intelligence and cognitive psychology are concerned with. SYNTOL represents an attempt to create a highly abstract and general system (it was born in the spirit of the universalists), and the same theoretical idea seems to underlie Sager's LSP system (Language String Parser). Although focusing on the structure of specific subject fields, her work is based on a similar paradigm (see Sager, 1977).

LSP represents an intermediate language which is highly structured from both a linguistic and a subject theoretical point of view. It is an excellent example of the possibilities provided by computational linguistics to analyse scientific texts. LSP uses advanced linguistic techniques in combination with statistics. The analytical system has been set up empirically, with the aid of a linguistic structure. Implicit relations within the subject fields are translated into the linguistic format.

Sager (1977, p 86) writes:

"...scientific reporting is concerned with establishing causal connections between events." This approach takes its point of departure from cognitive psychology. Verbs have fundamental importance in the generation of "events", serving as a function (F) in a predicate-argument model.

Sager's response to Sparck Jones & Kay's (1973) request concerning automatic "deep structure" analysis by means of links and roles indicated exciting possibilities of development within the field of thesaurus construction. In a display (Sager, 1977, p 90) are demonstrated clusters of "events", expressed by clusters of verbs functioning as operators together with the arguments represented in the form of the "roles" that chemical substances play in relation to each other.

The generation of intermediate languages on the basis of natural language texts "of a more restricted kind" holds out hopes of a promising future, according to Sager. Linguistic problems are easier to handle, especially since the vocabulary in these texts is used unambiguously. However, Sager does not state explicitly that her model applies to all scientific thought and work. To be sure, she makes the following statement (1977, p 86):

"Linguistically-based subfield formats are one answer to the question of underlying representation. While they are based on selectional constraints that operate in particular science outfields they have certain features which may be common to many science fields."

However, she does not seem to realize that the possible importance of her model for information science lies in the fact that it is aimed at the representation of "cognition", which is what information science should deal with. Without it "re-cognition" becomes unimportant. The extent to which language structures can also represent cognitive structures is an important research concern. In its attempts to approach that problem area, cognitive psychology in the latter half of the 1970's has had a valuable impact on information science (see Damerau, 1976).

4. A METHOD OF GENERATION BASED ON FUNCTIONAL RELATIONS

In the previous chapter it was suggested that an intermediate language which represents the cognitive structure in a message possesses a higher degree of precision and structuring than natural language. Such structuring should, therefore, be the goal of every system whose task is to convey abstracted information. Not until terms and their syntactic relations are grounded in an explicitly specified cognitive model can they function as units of representation in an intermediate language.

4.1 Starting-points for the construction of a model

Scientific concepts are communicated through scientific documents, whose various statements are based on empirical observations about events (Sager, 1977; B. Bierschenk, 1978b). The manifest representation of assertions about events (statements) may be described as a sentence, defined as Noun1-verb-Noun2, where the verb denotes the relation between the two nouns. A sentence will in the following discussion be referred to as N1vN2. These symbols can be used in a description of how conceptual relations are marked in natural language. N1 and N2 represent labels (see Chapter 2.4) which are used to designate "sets of information", varying in extent. Therefore, they may also be called "extensions" (see Lewis, 1972, p 174). The way in which an extension depends on another is generally denoted by functions. In this sense, the v symbol is a function. An extension may also be regarded as an argument which can take different values, expressed in the form of attributes. In this connection Lewis (1972, p 177) talks about "intensions". He writes:

"Things are name extensions and values of name intensions; sets of things are common-noun extensions and values of common-noun intensions; sequences of things are assignment coordinates of indices. Change the underlying set of things and we change the set of extensions, indices and carnapian intensions."

With Lewis's formulation as a starting-point, *intension* is defined as

the properties connoted by a term

and extension as

the class of objects designated by a specific term denotation.

Results from research on memory structure (see Cofer, 1976) seem to indicate that there exists an abstract representation of text in memory. What is stored seems to be an internal representation of a proposition. For multi-argument sentences it is easy to supply the argument(s) missing. In developing a model for the representation of a proposition, the goal should be to be able to use the context for supplying the missing parts. Thus the $N_1 v N_2$ paradigm should be supplemented with a default variable (ϕ), which is a place holder for missing arguments. For example, van Dijk (1977, p 133) says that

"...propositions may be 'present' without being (fully) expressed in the surface structure of the discourse."

This observation, formulated in connection with a "discourse model" according to Krippendorff (1969), has been applied in the ANACONDA system concerning the coding of verbal answers obtained from interviews (I. Bierschenk, 1977).

In principle, the N_1vN_2 paradigm could be used as a model of representation even in applications of information science, since information may also be defined as being propositional (van Dijk, 1977, p 133). But the logic implied in the N_1vN_2 paradigm is not sufficient if the purpose is to study the interrelations between different concepts in a proposition. The linguistic categories activated by this paradigm would be word classes (i.e. the "schema" is of the semantic-logical type). For a description of how scientific information is communicated, however, a process-oriented model is required, i.e. a proposition model denoting intentions. By *intention* is meant

attention directed towards the goal of an action. Thus intentionality is a basic property of directed behaviour or an action.

According to Werner & Kaplan (1963) it is the Agent-action-Object model that is used in the Indoeuropean languages to denote intentions. A proposition about an event, a state or conceptual relations generally consists of these components. A proposition may therefore be described as the AaO paradigm.

The AaO paradigm has been discussed and defined from a *psycholinguistic* point of view in Bierschenk & Bierschenk (1976, Chapter 2). Its meaning is the following:

Agent is defined as action centre or goal-seeking entity making use of various resources in order to achieve its goals. This description also includes, besides single individuals, groups, organizations and abstractions.

Action is defined as an act performed by an agent for the purpose of achieving a goal. The act defines the meaning of the AaO paradigm.

Objective is defined as everything that an action can be directed towards or be performed with.

The components represented by the AaO paradigm should not be confused with a case model of the Fillmore type. Fillmore's model is not basically different from other philosophical models, since it structures the world mainly in semantic-logical terms.

On the other hand, the correspondence between the N_1vN_2 and AaO models is evident in the "function component". The *a* component is required to idendify the parts of the proposition. The action denotes which object(s) or goal(s) must be present in order for a proposition to be detected. But fragments of a proposition may also be present in connection with the AaO paradigm, i.e. single values may be missing and need to be supplemented. To accomplish this, the default variable is used.

Experimental results support the hypothesis concerning the fundamental importance of the AaO paradigm as a format for representing propositions (Werner & Kaplan, 1963, p 58). Kintsch's (1974) subjects were asked to sort sentences into categories. The sorting criterion was the relation between nouns in a sentence. The study showed that it was easiest to remember a set of nouns acting as agents. The most difficult to remember was the object role. Furthermore, Kintsch's studies seem to indicate that decomposition of complex concepts into more elementary ones did not facilitate remembering or understanding. Some kind of "lexical decomposition" (p 249) as a psychological process for retrieval is not supported by his data.

When it has been determined what constitutes a proposition in the cognitive sense, its different manifestations in language can be examined on the basis of natural data, e.g. composition of words, variations in sentences due to the number of arguments, redundancy, etc.

As has been stressed in the previous chapters, a document can be described in many ways. But each description that goes beyond purely formal bibliographic information requires the choice of a model and thus the adoption of specific assumptions about the content of the document. A model intended to represent a proposition about the scientific work communicated in a research report cannot, contrary to the view held by Sager (1977, p 86), be "linguistically-based", but should be related as closly as possible to the theoretical foundations regarded as adequate to the research process that the content of the document is supposed to represent. The paradigm or schema chosen as the format of representation (see Chapter 2.5) is thus determined from the basic components of the research process itself. Otherwise, the model cannot adequately represent the statement that the author makes about the research process by means of the condensed proposition in the document title. Nor can the different values assumed by the components of the model be interpreted. Sager's (1977, p 86) statement that scientific reporting deals with the establishment of causal connections between events could be transferred to a higher level of abstraction, so that instead of concerning the structure of a subject field, it would concern the structure of research itself. Relations of a higher order would be established, making it possible to derive structural and functional aspects of several subject fields and to establish connections between them.

The single events about which the researcher communicates information have appeared in a certain contextual frame, which in turn is reported (represented) in a frame of higher order. Therefore, the title may be regarded as the proposition that represents all the others in a particular information set. Similar points are made by van Dijk (1977).

It is generally accepted that "problem", "method" and "goal" are the fundamental components in the research process (see Bunge, 1967, p 6). The "method" component explicitly denotes the way in which the research for new information is to be done. The "Problem-method-Goal" model denotes the aim (direction) in the research process, namely a conscious steering towards or a systematic and goal-oriented search for new information. An *abstract*-

ed or "schematic" proposition will in the following discussion be referred to as the PmG paradigm. The single components of the paradigm have been presented in B. Bierschenk (1974) from the point of view of research theory. Their meanings are the following:

Problem is in this context defined as something that is reflected against a scientific background and that is to be solved by scientific means for the purpose of creating new information. In this respect the problem component has a governing function in connection with scientific activity. There is (implicit) intentionality involved, which makes it possible to compare the role of this component with that of the agent in the AaO paradigm.

Method is defined as all scientific activity performed for the purpose of showing that a problem can be solved completely, partly, or not at all. Rules concerning this activity are specified, aiming at minimizing different kinds of error sources. The rules concern, in principle, the researcher's (1) way of approaching the problem, (2) planning, and (3) instrumentation. If these are fixed, the individual researcher will act stereotypically or in a scientifically sterile way. Therefore, it is of considerable importance that problems are formalized in such a way that the formalization supports the use of adequate methods. This should be done in the form of hypotheses which can be tested agains different kinds of criteria.

Goal is defined as an explicit formulation of the governing idea included in the problem component. It concerns representations of goals, levels of achievement, and anticipated solutions.

The components in this abstract proposition model should, like abstractions in general, be regarded as aggregations of concepts and conceptualizations. The values (i.e. the types of terms that an argument can take) that are assigned to each component may in themselves be of different kinds, and can be further categorized and analysed. It may be appropriate to mention that the three components are not comparable with such linguistic categories as, e.g., word classes, sentence constituents, or cases. The appearance of a "verb" is readily expected under the Method component. But in fact a research technique (which is one of several realizations of the method) may be called "intervju" (an interview), and a problem (what a researcher tries to solve) may be referred to as "att intervjua" (to interview).

Analysing a title assigned to a scientific text from the point

of view of a linguistic representation of conceptual relations (here scientific concepts) implies a study of the result of an abstract representation of the text as manifested in a title. Such a study is based on cues provided by the manifest structure of the title. Since the overt organization of the title may be fragmentary and restricted with respect to the PmG paradigm, a default variable is required even in this case, serving as a place holder for missing arguments.

In order to analyse the relations between the single components in the title, a way of indicating the components roles and structural connections is needed, referring to the theoretical starting-points of the model.

4.2 Presentation of the model

Human cognition is supposed to be based on spatially organized representations of phenomena, as pointed out by, among others, Piaget & Inhelder (1956) and Miller & Johnson-Laird (1976). Basic experiments have been performed by Piaget & Inhelder, indicating that the first spatial understanding in children is topological. Children obviously understand proximal properties like order, demarcation and continuity. Not until later developmental stages have been reached do properties such as angles, parallelism and distance become comprehensible. Piaget's (1963) opinion is that adults build up implicit cognitive representations (schemata) consisting of coordinates. These schemata are employed for orientation in space and time.

Starting on the assumption that a title is an abstracted proposition about a research process, it can be stated that the single components in the process must be distinguishable for the title to be properly understood. Therefore, it seems natural that in language, too, there should exist a construction which makes it possible to demarcate single components. This structuring role appears to be assigned to prepositions. Prepositions have always played an important organizing role in linguistic and computational linguistic analysis. They have functioned as separators in automatic text processing, having no other role than to dissect segments (Hillman & Kasarda, 1969; I. Bierschenk, 1978) or to extract index phrases (Salton, 1962; Braun & Schwind, 1975). They have functioned as markers of roles or cases in syntactic and semantic analyses (Friedman, 1973; I. Bierschenk, 1977; Cedvall, 1977). Further, they have functioned as statistic variables in automatic estimation of distances between significant terms in a document vocabulary (O⁻Connor, 1973). But as far as I know, they have not been used as functions in an analysis based on an explicitly described conceptual model in information science. A typical title of a scientific text can be:

En analys av titlar (An analysis of titles)

The "scientific event" underlying this title may be described in terms of statements like

Jag	anal	yserar	titl	ar	(I	analy	yse	titles	5)
Jaq	har	analyse	erat	titlar	(I	have	ana	lysed	titles)

The "event" condensed here derives both the agent and the action from $En \ analys$ (An analysis). The transformational level is marked by the preposition av (of). Before the transformation the verb form indicated the concept titlar (titles) as being an "object". This role is still to be discerned after the transformation through the function of the preposition av (of).

The goal of scientific inquiry, however, is not to handle persons or solid objects, but to deal with problems. However, problems also imply that there are possible solutions, which means that problems determine the research process in the same way that the object in a sentence determines what type of verb may be selected. Therefore, it might be justified to have the label "object" replaced by the label "problem". As previously mentioned, Problems include intentions; consequently, the role of the component in the PmG paradigm is comparable with the Agent in the AaO paradigm. The preposition av (of) then functions as an operator for the Problem component. When the problem has been identified, En analys (An analysis) remains to be analysed. This part of the title can now be given an unambiguous interpretation, i.e. it denotes the scientific event, as manifested in the methods or we means used. These two components can be fitted into the PmG paradigm as follows:

Kategori (Category)	PROBLEM (PROBLEM)	METOD (METHOD)	MÅL (GOAL)
Operator (Operator)	av (of)		
Representation (Representation)	titlar (titles)	En analys (An analysis)	φ

Scientific activity cannot be equated with a determinable object or determinable problems, but should be defined as a strategy, i.e. a way of tackling problems. The development of new methods and instruments increases the individual researcher's possibilities of creating new information. For this reason, the informative value of the title increases if it contains information on the research strategy or technique used. If the information is expanded, so that the analytical technique is made explicit, the title can be given as

En analys av titlar med en kodningsalgoritm (An analysis of titles with a coding algorithm)

As shown sofar, the research strategy is *En analys* (An analysis). The preposition *med* (with), however, specifies in more detail what plans, techniques, or instruments have been employed. The new information can be fitted into the PmG paradigm as follows:

Kategori	PROBLEM	METOD	INSTRUMENT MÅL
(Category)	(PROBLEM)	(METHOD)	(INSTRUMENT) (GOAL)
Operator	av	us strategy i	med
(Operator)	(of)	50 sten as mov	(with)
Representation	titlar	En analys	en kodnings- φ algoritm
(Representation)	(titles)	(An analysis)	(a coding al- gorithm)

Means or instruments play a central role in science. It is therefore reasonable to assume that *med en kodningsalgoritm* (with a coding algorithm) is an explicit expression of what researcher X does, i.e. his way of analysing *titlar* (titles). Therefore, all concepts denoting means may be arranged under the Instrument component. In research these are seldom solid objects (tools). However, they do have a more concrete function in connection with the method. This is the reason, in the example under discussion, why the Instrument component is made explicit and placed between Method and Goal. (The goal determines the instrumentation of a

43

(2)

method.)

If the title is further expanded, so that it also contains an explicit statement of the goal, it may appear in the following form:

En analys av titlar med en kodningsalgorithm för (3) begreppsigenkänning (An analysis of titles with a coding algorithm for concept recognition)

The goal is, in this case, to recognize concepts. As example (3) shows, the preposition $f \ddot{o} r$ (for) denotes this intention, i.e. it gives the reason why a certain act that requires certain instruments has been performed. The information about the goal can be fitted into the PmG paradigm as follows:

Operator (Operator)av (of)med (with)för (for)Representation titlarEn analys algoritmen kodnings- algoritmbegrepps igen- känning (concept recog- nition)	
Representation titlar En analys en kodnings- begreppe algoritm igen- känning (Representation)(titles)(An analysis)(a coding al- gorithm) recog- nition)	
(Representation) (titles) (An analysis) (a coding al- (concept gorithm) recog- nition)	s-
	t _s

What has been described so far are the main components of the PmG paradigm, illustrated by a particular research strategy or schema. The representation of this strategy is the result of a course of events, which should be seen as movement in space and time. But since every kind of movement requires a description in space and time, this would be trivial information in a title. Therefore, in general, the concrete place and time of a particular research activity are not specified (and hardly ever is information given concerning the place and time of the writing of the report itself). If, nevertheless, place and time are indicated, the most appropriate thing, from a linguistic point of view, would be to let space and time become determiners to the sentence itself, i.e. to the verb component. But in connection with the transformation of a "concrete" natural language expression of a course of events, e.g. "Jag har analyserat titlar i flera månader" (I have analysed titles for several months), into the abstracted intermediate form "En analys av titlar" (An analysis of titles), the temporal aspect of the verb form and the denotation of time by the prepositional phrase are nullified. Transferred to the PmG paradigm, space and time would determine the method. However, since the method itself determines the results of the research, space and time are irrelevant concepts.

Solid objects are considered with respect to their relative location (see Ralph, 1977). Depending on the dimension in which the object is demarcated, this may be expressed with prepositions, for example i (in) for space and pa (on) for surfaces. As was initially mentioned in this section, research seems to indicate that human cognition is based on spatially organized representations, developing from a topological to a multidimensional stage, represented as systems of coordinates. The child learns to see relations between solid objects and to express these relations by means of prepositions. The ability to form concepts (abstractions) comes later, although the prepositions used to relate abstractions are the same. Language conventions are often the reason why a preposition with a plainly two-dimensional function, e.g. på (on), is used to denote a more abstract relationship. Prepositions denoting space are also used to specify time, since time, too, has direction and extent. In different kinds of automatic analysis of natural language this ambiguous use of prepositions is a great disadvantage for the determination of the meaning of the component that follows. But since scientific titles convey abstract relations on an intermediate level, the ambiguity that is necessary in more concrete contexts is eliminated in the same way as certain aspects of verbs are no longer relevant after a certain abstraction has been performed.

Research focuses on problems which are multi-faceted. This implies that a title always gives expression to multidimensional phenomena. But problems, too, may be determined as being part of a problem area which incorporates a time dimension. (For a discussion, see Miller & Johnson-Laird, 1976.) This is expressed in the title:

En analys av begrepp i titlar från fyra decennier (4)

(An analysis of concepts in titles from four decades) The preposition i (in) determines where the *begrepp* (concepts) are to be found, i.e. in titles and in no other type of text. The

preposition i (in) here denotes a demarcation, not an inclusion (cf. Ralph, 1977, p 5), since this example concerns the demarcation of a problem, not the localization of a concrete place. The preposition från (from) is used in contexts of space, denoting a starting-point. It has the same meaning when used on the time dimension. These relations may be incorporated into the PmG paradigm as follows:

Kategori (Category)	PROBLEM (PROBLEM)	METOD (METHOD)	INSTRUMENT (INSTRUMENT)	MÅL (GOAL)
Operator (Operator)	av i från (of) (in) (from)			
Represen- tation	begrepp	En analys	φ	φ
(Represen- tation)	(concepts)	(An analysis	5)	
	titlar (titles)			
	fyra	de-		

It should also be mentioned that geographic places, too, are regarded as abstract concepts in this model. Depending on where in the schema such a name is inserted, it demarcates the component under consideration. This is discussed in greater detail in the next section.

cennier (four decades)

As can be seen from the above examples, a strict sequential order is described. The last demarcation specifies *begrepp* (concepts) in such a way that it concerns not only titles but also a particular period of time. There seems to be a need for a time dimension in order to discriminate between experiences from different periods of time.

According to Oller & Sales (1969) the principle of concentric order seems to be of general relevance in the analysis of sentences, in the sense that the most specific information is located farthest away from the sentence kernel. This principle will here be employed in the sense that the important organizational function of the prepositions will form the basis for automatic demarcation and determination of format. The operationalization will be demonstrated in the following section.

4.3 Operationalization of the model

The creation of order presupposes a schema within which the order is to be set up. Thus the task of creating order within titles first of all implies the determination of what constitutes a title. The function of a title has been discussed previously and it may seem superfluous to go further into the concept of title at this stage. But in the coding and editing of thousands of titles written in several languages, it soon becomes evident that titles may be structured in many ways, perhaps as a consequence of their function. One can distinguish the length of the title, the form and colour of the letters, the title's localization on the cover of the document, typological variations concerning capitals and small letters in main titles and subtitles, different kinds of punctuation marks to organize the various entities in the title, and so on. But, in writing instructions for automatic organization of the entities all dimensions in the title are not considered, because many of them have no significance in the representation of document content. However, when large bodies of data are to be handled, a unified format must be determined which indicates the limits within which interpretation and inference are allowed.

The abstractions and the relations between them conveyed by a scientific title are the visual result of the author's conceptualization at a certain point in time. The processes that preceded this conceptualization, i.e. the formation of the scientific concepts, are no longer distinguishable, at least not in one and the same title. Thus a reconstruction of the processes involved is hardly possible once the conceptualization has been completed (cf. Kintsch, 1974).

A title represents one or more conceptualizations, which in their manifest form can consist of more or less complex language structures. A title consisting of one word consequently expresses a conceptualization whose structural relations are implicit, while a highly structured title explicitly indicates such relations, e.g. through prepositions. What is important for the development of a system of rules is to mark a detectable boundary for a conceptualization. In order to avoid difficulties of interpretation it will be necessary to utilize purely orthographic

marks. In the instructions concerning the punching of the material it was said that a subtitle should be separated from the main title by a full stop (which is usually avoided in titles due to consederations of layout). Semicolon, colon and dash also serve as demarcators. Titles such as the following express two conceptualizations:

Frågor kring studiedagar – en enkätundersökning (5) (Questions about study days – a questionnaire investigation)

De akademiska undervisningsformerna Universitetspedagogik (The academic teaching forms) (University pedagogy)

The titles are authentic. In order to maintain the structure of the Swedish wording, it has been necessary to give a word-by-word translation. This applies also to the examples given in Chapter 6.

Another (albeit rarely seen) type of boundary marker is represented by conjunctions coordinating two conceptualizations, i.e. they function as connectors.

En empirisk studie av kognitiv utveckling samt (7) (An empirical study of cognitive development and [also] en kritisk analys av intelligenbegreppet (a critical analysis of the intelligence concept)

This boundary marker merely separates two conceptualizations from one another rather than connecting them. The difference between these and the ones that are demarcated by a punctuation mark is that they are explicitly marked as coordinated. But the boundary marker *samt* (and [also]) signals that a new conceptualization has to be coded; consequently, *samt* (and [also]) is regarded as a disconnector.

Conjunctions functioning as "real" conjunctions coordinate concepts within the same conceptualization. Commas, added by the punching, also function as connectors:

Inlärningsmaskiner	och	programm	erade hjälp	nedel	(8)
(Learning machines	and	aids for	programmed	instruction)	

Familj, skola, samhälle (Family, school, society)

Mätning av språkfärdighet i engelska och tyska (10) (The measurement of language proficiency in English and German)

(9)

(6)

These instructions and decisions belong to the kind of ordercreating rules that may be called *demarcation rules*. Another form of demarcation which serves an editing function is the use of brackets. In order not to disconnect such an entity from the one it belongs to (in the function of explaining, etc.), it became necessary to disregard certain prepositions within those entities. By this arrangement they are automatically assigned to the nearest preceding entity.

The demarcation rules have provided the outer framework of the model. Two types of rules then form the basis for the conceptual coding, namely *stop rules* and *structuring rules*.

As indicated in the previous section, the analytical method employed rests on certain basic assumptions concerning the function of the prepositions in a title, which is to indicate, or point forward towards, certain types of concepts. In this function their position relative to one another is of considerable importance. From the model it is obvious that the prepositions ("pointers") belonging to the main components, are essentially av (of), med (with), and för (for). These prepositions propel "the action" forward, thus expressing the transitivity in the paradigm ("the horizontal level"). Prepositions demarcating the main components are located between them and in a specified order. As a consequence, the concepts they point forward towards are ordered "vertically" under the nearest preceding main preposition. This state of affairs can be compared with, e.g., Abelson's (1973) and Faughts's (1977) models of "belief systems". Faught (1977, p 5) writes:

"Human use intensional constructs such as beliefs and intentions to order the environment and direct their behavior."

Intentions are realized through actions and are constructed through conceptualizations. In the PmG paradigm, this corresponds to the components being distinguished by means of av (of), med (with), and $f \ddot{\sigma}r$ (for), on the one hand, and being demarcated and defined by means of prepositions such as i (in), $p \ddot{a}$ (on) and $f \ddot{\sigma} n$ (from), on the other.

Within the theoretical context presented in the previous section, the former type of prepositions will be called *intentional*. On the assumption that a concept is perceived as an extension or denotation with respect to the properties and characteristics that form the intensions or connections of the concept, an explicit specification of the concept's intension is regarded as an extension. This extension should be considered to be spatial. The extension functions as a demarcation, i.e. as a visual signal. Therefore, the latter type of prepositions will be called extensional.

of, with (for), covering the lancuage of the daily as (in a Allin, et ain, 1980), the following principal facts

chefrom ofrom hefrom the solution tog with et loci are

on on on one of the intertional prepositions

This is illustrated in the figure below:

d to indicate

Intention

C 0

D S Exte frequency. The main functions of for Figure 4. Sketch of the organizing principles in titles

In Chapter 4.2 the use of the so-called locative prepositions in the localization of abstractions was mentioned. With respect to the original meaning of these prepositions it was pointed out that their ambiguity when used with objects of a concrete kind is nullified when the objects themselves are of an abstract kind. The usefulness of the assumption of prepositions as functions, which is interesting from the point of view of computational linguistics, has a psychological relevance in this model. A function does not have any meaning of its own. As soon as it has performed its task of relating two concepts (comparable to placing something in a system of coordinates, see Chapter 4.2), it loses its importance. Only the functional relation between the concepts remains.

The natural-language conception of prepositions has been proved inadequate to the analysis of conceptual relations. For example, Brodda (1973) points out that what he calls "inner" (logical, cognitive) cases are not represented by prepositional phrases but

to a considerable extent by subordinate clauses in Swedish. A case model for the representation of "inner" cases, therefore, cannot be based on a prepositional framework. This is an important observation applying to sentences in natural language, for which linguistic models are generally developed. The problematic prepositions correspond to those that in the present context are called intentional. Therefore, it may be of interest to take a closer look at the distribution and function of these prepositions in a large corpus of natural language.

In Nusvensk Frekvensordbok (Frequency Dictionary of Present Day Swedish), part 4 (NFO 4), covering the language of the daily press (see Allén, et al., 1980), the following principal facts can be extracted with respect to the intentional prepositions (as they are called here). Phrases beginning with *av* (of) are mainly said to indicate "origin in time and space", "source of event" and "focused object". Phrases beginning with *med* (with) are chiefly explained as having "associative function". In the function "taking place through" (instrumental) they seem to have a relatively low frequency. The main functions of *för* (for) are given as "with indicated purpose" and "with influence on someone".

These examples provide a good illustration for the discussion in Chapter 3 concerning differences between natural and artificial language. The variability in natural-language expressions allows for variations in interpretation, which can be utilized for more or less finely graded analyses. In this case, determining the "meaning" of a preposition depends on its context. The variation that can be shown with such an analysis, however, concerns the domain of usage. The more artificial the text under analysis is, the greater the precision required in the definitions of the prepositions. In this perspective such variations in "meaning" as those presented in NFO 4 can be seen as paraphrases, which are stabilized at the intermediate level, i.e. a *basic function* emerges. Thus the reduced syntactic structure in titles must not give rise to differences in interpretation.

It follows that an interesting objective of research would be the extent to which the same basic function is assumed and used in different texts. The preposition av (of), according to NFO 4, may be compared with the function av (of) in scientific titles. The "natural" texts focus on a kind of agentive meaning which, as already mentioned, does not obtain in titles. Instead, an Object function emerges, called "problem". The function för (for) evidently denotes intention, i.e. a representation of a goal, and also a Result or a Recipient aspect, both of which may be included in Goal. The meaning "with influence on someone" might concern persons as the goal of an action. The preposition med (with), which in NFO 4 primarily denotes an associative function, has in the PmG paradigm been defined as denoting an instrument. Differences may be caused by different interpretations of the term "Instrument", depending on the model within which the term belongs.

Welin (1974) discusses the ambiguity of prepositional phrases in titles in an attempt to analyse the possibility of correctly determining their structural relations from the point of view of information and documentation. But Welin's material is not homogeneous, which means that ambiguity is likely to be difficult to define (ambiguity in relation to what?). Furthermore, different interpretations of phrases are discussed on the basis of linguistic assumptions that may not be relevant. The doubt expressed by Welin regarding the feasability of automatic analysis of prepositional phrases presumably derives from the fact that he discusses the matter without an explicitly formulated information-oriented model.

In the present analysis the "schematic" organization in titles for automatic coding is emphasized (see Fig. 4). The sequential order among the prepositions is employed in this theoretical context by way of numerical codes expressing the relations between the "axes" in a coordinate system as follows:

En analys av begrepp i titlar (An analysis of concepts in titles) 40 30 33

The concepts expressed by the intentional prepositions are assigned ed numerical code numbers ending with "0". The associated extensional concept is assigned another number, where the sequential order begins with a number other than zero. The following concept would have the numerical code number 34, and so on. The Method component is assigned code number 40. This system is set up in

52

(11)

such a way that the sequential order is algorithmically fixed. For practical reasons, the coding system has been taken over from the ANACONDA system (Bierschenk & Bierschenk, 1976, p 40). It should be kept in mind that the ANACONDA model is applied to natural language (interviews), thus including more components than does the PmG model. Certain codes, therefore, are left empty in PmG , others processing a generalized meaning, because of the higher level of abstraction in the PmG model.

The prepositions may in these titles have varying contexts, which must be precisely definable, i.e. the "length" of the prepositions must be specified. For there are a number of multi-word expressions that may be regarded as prepositions (cf, Welin, 1974, p 138). Such a compound preposition may consist of several strings of characters (see Box 4 below). Prepositions may also be part of fixed phrases, where they do not have any pointer function. Such strings have been listed in a dictionary. (A multiword phrase may here be preceded by an operating preposition, e.g. *inom ramen för* (within the frame of), where *ramen för* (the frame of) is specified as a multi-word phrase; in this role it does not allow *för* (of) to operate. The operating preposition is *inom* (within)).

The number and the type of fixed combinations probably differ somewhat within different subject fields. The dictionary specified here is based on characteristics of the authentic material (for further description, see Chapter 5). One characteristic feature in many variants of natural language is phrases of several kinds (cf. Allén, 1976), which could be an important explanation for the polysemic features of prepositions (such as they have been analysed in NFO 4). The titles examined in the present analysis have been collected from works written by a randomly selected sample of researchers from a population in which a specific definition of "researcher" has determined what titles were to be included in the analysis. A scientific title, then, represents work carried out by a researcher. Since researchers hold different posts and have specialized in different fields, differences with respect to a certain researcher and among researchers may be reflected in the titles of their different works. This may give rise to the utilization of phrases and combinations typical of

more concrete expressions than would be expected in titles.

Rules for treating such different cases of combinations involving prepositions are here called stop rules. Prepositions may be combined with other prepositions, either directly (as in "Tysk skola av i dag" (The German school of today), "Varför lärarna inte kan vara med i Hem-och-skola" (Why teachers cannot join the Home-School Society)), or linked by means of a conjunction ("För och mot den nya skolan" (For and against the new school [system])). Preposition and conjunction may also form a pair ("Bakgrund till och tolkning av..." (Background to and interpretation of...), or "...på lågstadiet och på fritidshem" (...in primary school and in centres for children's leisure activities)). Specification of such cases prevents coding errors. Otherwise the rules for concept coding will interfere with each other when concepts are linked by way of a connector (the conjunctions och (and), eller (or) or comma). In the same way as an interconnection takes place in ordinary sentence analysis, the concepts on both sides of a connector should be assigned the same numerical code number.

Before the structuring rules are presented, the rules that the dictionary operates with are given in Box 4. In the English translation of the Swedish prepositions only one alternative is given, considered the most common in the contexts in question.

It is assumed that the pointing and ordering functions of the prepositions are dependent on the order among them. The pointing forwards, as implied by the intention, consequently means that the method governing the "movement" is placed at the very beginning, "pushing" the other parts in front of it. The first focus of the method is the problem, if av (of) is the first preposition, and so on. Consequently, when all intentional components have been defined, the remainder is always coded as being the method. This basic principle is demonstrated in the following coded examples:

Psykologiska a (Psychologica) 40	analyser av militära befattningar 1 analyses of military posts) 30	(12)
Mätningar (Measurements 40	med projektiva test with projective tests) 80	(13)
Läshjälp (Reading aid 40	för synsvaga for the visually handicapped) 70	(14)

Box 4. Dictionary in automatic organization of concepts in titles

Prepositions are: *		Multi-word prepositi	tons are: Fu	nction
i (in) som (as) mot	(towards)	i anslutning till	(in connection with)	= i
av (of) mellan (among) enligt för (for) från (from) genom	(according to (through)) i samband med	(in connection with)	= i
på (at) rörande (concerning) inför	(at)	i relation till	(in relation to)	= i
till(to) under (under) åt med (with) bos (in) ur	(to) (from)	i fråga om	(concerning)	= i
om (on) kring (around) över	(on)	med hjälp av	(by means of)	=med
inom (within) bland (among) angående vid (by) efter (after)	e (concerning)	med speciellt avseende på	(with special reference to)	= i
		med särskild hänsyn till	(with special reference to)	= i
Multi-word phrases are:		med särskild anknytning till	(with special relation to)	= i
typer av (types of) slag av (kind of)		med särskild inriktning på	(with special focus on)	= i
grad av (degree of) former av (forms of)		med tonvikt lagd vid	(with emphasis on)	= i
ramen för (the frame of)		med tonvikt lagd på	(with emphasis on)	= i
samvariation med (intercorrelation w	ation with) with) ve of)	kombinerad med	(combined with)	= i
ett perspektiv av (a perspective of) synpunkter på (views on)		jämförd med	(compared with)	= i
exempel på (examples of)		Conjunctions are:		
aspekter på (aspects of)		och (and) eller (or)		
		samt (and [also])	V)	
		1 1 1 1	.11	
		Main prepagitions ar	20 *	
		and prepositions an	(on)	- 217
		med (with) angåend	le (concerning)	= av
		för (for) rörande över	(concerning) (on)	= av = av

The concepts that in these titles have been arranged under the Method component are examples of activities that have been started in order to solve a problem. Strategies, procedures, single events, etc., have been consolidated.

Many titles do not have a Method component, namely those without a preposition. The same goes for titles with an initial preposition:

Differentieringsfrågan (The differentiality problem) 30

Om kunskap (On knowledge) 30

When the title does not express an intention, i.e. when the structural relations are implicit, what is referred to is only that a certain problem area is dealt with in some way. The preposition *om* (on) is not governed by a "pushing" method and has no function in the model. For similar reasons, a terminal preposition (although very rare in scientific titles) has no function from this point of view:

Vad funderar barn på? (What think children about)

This title just expresses that a problem is dealt with without any scientific specification of how or why.

Since the system is based on distinguishing main concepts from subconcepts, the rules must also be constructed in such a way that titles of considerable length will be assigned a correct coding. A title of a certain length may include several instances of one and the same preposition. Regardless of what status the prepositions may have in the model, only one main component of the same kind can be activated. This can be illustrated by means of the following example:

Utvärdering	av	försök	med en	variant	av	(18)
(Evaluation	of	experiments	with a	variant	of	
40	30		80		83	
årskurslös u nongraded te	inde	ervisning hing)				

The last instance of av (of) determines only the variables of the instrument.

56

(15)

(16)

(17)

Below are presented, in a variant of natural language, the algorithm for automatic coding of the concepts in the titles. The program is written in ASCII FORTRAN.

- Rule 1. Control multi-word prepositions
- Rule 2. Control multi-word phrases
- Rule 3. Preposition and conjunction within () do not operate
- Rule 4. A preposition as first word does not operate
- Rule 5. If a conjunction connects two prepositions = the conjunction and the second preposition do not operate
- Rule 6. If a preposition is followed by a conjunction = the preposition does not operate
- Rule 7. If a conjunction is followed by a preposition = the preposition does not operate
- Rule 8. If a preposition is followed by a preposition = the first preposition does not operate
- Rule 9. If med (with) or för (for) are repeated, the second instance is subordinated
- Rule 10. Only one of av (of), om (on), rörande (concerning), angående (concerning), or över (on) can be the main preposition in a clause. The first instance becomes the main preposition. A "clause" is demarcated by a conjunction or a comma

Rule 11. A preposition as terminal word does not operate

Rule 12. Main rule for initial prepositions

40	av om rörande angående över	(of) (om) (concerning) (concerning) (on)	30
40	för	(for)	70
40	med	(with)	80
30	not main prepositio	on	33

Only the first preposition in a clause points forwards

Rule 13. Main rule for non-initial prepositions

	· av	(of)	30	not main preposition	33	not main preposition	34
	• för	(for)	70	not main preposition	73	not main preposition	74
	- med	(with)	80	not main preposition	83	not main preposition	84
8 -47-2 9-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47- 47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-47-4	ch e			not main preposition	33	av (of)	34

Rule 14. A conjunction connects expressions of the same type

It should be emphasized here that these rules have been developed for the testing of the model. A more detailed description of them can be found in a seperate publication (Bierschenk, Bierschenk & Sternerup-Hansson, 1979). In the account of the result of the empirical test that will follow in Chapter 6, the way in which the algorithmic analysis has been performed will be made clear.

5. STRUCTURES IN A REPRESENTATIVE DATA BASE

The testing of an analytical method of the kind presented here cannot be performed without a data base. Depending on the purpose of the analysis, it can be organized in different ways and created by means of different techniques. The data base to which the method under discussion has been applied differs in some respects from the bases on which analyses in information science are usually based.

The data base is experimental. It contains (1) all bibliographic data concerning scientific documents produced by a sample of researchers during a period of 40 years, (2) all references cited by these researchers in the documents from this period, and (3) a linkage between the references given in the documents and an extensive interview material (4000 typed pages) concerning the researchers' grant-supported activities. A more detailed account of the data base is given below.

5.1 Organization of the data base

The goal of developing a method for the generation of an intermediate language, with the capacity to convey information within a certain field af application (here research within education), requires a decision regarding who or what should represent the field. Based on results presented in B. Bierschenk (1974), the definition of the researcher population employed here includes psychologists, educationists and sociologists. After "researcher" had been defined, a random sample was drawn from the resulting population. The knowledge represented by these researchers (B. Bierschenk, 1979) can be retrieved in non-fugitive form from their written works (cf. Chapter 1), which have all been collected, starting from their first scientific product (Ph. L. or Ph. D. thesis). Thus this sample of works may be regarded as representative of the focus of attention in research of relevance to Swedish education.

As a rule, each work includes a so-called reference list of the various sources and publications drawn on. The references listed may give a certain idea about the kind of research information that has been of relevance. It is possible to study and control the central vocabulary in the references given. This constitutes a kind of content analysis method used in document description (see Taulbee, 1968).

An experimental data base has been set up, containing bibliographic descriptions of the works and their respective references, connected through identification codes. The description are built up according to one of the international standards (American Psychological Association, 1965). To allow the processing of single pieces of information, they have been divided into several fields. The different types of information are presented in Box 5.

Box 5. Representation of bibliographic information for computational processing

Field Information Name of author with initials for first name and information about author function, e.g. Ed. Title and subtitle of a work 2 3 Place of publishing 4 Publishing company 5 Year, volume, number, page 6 Name of journal, series, mimeograph 7 Other characteristics of works

Each bibliographic reference can be unambiguously identified. The identification code specifies author, sequential order of works, sequential order of references (when given), field entry number, and sequential order within field (when more than 80 columns have been used).

For an analysis of the kind for which this model was developed among the bibliographic characteristics it is only field 2 (titles) that is of any concern. Field 7 contains information about number of pages, language used, document type, and the like. A detailed description of the works, the coding and control is given in B. Bierschenk (1979, Chapter 2 and Appendix). But some

numerical data that may be of special interest will be given here.

The number of works stored in the base is 949, of which 660 (69.5 %) are written in Swedish. The English works number 249 (26.2 %), the German works 26 (2.7 %), works written in other languages being represented by 14 titles (1.4 %).

The number of references cited is 23,141. Here, English is the dominant language, represented by 12,345 (53.4 %) titles, followed by Swedish, 8,865 (38.3 %). German references total 1,153 (5 %), the figure for titles in other languages being 778 (3.3 %).

In order to create manageable and uniform sets of data and formats for several kinds of studies, the document descriptions in the work base and the reference base have been sorted according to the language in which they are written. Field 7 provides such information about the works, and a program has been developed for automatic grouping of references according to language in the reference base (I. Bierschenk, 1978). For testing the automatic coding of information in titles, the Swedish work base and the Swedish reference base were used.

Since, for an investigation of titles, it may be of interest to examine whether there is any correlation between document types and titles, some variables relevant to such a description are presented below. Those variables for which values exist are listed in Box 6.

example:

Box 6. Types of document represented in research on education

Research report Article in research journal	Article in daily or profes- sional press		
Monograph	Preface		
Chapter in book, edited by someone else	Bibliography		
Mimeograph	Official Governmental Reports		
Textbook	Read paper, invited address		

The document types "research report" and "mimeograph" can be distinguished in that reports refer mainly to project reports,

published in reviewed series, printed in various departments, whereas mimeographs refer to the kind of "grey reports" that does not have this formal status.

5.2 Patterns in titles

The coding rules presented in Chapter 4 were constructed after tests had been performed on the Swedish titles of the work base. After control of some initial tests, the demarcation rules were specified. For the construction of the structuring rules the demarcations had to be edited in such a way that a full stop was surrounded by blanks. Continued testing then showed where other changes were needed. A character is not supposed to carry more than one function, which required editing discriminating between dashes and hyphens. A dash is regarded as having a demarcating function and so it had to be surrounded by blanks. Compare the following variation in coding:

En	studie	e av	kreativite	tsutvecklingen	inor	n år	s-
(A 40	study	of 30	creativity	development	with 33	nin	the
kur gra	serna des	4-9 4-9	alterna	atively	4 -	9 30	

In order to prevent the number 9 from being coded as a sentence of its own (which is the consequence when a concept is single), a hyphen had to be inserted. Dashes also had to be exchanged for commas as in the following example:

Lärares er	farenheter	från	förskola		lågstadium	(20)
(Teachers	experiences	from	pre-school	-	primary	
30		33			30	

```
- fritidshem
school - centres for children's leisure activitie
```

This title is "incorrectly" constructed, and so the demarcation mark was exchanged for a character denoting connectivity. Thus, the coding should instead be:

Lärares erfarenheter från förskola, lågstadium, (21) (Teachers' experiences from pre-school, primary 30 33 33

fritidshem school, centres for children's leisure activitie 33

(19)

Editing among non-alphabetic characters also concerned the colon, whose function is that of a demarcator. When denoting the genitive, as in "SIA:s organisation" (SIA's organization), it was deleted, the genitive marker s thus being directly connected to the word stem instead. Further, a comma was replaced by an apostrophe when functioning as a decimal point ("betyget 2,3" (the grade 2.3) was changed into "...2'3"). Certain controls and editings were performed (interactively) via a terminal connecting the Department of Educational and Psychological Research in Malmö to the Computer Centre in Lund through UNIVAC's CTS (Conversational Timesharing System). Editing involving systematic changes, e.g. moving punctuation marks, was carried out automatically.

After these controls and editings the rules were tested once again, and then the phrase dictionaries and the stop rules were specified.

The controlling steps now concern the ability of the rules to operate correctly on the material. The content in the respective codes will be discussed in connection with their relevance to a thesaurus (Chapter 6).

In the following the patterns emerging from the titles will be presented. According to the analytical model the conceptualizations may be more or less explicitly stated. In the most explicit case they are represented by the components 30 + 40 + (80) + 70, together with possible attributes. Thus a pattern is a structural representation of a conceptualization (see the demarcation rules), which implies that certain patterns are possible, common, uncommon, or impossible. Furthermore, there is an in-built restriction in the system, among other things due to the sequential order of the subordinate codes.

For a quantitative description of patterns, "profiles" were printed containing all the existing types together with frequency counts. They showed that there are 85 different patterns, 42 of which (50 %) are unique. The latter types are not very suitable for a quantitative description of the material. Instead, the focus of interest is on certain recurrent patterns, so as to make it possible to discover regularities, which is a prerequisite for the development of algorithms for automatic analyses. Therefore, it was decided that the reference base should be used as a control base. The work base is to a certain extent a

subgroup of the reference base, and so the pattern profiles were also counted on the reference base. The references represent 241 patterns, 89 of which are unique. The number of different patterns in the references is higher than in the work base. At the same time, however, the reference patterns are characterized by a larger number of common features than the works, since only a third of them are unique. A comparison between the patterns in the two bases thus makes it possible to estimate, with some degree of confidence, the consistency of the general features of the work profiles.

In order to determine the commonality of patterns in the works and the references, a lower limit was needed. The works have been produced by 40 researchers. If the same pattern occurs either four times in the works of one person or, conversely, once in each of four persons' works, this means a frequency of 10 % in the sample. Frequencies under 10 % may be regarded as random variation. Therefore, it was decided that only patterns with a frequency of 5 or more should be considered in the comparison.

The patterns of the works were ordered according to their ranks of the references for the respective pattern. Spearman's rank correlation was calculated and found to be high (r_S .89). By means of criterion 5 the work patterns resulted in 19 distinct places of rank. Table 1 shows the result of this comparison.

From Table 1 it is readily seen that to a great extent regular patterns exist. Moreover, the result is based on some 9,500 titles, quite a high number in this kind of study. The first six patterns may be considered the most typical and the most predictable. Variations are marginal. The first difference between the bases is the pattern at work rank 7, the second at rank 13.5, and the third at 15.

The general result of the comparison is that differences in works and references may be apparent when there are more than one extension. It does not seem to be of importance whether the Method component is activated or not. These patterns represent such specific titles as can be found in research reports and mimeographs (cf. Box 7 in the following section). That such works are not cited as often as books may, among other things, be due to the fact that they are not as accessible as are books. The pattern can be exemplified with the conceptor of the type shown in

Rättstavningsförmågans struktur hos pojkar (22) (Correct spelling ability structure in boys 30 33

och flickor i årskurs 4 and girls in grade 4) 33 34

Table 1. Patterns in titles: comparison of ranks in work base and reference base

Patterns Rank order Works References 30 1 1 30 33 2 3 30.30 3 2 40.30 3 2 40.30 4 4 40.30 3 5 6 5 30.33 5 6 40.70 6 5 30.33 8 8 30.30 9.5 7 30.33 9.5 9 40.80 11 11 40.30 33 33 13.5 40.30 33 34 15 23.5 30.33 33 16.5 16.5 30.33 33 16.5 15 30.33 33 33 16.5 30.33 33 33 16.5 30.33 33 33 16.5 30.33 33 33 16.5 30.33 33 33 16.5 30.33 34 18 16.5	- Augusta					A Laster	Mes			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pa	tte	rns		Rank ord Works R	er eference:	s S			
30 33 2 3 30 30 3 2 40 30 4 4 40 30 33 5 6 40 70 6 5 30 33 34 7 10 30 30 33 8 8 30 30 9.5 7 30 33 33 9.5 9 40 80 11 11 40 30 33 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 34 15 23.5 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 34 18 16.5 30 33 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	30	lar	163.6	eș victiria	100 parti		igand			
$30 \cdot 30$ 3 2 $40 \cdot 30$ 4 4 $40 \cdot 30 \cdot 33$ 5 6 $40 \cdot 70$ 6 5 $30 \cdot 33 \cdot 34$ 7 10 $30 \cdot 30 \cdot 33 \cdot 34$ 7 10 $30 \cdot 30 \cdot 33 \cdot 33$ $8 \cdot 8$ $30 \cdot 30 \cdot 30 \cdot 9.5$ 7 $30 \cdot 33 \cdot 33 \cdot 9.5$ 9 $40 \cdot 80$ $11 \cdot 11$ $40 \cdot 30 \cdot 30 \cdot 12$ 13 $40 \cdot 30 \cdot 33 \cdot 33 \cdot 13.5$ 18.5 $40 \cdot 30 \cdot 33 \cdot 34 \cdot 15$ 23.5 $30 \cdot 33 \cdot 33 \cdot 16.5 \cdot 16.5$ $30 \cdot 33 \cdot 33 \cdot 16.5 \cdot 15$ $30 \cdot 33 \cdot 33 \cdot 16.5 \cdot 15$ $30 \cdot 33 \cdot 34 \cdot 34 \cdot 18 \cdot 16.5$ $40 \cdot 70 \cdot 70 \cdot 19 \cdot 20$	30	33			2	3				
40 30 4 4 40 30 33 5 6 40 70 6 5 30 33 34 7 30 33 34 7 30 30 33 8 30 30 9.5 7 30 33 33 9.5 9 40 80 11 11 11 40 30 30 12 13 40 30 33 33 34 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 33 34 15 23.5 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 34 48 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	30	• 30			3	2 2				
40 30 33 5 6 40 70 6 5 30 33 34 7 30 30 33 8 30 30 33 8 30 30 9.5 7 30 33 33 9.5 9 40 80 11 11 11 40 30 30 12 13 40 30 33 33 34 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 33 34 15 23.5 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	40	30			4	4				
40 70 6 5 30 33 34 7 10 30 30 33 8 8 30 30 9.5 7 30 33 33 9.5 9 40 80 11 11 40 30 30 12 13 40 30 33 31 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 34 15 23.5 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	40	30	33		5	6				
30 33 34 7 10 30 30 33 8 8 30 30 9.5 7 30 33 33 9.5 9 40 80 11 11 40 30 30 12 13 40 30 33 33 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 34 15 23.5 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 48 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	40	70			6	5				
$30 \ 30 \ 33$ 88 $30 \ 30 \ 30$ 9.57 $30 \ 33 \ 33$ 9.59 $40 \ 80$ 1111 $40 \ 30 \ 30$ 1213 $40 \ 30 \ 33 \ 33$ 13.518.5 $40 \ 30 \ 33 \ 34$ 13.512 $30 \ 33 \ 33 \ 34$ 1523.5 $30 \ 33 \ 33 \ 33$ 16.516.5 $30 \ 33 \ 34 \ 34$ 1816.5 $40 \ 70 \ 70$ 1920	30	33	34		7	10				
$30 \ 30 \ 30$ 9.5 7 $30 \ 33 \ 33$ 9.5 9 $40 \ 80$ 1111 $40 \ 30 \ 30$ 1213 $40 \ 30 \ 33 \ 33$ 13.518.5 $40 \ 30 \ 33 \ 34$ 13.512 $30 \ 33 \ 33 \ 34$ 1523.5 $30 \ 33 \ 33 \ 33$ 16.516.5 $30 \ 33 \ 34 \ 34$ 1816.5 $40 \ 70 \ 70$ 1920	30	30	33		8	8			1	
30 33 33 9.5 9 40 80 1111 40 30 30 1213 40 30 33 33 13.518.5 40 30 33 34 13.512 30 33 34 1523.5 30 33 33 16.516.5 30 33 33 16.515 30 33 34 1816.5 40 70 70 1920	30	30	30		9.5	7				
40 80 11 11 40 30 30 12 13 40 30 33 33 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 34 15 23.5 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 48 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	30	33	33		9.5	9				
40 30 30 12 13 40 30 33 33 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 34 15 23.5 30 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 16.5 15 30 30 33 34 18 16.5 15 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	40	80			11	11				
40 30 33 33 13.5 18.5 40 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 33 34 15 23.5 30 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	40	30	30		12	13				
40 30 33 34 13.5 12 30 33 33 34 15 23.5 30 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	40	30	33	33	13.5	18.5				
30 33 33 34 15 23.5 30 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	40	30	33	34	13.5	12				
30 30 33 33 16.5 16.5 30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	30	33	33	34	15	23.5				
30 33 33 33 16.5 15 30 33 34 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	30	30	33	33	16.5	16.5				
30 33 34 34 18 16.5 40 70 70 19 20	30	33	33	33	16.5	15				
40 70 70 19 20	30	33	34	34	18	16.5				
	40	70	70		19	20				
	the strangered	Martine the car of place	and the second second second	anna màirte an a chuirte an ann an tao	a promoting from a spectra y the role of the second second second second second second second second second sec	anaranga belagangan nganangana sogeonga	ti Carton Carrow and State			

In view of the covariation of patterns within the reference base and the high correlation between the bases, only the title patterns in the work base will be studied further. The analysis, therefore, is focused on the patterns themselves in the context of the coding rules. Problems in the form of single concepts of the type shown in examples (15) and (16) in Chapter 4.3 constitute the most frequent pattern, followed by a combination of two Problem concepts or a Problem together with one extension. The more complex the patterns are, the less often they appear. A pattern simultaneously activating all components in the analytical model does not seem to exist. Only two intentional components are activated in one and the same title, in the first place Method + Problem, in the second place Method + Goal, and in the third place Method + Instrument. Further, when activated, Method is always activated first. Problem is the only other component that can also be activated initially. These observations lead on to the question of regularities within the patterns, regardless of frequency. For a study of the activation patterns of the components, a matrix was set up showing the sequential order of codes as seen throughout pattern types in the work titles. This transition matrix is given in Table 2.

Table 2. Patterns in titles: transition matrix

	30	33	34	35	36	37	40	70	73	74	75	76	77	80	83	84	85	86	87	99	
0	747					141	233	•					3.973	er	1.11					0	
30 33	241	363 71	77				2 5	6 4						5						546 270	
34			17	22				1						2						52	
35 36				8	5 2	1							P 1 :	1						15 4	
37 40	167						13	49						26						1	
70	8						1	6	17		~ 0									42	
73									10	8										9	
74								1804		1	1									7	
75 76																			at.	1 0	
77 80								7				nve		1	14					0 20	
83								1								4				9	
84 85								1									1			3 1	
86 87													any							0	

second and excellent. The elso relatively

The matrix shows that only codes 30 and 40 appear initially. The concentration in the left corner indicates the dominance of the Problem component. It is also apparent that the Method component

is followed only by a main component, mostly 30. The main components are more frequently followed by an extension than by other main components. When Goal (70) is followed by 30, it may be assumed that a coordinate construction is involved, i.e. the Problem component initiates the following conceptualization, or that a concept with a double function is present (see example (49), Chapter 6). An extensional code is frequently repeated or followed by the following code in the sequential order; the lower its sequential number, the more often is this the case. This should imply that the more extensions a concept has, the more likely is it that no transition to a new main component is performed. This is also expressed in the final column, where it can be directly seen which components are more frequent in terminal positions than in other. Thus it should be noted that Method does not appear in terminal position, a logical consequence of the fact that Method is not preceded by preposition.

This transition matrix may now be summarized and visualized by means of a graph. For this description the transitional probabilities (from Table 2) were calculated, a lower limit for the calculation being set at a row frequency of 10. It was further decided that only such proportions should be considered at the interpretation as were equal to or higher than .10. As a consequence, it is easy to distinguish the general features of the patterns. The graph is presented in Figure 5.

The horizontally related nodes in Figure 5 indicate the intention of the analytical paradigm, whereas the vertically related ones indicate extensions of the concepts. The broken-line nodes mark the place of possible arguments according to the model. The figures at the transition indicate the probability that a certain argument, when appearing, is followed by the next one. The arrow from a node back to itself indicates the reflexive functions of the model.

Of all the possible patterns not many have been activated. The pattern with the highest probability is represented by the link between Method and Problem. There are also relatively high probabilities of occurrence within almost the entire Problem complex. ("Complex" is here defined as "consisting of interconnected parts".) It then emerges that Goal is demarcated to a greater extent than

so much of the project
Instrument, but that the probability is higher that Instrument, when appearing, has an extension, although only one. Connectivity, however, does not appear at Instrument. Further, Instrument "governs" Goal to a greater extent then Method "governs" Instrument or Goal.



Figure 5. Graph description of titles

As argued in Chapter 4.3, titles should reflect the activity of their authors. According to B. Bierschenk (1977), educational researchers express a desire for methodological intensification, but also prefer working with general problems. In other words, the problem orientation is obvious. The development of new methods requires in many respects greater work intensity and time investment, which grant-supported research does not allow owing to the time limits imposed. This is probably also the reason why Instrument and Goal are not very often explicitly mentioned. Demarcating and defining problems in such a way that they become "researchable" requires so much of the project time that other activities in the research process are often suppressed. This seems to be borne out by the patterns in the titles communicated by the researchers themselves.

5.3 Degree of differentiation as a function of document type On the basis of the results accounted for in the preceding section, it appears natural to take a closer look at the works produced by the researchers, i.e. an attempt will be made to determine whether there are particular structures characterizing titles of specific types of documents. Since this type of research covers a wide range of activities, it should be expected that there is an interrelation between the construction of titles and the form of representation chosen.

In Chapter 5.1 it was mentioned that the bibliographic information has been supplemented with non-bibliographic material, including, among other things, type of document. The types that are represented in the material and which will be used here have been presented in Box 6.

As a basis for the comparison, type of pattern according to Table 1 (Chapter 5.2) is used. The same limit has been chosen, i.e. the pattern must have a frequency of at least 5 throughout all works in the Swedish language. But in order to prevent the matrix from becoming too open, such structural relations can be employed as have crystallized from the transition matrix, being visualized in the graph. Thus a grouping should be performed.

The first criterion for grouping concerns the two main patterns, namely the difference between Problem (30) and Method (40). In this way patterns with and without 40 are distinguished. Then the patterns are analysed according to intentionality or extentionality, indicating structural complexity at different levels. The 40 type is process-oriented, the 30 type problem-oriented, which means that the former relates phenomena whereas the latter describes and demarcates one and the same phenomenon. Further differentiation then results first in the groups 40 + 30, 40 + 70, and 40 + 80, representing explicit intentional relations between concepts. The corresponding pattern of the other type is a single 30, since the problem orientation is characterized by implicit intention. Thus explicitly stated intentionality forms one main group, and implicit intentionality forms the other. The degree of complexity is not assumed to increase with connective relations. This implies that combinations such as 40 + 30 + 30 and 30 + 30 + 30 within the respective groups are allowed (see the variants in Table 1, Chapter 5.2).

A further distinction is now necessary. It concerns the presence of extensions within each main group. Among the patterns in the first group it can be seen that only the 40 + 30 type is followed by extensions. If the connectivity rule is to be followed (as it should be), the pattern 40 + 30 + 33 is also formed. As a consequence the pattern 30 + 33 is given within the other main group.

The degree of complexity grows according to the concentric principle. Thus one more pattern in each main group can be formed, namely 40 + 30 + 33 + 34 and 30 + 33 + 34, respectively.

A comparison between document types will now be made with these groups as a starting-point. Eight groups could be discerned. They were ranked according to the frequency of the entire group. The result is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Proportions of pattern types for single document types

	99900-000-000-009 <u>-0</u> 90-0000	har	1. 1. 6. 5. 5. 5.	raphy		uţ		ional	ųd.	ų	×	iper	um tion	ц ц	aph	
Dowle	a di			Bibliog	Preface	Chapter book	Journal article	Profess press	Monogra	Researc	Textboo	Read pa	Symposi publica	Officia Goverme Report	Mimeogr	
Rallk	type			001	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Σf
1	30			01	02	08	14	04	25	35	06	00	01	02	03	468
2 3 4	30 33 40 30 40 30	33		01	02 03	10 13 04	15 07	03	14 13 04	40 53 71	05	01	02	01 02	05 12 18	206 60 45
5	30 33 40 70	34			03	06 06	20 11	03 03	34	49 17	20	03		11	09 06	35 35
7 8	40 80 40 30	33	34				15 11	08	38 22	31 67					80	13 9

The Table presents a ranking order of pattern types and a classification of document types. If each document is regarded as a sample from the work base, it may be of interest to study which pattern is the most typical for the respective samples. In order to find these patterns it is appropriate to standardize the frequencies with which the patterns appear. Such a standardization should be performed for each pattern, i.e. across the categories. The first pattern is thus estimated at 3/468...15/468. The method for determining the typical pattern is as follows:

First the highest proportion for a certain pattern is looked up across the columns. Then it is determined for which column the pattern is the most typical. For example, the pattern 30 + 33 + 34 is typical of the categories 4 and 11. But since .20 is a higher value than .11, it can be concluded that for category 4 this pattern is the most typical.

This criterion gives the following general features. The pattern type 30 cannot be said to be typical of any particular category. The fact that titles can display more than one pattern (cf. Chapter 4.3) may be the reason why this pattern type does not differentiate between categories. The type 30 + 33 is very frequent, too. A Problem component, single or together with one extension at most, appears in all the document types and so does not function as a typical pattern representing a certain sample of documents.

When it comes to 40 + 30, it can be considered a typical pattern. It is the most typical pattern in titles which are headings of chapters in a book edited by someone other than the author of the title. These are often books containing scientific papers. Titles of books produced by the 40 authors, each title having been produced by a single author, are characterized by other typical patterns. The pattern 40 + 80 is typical of monographs, whereas textbooks are of the 40 + 70 type. The Monographs in this material include som theses whose basic feature is the explicit statement of the instruments and techniques used for the testing of a method. The textbooks investigated state explicitly for whom or what they are intended, i.e. the goal may be certain groups of persons, a grade in school, etc.

Titles of "book types" typically contain a Method component + one more intentional component, which seems to vary systematically with document type.

The 40 + 30 + 33 pattern is typical of research reports. Thus reports have, as opposed to the others, both intention and extension explicitly stated in their titles. There are also reports with a higher degree of extensionality (the pattern 40 + 30 + 33 + 34.) This, however, is not the most typical situation according

to the method of determination employed here. A higher degree of extensionality is in general expressed in the titles of scientific journal articles, but the type pattern is 30 + 33 + 34; thus there is no explicit statement of intentionality.

Further, the proportions show that there are pattern similarities between research reports and mimeographs, between journal articles and Official Government Reports, and between monographs and textbooks. But according to the method used for the determination of typical patterns, only five types are distinguished, differing from each other in so-called structural complexity. First, a group expressing explicit intentionality is determined through Method + one more main component. Second, a type pattern is determined having implicit intentionality and second-degree extensionality. Third, a further type pattern is found, characterized by explicit intentionality and first-degree extensionality. The pattern structure thus emerging is summarized in Box 7.

Box 7. Structural variation in titles: complexity characterizing type of document

Group	Pattern type	Document type
1	40 + 70	Textbook
	40 + 80	Monograph
	40 + 30	Chapter in book
2	30 + 33 + 34	Journal article
3	40 + 30 + 33	Research report

The structural variation in the titles, as resulting from the analysis performed, seems to be a consequence of the representation form chosen. However, whithout detailed studies and experiments it is difficult to determine the extent to which the conceptual structures in the single groups correspond to the information they are intended to communicate.

In the following chapter results from the automatic coding of the titles will be presented. Examples of various difficulties will also be given.

6. CONCEPTS IN FUNCTIONALLY RELATED REGISTERS

In this chapter it will be demonstrated how the coding mechanism has worked out on authentic material. First the results of the operation strategy of the rules will be exemplified. Then the coding will be examined within the context of language structures. Finally, the concepts and their function in the registers that are to be generated will be presented and discussed. (For a definition of "register" in this context, see Chapter 1.)

The presentation in the first section will be structured according to the groups that were distinguished and described in Chapter 5.3. But not all examples per group coincide with the document type of which the pattern is typical. Titles from other document types will also be included in the presentation in order to illustrate the underlying conceptual variation of the PmG model. As has been discussed in Chapter 4, a conceptual schema which does not require detailed analyses of different elements in the language is adopted here. It is important to keep this characteristic of the PmG paradigm in mind when studying the results of the analysis.

6.1 Coding of functional relations

A significant result of the pattern structure analysis is that most of the structures are of the types 30 and 30 + 33, including connective structures, mainly the 30 + 30 variant. A single 30 pattern has been exemplified in Chapter 4 (examples (15) - (17)) and will not be repeated here. The principles of the restricted coding, i.e. coding by means of paired numbers denoting superand subordination within the concept complex, were also explained in Chapter 4. (24) below may serve as an illustration of a computer output with that type of pattern, as compared to (23) in which the concepts function connectively.

Psykologin och	samhället	s is to dev(23)
30 30	society)	
Man ^e manalan l	service sixted goal popula	tions (25).

Tonårsskolaiutbildningssamhälle(24)(Teenager school in educational society)3033

That (23) aims at discussing the relationship between psychology and society is certainly indisputable, and as long as the author of the title does not supply any further information the two phenomena should be treated together and thus be coded as two concepts of the same order. It is also likely that such a title may entail a discussion of society from a psychological perspective, i.e. the title "Samhället och psykologin" (Society and psychology) could be as relevant as the original one. "Psykologin i relation till samhället" (Psychology in relation to society), however, would more clearly indicate the intended focus. In example (24) the extension (code 33) denotes the scope (context) within which the problem area tonårsskola (teenager school) is discussed. Since none of the titles within these patterns cause any trouble and further demarcations connectively marked by och (and) or comma do not change the result within these patterns, they will not be considered any further here. Instead, the described discussion will concentrate on the pattern groups described in the previous section (see Box 7).

The first group consists of a pattern type including Method and Goal (40 + 70), Instrument (40 + 80), or Problem (40 + 30), thus representing titles with explicit intentionality. Structurally these three are similar, so there is no ranking order here. The order of exemplification has been chosen according to the degree of explicitness in the model.

Studieteknikför vuxna(Study techniques for adults)4070	(25)
Mål för lärarutbildning (Goals for teacher training) 40 70	(26)
Några program för elektronisk databehandling (Some programs for electronic data processing)	(27)

A typical concern in this population of researchers is to develop teaching materials and guides of several kinds. In general, they address themselves to explicitly stated goal populations (25). *Vuxna* (adults) is thus the group towards which the methodological work is directed. Another common activity is to produce goal descriptions (26) for various teaching and training purposes. The

concept *mål* (goals) as a representative of a method may seem strange. But *målbeskrivning* (goal description), i.e. the activities involved in *att beskriva mål* (describing goals, to describe goals) has a clearly methodological meaning within what is called educational technology. Here, the goal is to provide *lärarutbildning* (teacher training) with a set of operationalized goals. (27) is an example of a methodological activity with a more research-oriented goal.

Some kind of instrument is often involved in the activity, even if not explicitly stated. Some such coding results are given below.

Effektivare träning med videobandspelare (28) (More effective training with videotape recorder) 40 80

Försökmed två olikatyper av ordlistor(29)(Experiments with two different types of word lists)4080

Familjeterapi med alkoholskadade föräldrar (30) (Family therapy with alcoholic parents) 40 80

These examples show different kinds of concepts in an instrumental function. Technical aids are typical instruments in education and teaching (28). Educational research has during the last 15 years been characterized as classroom experiments. As a model for testing and evaluation it has often used prototypes of teaching materials (29). Both instructional and educational instruments, as well as research instruments, are therefore to be considered means of attaining goals which in this context are implicit.

Another method is representation of researchers involved in therapy (30). In this example a group of persons functions as instrument. It can be noted that the title "Familjeterapi för alkoholskadade föräldrar" (Family therapy for alcoholic parents) would have implied that the persons themselves had been the objective focused on (i.e. the goal population). In example (30) the instrumental function indicates that the method as such (and its possible effects) is in focus. *Alkoholskadade föräldrar* (alcoholic parents) have in the development and discussion of the therapeutic method the function of means. In empirical research the variables of analysis form the instrument itself. A title

like "Integrering av barn med handikapp" (Integration of children with handicaps) is thus an example of a *med* (with) phrase coded as being instrumental, and not associative (cf. the discussion in Chapter 4.3).

Finally, some examples from the third variant in this pattern group are given.

Mätning av mental prestationsutveckling (Measurement of mental performance development) 40 30	(31)
Reflexioner om vardagsinlärning (Reflections on everyday learning) 40 30	(31)
Grunddragen av den svenska militära undervisningens (An outline of the Swedish military education 40 30	(33)
histora history)	

Several kinds of measurement are connected with the more experimentally oriented part of this population of researchers (31). Mental prestationsutveckling mental performance development) is presumed to be a well-defined problem, since it can be measured. What is meant by vardagsinlärning (everyday learning) does not yet seem to lead anywhere further than to reflections. Here the choice of preposition is important. It is even more important in example (33). If the author had used i (in), the pattern would have been 30 + 33, and grunddragen (outline) would have been coded as problem. With knowledge of this particular author's field of activity it can be said that the method is to dra ut (lay bare) grunderna (the outlines) of the history of Swedish education. The concept grunddragen (outline) is a Swedish example of how events and single acts have been condensed and transformed into a label for a conceptualization that cannot be decomposed. Moreover, these three method concepts may be regarded as examples of three scientific approaches which very likely would not have emerged by means of other methods of analysis.

The pattern type analysed has not caused any difficulties in the coding, neither in its single form nor in its compound variants.

The second pattern group consists of a pattern type including

problem and two demarcations (30 + 33 + 34), which means a pattern representing titles with implicit intentionality and second-degree extensionality.

(34)Enkätsvar från klasslärare (Questionnaire responses from school teachers 30 33 och klinikföreståndare i årskurs 3 and clinic directors in grade 3) 33 34 (35)Pedagogiska problem vid undervisning av (Pedagogical problems in the education of 30 33 34 särskoleelever disabled pupils) till och genom gymnasiet (36)Vägen (The way to and through the gymnasium (grades 30 33 Sverige i 10-12) in Sweden)

34

The first example (34) reflects a common activity among the researchers, i.e. the use of questionnaires. In this case, however, the questionnaires themselves are the objective of the report, i.e. the responses, which have been carefully specified. Example (35) focuses on educational problems, not on the pupils themselves. *Särskoleelever* (disabled pupils) is used in this title to specify the problem. Example (36) emphasizes the "pathway" followed in Swedish "gymnasial" (upper secondary school) studies.

This pattern type displays greater complexity than the one discussed earlier, implying that more rules are activated in the automatic coding (see Box 4, Chapter 4.3) and also that there is a risk of misinterpretation. For example, rule 13 has operated in title (35). When the problem has been coded after vid (in) has operated, av (of) cannot point to a problem. The concept demarcated by av (of) instead becomes subordinate to the first extension. In title (36) a stop rule has operated. The coordination of two determinations through a combination of two prepositions is in the coding procedure performed in such a way that the first becomes a "pointer" (according to rule 5).

In this pattern group the procedure has resulted in only one coding error. Consider the following example:

Det fria tillvalet på grundskolans högstadium (37) (The free subject choice in upper comprehensive school 30 33 och vägen till gymnasiet and the way to the gymnasium) 33(30) 34(33)

The correct code numbers are given within parentheses. This title expresses two conceptualizations, connected by a conjunction. They are of the same type, i.e. 30 + 33. The coding process goes from left to right and the algorithm has not been able to handle this. The second conceptualization is not coded before *och* (and) operates. *Till* (to) is then ordered according to the preceding concept and coordinated with the part preceding *och* (and). This title may be compared with a correctly coded title which also shows relatively great structural complexity:

En studie av kreativitetsutvecklingen inom (A study of creativity development within 40 30 33 årskurserna 4-9 samt en undersökning av and [also] an investigation of grades 4-9 40 30 kreativitetens samvariation med intelligens creativity's intercorrelation with intelligence)

Rule 10, which states that only one main preposition of the *av* (of) type can be present in a clause, here defines "clause" adequately, preventing *samt* (and [also]) from being coded as a connector between *årskurserna* (grades) and *undersökning* (investigation). The conceptualization after *samt* (and [also]) contains a main preposition, which according to rule 12 operates backwards (40 before 30).

Apart from example (37) no questionable codings have emerged within this pattern type.

The third pattern group is exemplified through the most common structure of explicitly stated intentionality, expanded with first-degree extensionality, that is the 40 + 30 + 33 pattern. The type can be realized as follows:

Mätningar	av	språkfärd	lighet	i	tyska	(39)
(Measurements	of	language	proficiency	in	German)	
40	30			33		

(38)

Två notiser om mätningav förändring(Two notes on the measurement of change)403033

Urvalav elever till teoretisk utbildning(41)(Selection of pupils totheoretical education)403033

An interesting comparison can be made between (39) and (40). In (40) mätning (measurement) is the objective of the study and does not indicate the activity itself, as opposed to (39). Title (40) may be interpreted as if the problem mätning av förändring (measurement of change) has a precise meaning for the author. Thus förändring (change) is not the problem; instead, av (of) has been "degraded" by om (on), i.e. a problem component has already been determined before av (of) operates. Contrary to the author of "Reflexioner om..." (Reflections on...) discussed above, the author of (40) gives the impression of having a well-defined problem area to deal with. Notes are common as a form of presentation when the content does not refer to an empirical investigation. This is, however, the case in (39), which suggests that the author reports on mätningar (measurements) that have been performed. Title (40), by contrast, indicates that the author's aim is to discuss certain aspects of measurement; he need not have performed any measurements himself.

Title (41) gives an example of further activities among researchers and/or educational policy makers, namely the development and testing of selection techniques. The example is also interesting in that *teoretisk* utbildning (theoretical education) determines or governs elever (pupils) and not urval (selection), which it might seem to do at first sight. The title should instead be interpreted as "urval av sådana elever som är lämpliga att tillhöra den grupp som går i teoretisk utbildning" (selection of such pupils as are qualified to belong to a group participating in theoretical education). In this case the preposition till (to) has been found to be the most adequate to express the function of "assignment to". If the title had instead been worded "Urval av elever för teoretisk utbildning" (Selection of pupils for theoretical education), education would have been the goal of the selection, i.e. the pupils would be expected to educate themselves in theoretical subjects. No such expectation is conveyed

79

(40)

by till (to).

There are no unsatisfactory coding results to be reported within this pattern type.

As shown by Table 3 in the previous chapter, the pattern discussed is typical of research reports. However, reports are so frequent in the material that other pattern types also appear in them. Therefore, some examples will also be given of titles showing still greater structural complexity.

Användning av ITV vid undervisning i muntlig (Use of ITV at instruction in oral 40 30 33 34 framställning presentation)

Studier av sociala relationer mellan barn i (43) (Studies of social relations between children in 40 30 33 34 folkskoleklasser elementary school classes)

No coding problems have emerged within these pattern types. The "concentric principle" can be found to be at work in both (42) and (43), i.e. the outermost extension demarcates the nearest inward concept.

An example of a title with a high degree of complexity which has been correctly coded is:

relationerna mellan (44) Två utredningar om (Two investigations concerning the relations between 40 30 33 med särskilt brukare, förvaltare och byggare users, administrators and constructors with special 33 33 34 avseende på barn och ungdom reference to children and young people) 34

This is a research report (not a Swedish "utredning" in the form of an Official Government Report). Investigating is, however, a variant of research activity, and thus *utredningar* (investigations) is coded as being a method. Irrespective of whether the report is interpreted as being a kind of official investigation or a presentation of other investigations, it is representative of the differentiated activities within this group of researchers. Further, the example shows that the connective

(42)

functions have not increased coding difficulties and also that a multi-word preposition has operated correctly.

Within this type a couple of questionable codings have appeared, namely

ett forskningsprojekt (45)Promemoria rörande (Memorandum concerning a research project 40 30 angående generationsmotsättningar och upptagande av about generation gaps and adoption of 30 (34) 33 40 (33) vuxenrollen the adult role) Preliminär redovisning av resultat från en (46)(Preliminary account of results from a 40 30 33 nordisk utprövning av studiematerial och näringslivsof study material and economic Nordic test 34 34 (30) synpunkter på innehållet i dessa on the content in these) views 35 (33) 36(34)

The first example may be compared with (38) above. The coding has been processed in the same way after *och* (and), but here it is not a disconnector. The correct coding within parantheses shows that two conceptualizations are not present. The algorithm does not function when the patterns on both sides of a connector are not in balance (in this case the elements are of different kinds).

Example (46) is, on the whole, characterized by rather a high degree of imbalance. It connects, by right coding (see parentheses), two conceptualizations of different kinds, namely 40 + 30 + 33 + 34 and 30 + 33 + 34. The problem concerning the second conceptualization seems to be the result of an ambiguous abstraction. Moreover, the use of pronouns leads to special difficulties in conceptual analysis, regardless of correct coding.

Finally, the last two incorrect codings will be presented. They belong within a more complex pattern type than the ones just discussed, since they represent a higher degree of intentionality.

Redogörelse fö (Account of 40	r mätningar measurements	av samband mellan of relatedness between 30 33	(47)
uppförande behaviour mark	respektive s respectively 33	ordningsbetyg och discipline marks and 40(33	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

ämnesbetyg för elever på högstadiet subject marks for pupils at upper comprehensive school level) 70 73

En jämförelse mellan två system för bedömning (A comparison between two systems for evaluation 30 33 70

och betygsättning av fysikskrivningar i gymnasietand gradingof physics examsin the gymnasium)40(70)30(73)33

In title (47) the main rule has operated at *för* (for), preventing the balancing rule at *och* (and) from operating. The second example contains one more error, in that *fysikskrivningar* (physics exams) has been assigned a main code, a consequence of *och* (and) not being able to operate as a clause demarcator (according to rule 10).

Within the Goal complex fysikskrivningar (physics exams) constitutes the problem, while the report focuses on the comparison between the systems. This double function in the components of the Goal complex may be compared with the title below.

En observationsteknik för bedömning av (An observation technique for evaluation of 40 70 30 samarbetskarakteristika vid grupparbete cooperation characteristics in group work) 33

Only one problem is explicitly stated in this title, but the Problem component in this type of title has a double function, which mirrors the research process very well.

There are a small number of titles of this type. (49) should be interpreted in such a way that an *observationsteknik* (observation technique) is developed in relation to a certain problem, here *samarbetskarakteristika* (cooperation characteristics). In such cases the problem is used instrumentally. Not until the technique has been developed may the *bedömning* (evaluation) of the problem take place.

Further examples of this construction are "Ett system för klassificering av feltyper i diagnostiska skrivprov" (A system for classification of error types in diagnostic written tests), "Ett attitydformulär för studium av elevernas inställning till skolmiljön" (An attitude form for the study of the pupils' attitude to the school environment), and "Forskningsprogram för process-

82

(48)

(49)

analyser av årskurslöst högstadium" (Research program for process analyses of non-graded upper comprehensive school). The activities denoted as goals by these titles must, seen along the time dimension, be placed after the development of the method. In titles like (48) it would be quite feasible to denote, in a second step, this double function of the $f \ddot{\sigma}r$ (for) structure. But whether and in what manner this is done will be affected by the functional utilization of the register, which to a great extent will be an empirical question.

The five titles presented above as being incorrectly coded make up all the errors in the material tested. Based on the numbers of patterns (n = 871), the proportion is .0057, i.e. no more than six titles out of thousand patterns have been coded incorrectly, due to the inability of the demarcation rules to handle the difference between connection and disconnection.

6.2 Intermediate language functions

With the representational function of language as a starting point, it was assumed in Chapter 3 that there exist different levels of representation as a consequence of the different transformational stages through which documents pass in content description processes. This assumption also implies that different levels of representation are characterized by different degrees of abstraction. In this respect the intermediate language has been defined as the structural representation that should be used in a thesaurus for communication between author and information searcher. The starting point for the generation of this language is the organization of the titles.

In general, intermediate languages have a higher degree of "formal logic" than natural languages. In contrast, the performance of the natural language is more dependent on "psycho-logic" (cf. Abelson & Rosenberg, 1958) than are languages of formal logic. The psycho-logic is represented by the way in which abstractions are formed and how they are conveyed and related in a language structure. To relate objects and concepts functionally seems to be the child's "psycho-logical" perception of its environment. Only as adults do humans "learn" to structure their environment according to formal logic, which means that the natural language is provided with formal classes (cf. Miller, 1967).

The algorithm developed in the present study for the organization of concepts is based on assumptions of relations with a higher degree of formalization than natural language, i.e. the algorithm is based on intermediate language functions. The concepts are assumed to be part of cognitive structures which, because of the degree of formalization in the titles, are possible to code automatically. The functional relations are here signalled by prepositions. In the preceding section some coding results were demonstrated, in which the algorithm has generated unsatisfactory coding proposals in relation to expectations. The logic presupposed by the algorithm did not coincide with the structure of the title in those cases. It is the conjunctions that have caused trouble in that the proper discrimination between connection and disconnection has not been made. This implies that the functional role of the concepts has not been distinguished either. In this section an attempt will be made to examine whether the structural representation of the concepts in a title corresponds to the expected degree of abstraction.

The concepts determined by prepositions and conjunctions are assumed to have such a construction at the intermediate level that they may, without their context, become functional entities in a register and represent the title from which they are generated. From this point of view certain characteristic features in natural language cannot be accepted, such as inference and reference. As pointed out in Chapter 4, relations in natural and intermediate structures are expressed in different ways. A natural language is more concrete than the intermediate variety. This basic difference may be compared with the active - passive dimension. An active way of writing is "close to things", i.e. close to what is to be described, while a passive way of writing increases the distance between the writer and what is to be described. Some examples of titles which may be discussed from this point of view are given in Figure 6.

Within the context of the functions of the coded units and the operational procedure of the algorithm, certain aspects of the titles in Figure 6 call for some comments.

- Baskunskaper
 och basfärdigheter ur
 perspektivet
 skolans
 övergripande mål.

 (Basic abilities and basic skills
 sedda
 from the perspective of the school's general
 goals.)

 30
 30
 seen
 33
- 2.Projekt Ug. UngdomiGöteborg. Skolsegregation.Förekomst och vissaeffekter(Project UG. Young people in Göteborg. School segregation. Presenceand certain effectsdärav.3030333030thereof.)
- 3. Tjugosex
 års
 uppföljning av en grupp elever,
 enligt
 folkskolestadgans
 paragraf 48.

 (Twenty six years' follow-up
 of a group of pupils
 som avgått
 according to elementary school regulations' paragraph 48.)

 40
 30
 who dropped out
 33

 30
 30
 30
- 4. <u>Kamratbedömning som validitetskriterium och som medel</u> (Peer evaluation as validity criterion and as means att studera gruppdynamiken.
 30 33 33 to study the group dynamics.)

5. <u>attityder till jämställdhet.</u> <u>Att mäta</u> attitudes to equality.) (To measure 33 30

Figure 6. Examples of structural levels in titles.

The first title in Figure 6 has a little gap in the form of the participle *sedda* (seen). This unit will be taken as an independent concept, partly because of the demarcating function of the dash, partly because of *ur* (from) being the operator of a new unit. If, however, *sedda* (seen) had been eliminated (which would have been better), the last unit would have been assigned the code number 30, since rule 4 would then have operated. To maintain the relation between the super- and the subordination, the dash has to be eliminated. Thus the algorithm cannot handle this construction. There is no incorrect coding performed, however. Through the identification coding (see Box 5, Chapter 5.1) the elements belonging to the same title are not lost either.

The dimension denoted by *sedda* (seen) is neither active nor passive (cf. *Mittelwort* in German). At an intermediate level, however, the participle form is too "concrete" (it is implicit in the phrase *ur perspektivet* (from the perspective)).

The second title gives, at the beginning, a staccato-like impression. Its last conceptualization contains a component from natural language, which requires reference. It is doubtful what därav (thereof) refers to, depending on whether, in turn, förekomst (presence) refers to skolsegregation (school segregation). An anaphoric pronominal word is thus inappropriate within the context of the function that a title should have: the unit arranged under the Problem register would have the structure vissa effekter därav (certain effects thereof). However, the identification code links the unit to the right title.

This staccato-like title is not suitable for any other kind of information search than that performed via keyword representation (i.e. a search for the presence of terms in the same title), since the author himself did not indicate how the three first conceptualizations are related to each other.

Another example of reference is given in the third title, whose gap gives an even more concrete impression than the first two. With respect to the kind of pupils that the problem refers to, the second part of this title seems to be a little too long, since there now exists a specific term, *studieavbrytare* (drop-out), to designate this kind of pupil. Here, then, is an example of a more natural, i.e. more concrete, level. The generated unit *som* *avgått* (who dropped out) belongs to the above-mentioned active way of writing. Verb forms make for concreteness (cf. Chapter 4). As soon as a phenomenon has been studied and defined, and thus incorporated in a certain conceptual structure, it can be communicated in abstracted form. As further examples of this two titles from another author may be examined.

(50)Försöksverksamhet med nya former för samarbete (Experimental work with new forms for collaboration 40 80 70 studerande, lärare och övrig personal vid mellan and other staff between students, teachers at 73 73 73 74 lärarutbildningsanstalter teachers ' training colleges)

Försöksverksamhet med nya samarbetsformer vid (51) (Experimental work with new collaboration forms at 40 80 83 lärarhögskolan i Malmö the School of Education in Malmö) 84

The first example is taken from a mimeographed paper produced in 1969. Its goal is collaboration between different categories of staff, and in order to achieve this some experimentation with *nya former* (new forms), vaguely defined, is carried out. When a research report appears in 1972 (example (51)) the "forms" are more sharply defined and the author is able to form the concept *samarbetsformer* (collaboration forms).

Such a relatively simple contraction, from the point of view of language structure, is more difficult to accomplish in example 3 in Figure 6. The phrase *paragraf* 48-elever (paragraph 48 pupils) has been used at an intermediate stage. The reason why the pronoun *som* (who) has not been interpreted as a prepositional *som* (as), in the operational sense, is the comma. The rules do not accept relative pronouns, but this case has nevertheless been correctly coded.

In contrast to the relative pronoun just discussed, the fourth title shows a coding of a prepositional *som* (as), which does not cause any gap. The two 33 units are interrelated and assigned to the same register, but the second part is in imbalance compared with the first part. *Validitetskriterium* (validity criterion) is assumed by the author to be a communicative concept, as opposed to gruppdynamiksobservationsinstrument (group dynamics observation instrument) into which the second concept could be formalized. The cause of the imbalance is probably that the construction with att (to) is used when a more general concept cannot be formed. Such a formation requires unambiguous relations to have been established in the research process, providing the basis for the meaning conveyed. The more explicit level of structuring characterizing this unit may require that the elements should be treated as kinds of elementary units, which calls for other algorithmic analyses than are necessary at an intermediate level (cf. Chapter 4).

The final example in Figure 6 is a case where an *att* (to) infinitive has been chosen instead of the verbal noun *mätning* (measurement). The infinitive form should instead be interpreted in such a way that it is part of the problem, i.e. the problem is *att mäta* (measuring, to measure) attitudes and a discussion of how this problem area could be tackled. In this connection it may be noted that the same author uses a construction with *att* (to) having another function, namely in the title

Att mäta världsmedborgaransvar med (To measure world citizenship responsibility with 40 80 projektiva test projective tests)

Here it is explicitly stated that a process takes place - the instrument employed is mentioned. The researcher states the approach taken, which does not have to be the case in the title in Figure 6. *Att mäta* (measuring, to measure) is in this case more related to a research situation than in the example in the figure. The title may be interpreted as describing a stage in a construction process. From this point of view the coding algorithm may be said to capture the underlying meaning of the construction.

The last two examples have such a high degree of formalization that a decomposition of the infinitive and the following unit would be possible in a second step, i.e. in such a way that *att mäta* (measuring, to measure) is analysed as method in both cases and the second unit as problem. But since it can be assumed that authors formulate their titles very consciously, especially when

88

(52)

the title is higly formalized in other respects, it should be possible for an initial *att* (to) construction to enter a register without causing any difficulty. What the concept in example (52) says is that the methodological activity refers to test construction, which implies that it lies within the same functional domain as, for example, the concept *intelligenstestning* (intelligence testing). *Att mäta attityder* (measuring / to measure attitudes) as a problem concept is more like different kinds of attitudes: the same author has at a later stage used *jämställdhetsattityder* (equality attitudes).

The principal difference between *att mäta* (measuring, to measure) and *mätning* (measurement) in this material seems to be that the verbal noun is used when the method is determined and the problem area is well-defined (cf. example (31) in Chapter 6.1). The infinitive belongs to titles which deal with preliminary stages.

The titles discussed so far to some extent contain structures from a language which is more natural than is appropriate for the automatic coding of units, particularly when it is required that the units are to function as representations of concepts. There are also a small number of titles in the material which, according to the above discussion, are not characterized by an intermediate structure.

Some examples:

Vad är pedagogik? (What is pedagogics?) 30 Lönar sig utbildning? Pays education?) 30

18 C (

(53)

(54)

The typical feature of these titles is that they are complete sentences. The whole sentence is in these cases assigned to the Problem register, but no difficulties arise in their interpretation. The difference between this type and a highly structured title (which is more abstract) is that the latter type is characterized by a greater distance in relation to the phenomenon dealt with, in comparison with examples (53, 54). Such a correspondence between structure and aspect could be utilized for the development of a structurally adapted algorithmic analysis. As an illustration of the effect resulting when the logic in the coding algorithm and that in the title are not in agreement, two titles are given from the material, both in a natural language variant, reminescent of exchanges of words in a discourse situation.

Så länge vi har snus, knäckebröd och (As long as we've got snuff, crisp bread and 30 30 30 fruntimmer, så nog blir Sverige försvarat sure Sweden will be defended) women, 30 Man kan ju faktiskt få reda på ett och (You can actually get hold of one thing or 30 33 40 förstås annat tentan, OM another about the exam, you see) 30 30

The first title would generate terms which would be unacceptable in a thesaurus for this research field. The second generates nonsense elements, whose functional relations cannot be processed by the proposed algorithm. However, the two titles have subtitles of a "normal" kind, which guarantee that the information conveyed can still be stored in the system.

The type of titles discussed in the last section altogether makes up approximately 5 % of the material examined. This means that the coding algorithm and the titles have, on the whole, a common logic concerning the structure of the elements to be organized in the registers. These automatically generated registers form the basis for the determination of the vocabulary in a thesaurus. Thus, well-functioning structures and concepts should be available in the titles.

Titles of scientific documents are characterized by different patterns concerning the presence and sequence of order of the components, in this analysis referred to as structural complexity. This seems to co-vary with type of document (also called representation form). The existence of gaps pointed out in this chapter is an indication of conceptual variation in the sense that an explicit "natural" structure reflects a "lower" level of transformation or abstraction.

With this discussion and the analyses presented here serving as a general framework, a final description of what is intermediate

90

(55)

(56)

in documentary language will be proposed. For this purpose a sketch outlining the basic principles is given in Figure 7 in the form of a decision tree. For the sake of simplicity the relations between the different language types will be discussed in an either-or manner. The terminating \bigvee in the figure has a bracketing function, i.e. "Indexing language" and "Retrieval language" should be considered dependent on each other.



R =

Figure 7. Relations between language types

For a means of communication to be called a language it has to have a vocabulary (lexicon) and a system of rules. If only one component exists or if instructions are missing as to how the vocabulary (V) and the rules (R) are to function together, this is called a "non language". As mentioned in Chapter 3, this

characteristic (V & R) is a basic feature of both natural (NL) and artificial languages (AL). The principal difference between NL and AL is that AL requires more precise definitions and more general functions than NL does.

The language of the titles that in the present material is to form the basis for the generation of an intermediate language (IL) must lie within AL's functional domain. However, analyses have shown that certain features of NL appear in a few titles, which means that the degree of artificiality in the language of titles may vary. Features of NL may possibly be neutralized with the aid of indexing languages and indexers (cf. examples (55, 56) above, however). If, on the other hand, the title is going to be used in building up a retrieval language, more stringent requirements have to be imposed on terminology and logic.

The cognitive model that the coding mechanism presented in the present work is based on adds a new dimension to the information system, the relations between terms being automatically indexed, i.e. they are recognized in the construction of a retrieval language. The thesaurus then has a mediating function between indexing and retrieval. The title, too, has this intermediate function, and so far as the title's conceptual and structural logic can be used for indexing and retrieval, its language is intermediate.

In order to specify the intermediate language function of the planned thesaurus in more concrete terms, the following section will present a display from the generated registers with examples of the structure of the vocabulary. The function of the registers will also be demonstrated.

6.3 Generated registers

Based on the coding system, registers with different functions have been built up. The functions refer to the three fundamental components of the model. This means that the units assigned to a certain register have something in common. The common features of the units are defined by their having the same function. That the units have the same function does not imply, however, that they must necessarily be homogeneous in other respects. Obvious examples of this have been demonstrated with the method function, where different aspects of "method" may be distinguished in the form of research methods, teaching methods, methods for reporting, etc.

The work with the construction of the thesaurus, the next step, will not be further discussed here. But since the content, the function, and the proper inferences of the registers constitute the immediate basis for the development and testing of both the terminology and the retrieval mechanism, the purpose of this last section is to give an impression of what, in principle, the registers contain and how they may function. A vocabulary study of all registers has been carried out (about 2,100 generated units). Some different aspects (facets) can be distinguished.

The Problems (register 30) typical of the field of educational research are of a general kind (discipline-oriented), e.g. pedagogik (pedagogics), psykologi (psychology), edukation (systematic instruction), fostran (upbringing). This register also describes subfields with a wide range of meaning, such as begavning (ability), inlärning (learning), intelligens (intelligence), and prestation (performance). Other, more teaching-oriented fields are språkfärdighet (language proficiency) and basfärdigheter (basic skills). A third problem type concerns problems within research itself, such as datainsamling (data collection) and testning (testing), etc. As pointed out earlier, the problem orientation is the most typical feature of this material. Often the problems are explicitly demarcated (register 33). Typical extensions are localization in space (countries and places) and in time (ages and grades). Further, the problems are often related to school forms, e.g. grundskolan (comprehensive school), gymnasiet (gymnasium school), and to subjects of study. More general types, such as skolorganisation (school organization) and samhälle (society), appear as well. The registers 34-37 contain similar units. For example, they denote school form, levels, subjects of study, and population of investigation, such as *elever* (pupils), *flygförare* (aircraft pilots), and skolledare (school principals). These registers have more in common with each other than they have with register 33. The closer to the main register its function lies, the more general terms it contains.

This register complex can now be compared with Instrument and

Goal. The instrumental vocabulary concerns mainly different material-method systems, e.g. programmerad undervisning (programmed instruction), tvåspråkiga ordlistor (bilingual word lists), or instruments employed in data collection, such as observationer (observations), personlighetsschemata (personality schedules), and videobandspelare (videotape recorder). Extensions, when appearing, are here, too, of the localization type. The main aspects in the Goal register refer to persons and educational devices, e.g. dialekttalande elever (dialect-speaking pupils), lärare (teachers), föräldrar (parents), synsvaga (visually handicapped [people]), psykiskt utvecklingshämmade (mentally retarded [people]), and lärarutbildning (teacher training), skolan (school), högre studier (higher education), respectively. The extensions that exist (registers 73, 83) refer mainly to groups of persons, but also to school levels. Localizations are grouped within the other subordinate registers.

The circumstances dealt with in this short summary justify still greater expectations as regards the contents of the registers, with the examples of titles from the material already presented. Finally, a study within register 40 yields the following features.

The activities are to a great extent purely research-oriented, expressed by terms such as *forskning* (research), *analys* (analysis), *design* (design), *urval* (selection), *kartläggning* (mapping), *undersökning* (investigation), and the like. Researchers measure effects, construct tests and questionnaires, make data analyses, etc. But other expressions of activity are also numerous. For example, various things are dealt with and discussed in the form of *funderingar* (reflections) and *några metodiska synpunkter på* (some methodological views on); or suggestions are presented as a *förslag* (proposal) or a *promemoria* (memorandum). Investigations of educational matters and production of textbooks are also common activities, e.g. *utredning* (investigation), *rekrytering* (recruitment), *en handbok* (a handbook), *lästeknik* (study techniques).

Before the functioning of the registers is demonstrated, Box 8 will provide a display from the registers 30, 40, 80, and 70, i.e. the ones that correspond to the main components of the model.

Problems (from register 30)

aggressivitet allmänbegåvning begåvning begåvningsreserven begåvningsurvalet en experimentell studie * individualism inlärning instudering intelligens intelligensbegreppet intelligenskrav intelligenskvot intelligensstandard intelligensålder inåtvändhet kreativ utveckling meditation medvetandet mognande mätning * neuros personlighetspsykologiska faktorer personlighetsutveckling självbedömning självförverkligande självständighet specialbegåvning temperamentslära ett ungdomspsykologiskt problem undergivenhet uthållighet den utvecklingshämmades identitetsutveckling utåtvändhet vardagsinlärning

(aggressiveness) (general ability) (ability) (the ability reserve) (the ability selection) (an experimental study) * (individualism) (learning) (studying) (intelligence) (the intelligence concept) (intelligence requirements) (intelligence quotient) (intelligence standard) (mental age) (introspectiveness) (creative development) (meditation) (the consciousness) (maturation) (measurement) * (neurosis) (personality factors) (personality development) (self-evaluation) (self-realization) (independence) (special ability) (theory of temperament) (a juvenile problem) (submissiveness) (tenacity) (the identity development of mentally retarded [people]) (extrovertness) (everyday learning)

* Example of functional dissimilarity

Box 8. (cont.)

Methods (from register 40)

analvs * bearbetning beskrivning design diskussion effektmätning en empirisk studie erfarenheter en experimentell studie * faktoranalys funderingar försök försöksverksamhet granskning en hypotesprövande undersökning intelligenstestning kartläggning * klassificering * konstruktion kvantitativa studier en longitudinell studie metodutprövning mätning * psykologiska undersökningar reflexioner resultatredovisning sammanställning skola * standardisering studier testkonstruktion en uppföljning upplevelse urval utvärdering

(analysis) * (processing) (description) (design) (discussion) (measurement of effects) (an empirical study) (experiences) (an experimental study) * (factor analysis) (reflections) (experiment) (experimental work) (examination) (a hypothesis-testing investigation) (intelligence testing) (mapping) * (classification) * (construction) (quantitative studies) (a longitudinal study) (testing of methods) (measurement) * (psychological examinations) (reflections) (account of results) (compilation) (school) * (standardization) (studies) (test construction) (a follow-up) (experience) (selection) (evaluation)

* Example of functional dissimilarity

Box 8. (cont.)

Instruments (from register 80)

alkoholskadade föräldrar critical incident-metoden

engelskundervisning grundläggande matematik institutionsdemokrati intervjuer läromedelsframställning

några modeller observationer projektiva test psykoterapi skolan * skolklinik två typer av inlärningsmaterial utbildning (alcoholic parents) (the critical incidence method) (English language teaching) (basic mathematics) (departmental democracy) (interviews) (construction of teaching materials) (some models) (observations) (projective tests) (psychotherapy) (the school) * (school clinic) (two types of learning materials) (education)

Goals (from register 70)

analys * anpassade bedömning dialekttalande elever elektronisk databehandling elever fackskolan

föräldrar gymnasiala skolor högstadiet

kartläggning * klassificering * lärare lärarkandidater psykologer psykologutbildningen registrering samarbete skolan * studenter synsvaga

tvåspråkiga elever ungdom utvecklingsstörda

vuxna

(analysis) * (well-adapted [people]) (assessment) (dialect-speaking pupils) (electronic data processing) (pupils) (professional training school) (parents) (gymnasial schools) (the upper comprehensive school) (mapping) * (classification) * (teachers) (teacher trainees) (psychologists) (psychologist education) (registration) (collaboration) (the school) * (students) (visually handicapped) (people)) (bilingual pupils) (young people) (mentally retarded [people]) (adults)

* Example of functional dissimilarity

The units are listed in the authentically generated form, but without operators.

The structures within the units in the registers will be closely studied in connection with the construction of the thesaurus. It will then be important to discuss the kind of "similarity" that exists between the terms for determination of facets. That discussion, however, will not be considered here.

By now, the content in the register should not need any further comment. Examples with an asterisk demonstrate how identical units have more than one function. Kartläggning (mapping) may be a method described in one title, but a goal in another; likewise, en experimentell studie (an experimental study) may be both method and problem. What these examples show, as pointed out in the analysis in Chapter 6.1 is that lexical forms need not be associated with the components in the model that correspond to those in a more linguistically oriented analytical paradigm. Words which give a concrete impression of a "thing" may thus function as "verbs". This analysis distinguishes and brings to the fore dimensions a manually performed analysis would not have succeeded in doing, owing to habitually learned conceptions and classifications. This is examplified in Box 9 by the concept skola (school), which, as part of various compounds, has a high frequency in the material examined.

Skola (school) as a problem is a subject of research, development, debate, and change. All this is expressed in the Problem register *skolorganisation* (school organization). When *skola* (school) functions as an extension of a problem, it is the school form that is expressed. The school form is often the goal of an activity as well. The last two examples from the Goal register illustrate a textbook aspect of *skola* (school). In an instrumental function, *skola* (school) is an aid; this function may be regarded as the social aspect of the school.

Finally, it should be stressed that in fact the concept *skola* (school) also functions as method. In this sense, the school may be seen as a strategy by means of which one whishes to bring about a change in society. The Method component is here given a broader meaning, since the school may also be seen as an instrument. Method and instrument are components which can form method-

Box 9. Exemplification of concepts in functional registers.

Skolorganisation (School	organization) (30)	Skolform (School form) (3	33)		
skolan	(the school)	skolan	(the school)		
skolans socialisation	(the socialization of	grundskolan	(the comprehensive school)		
	the school)	fackskola	(professional training school)		
skolans utveckling	(the development of the school)	gymnasieskola	(gymnasium)		
skolans kris	(the crisis of the school)	den svenska enhetsskolan	(the Swedish comprehensive school)		
skolans sociologi	(the sociology of the school)	förskola	(nursery school)		
skolnivå	(school level)	den obligatoriska skolan	(the compulsory school)		
skolsegregation	(school segregation)				

skolan	(the school)				
gymnasiala skolor	(gymnasial schools)				
skolväsendets utveckling	(development of the school system)				
grundskolans mellan- stadium	(intermediate school)				
de första årens räkne- undervisning	(mathematics instruction in the first school years)				
Fackskolan 2	(Professional Training School 2)				

Skolform/skolstadium (School form/school level) (70) Undervisningsorganisation (Instructional organization)(80)

skolan	(the school)
skolklinik	(school clinic)
Utbildningsmedel	(Educational means) (40)

skola

(school)

(instrument)-goal hierarchies in relation to the degree of complexity in the desired goals. In order to reduce method and instrument to a single concept, the term "means" is used. Consider this title, written long before 1980:

Skola	för	80-t	alet		
(School	for	the	80's)		
40	70				

In the light of the above discussion and analysis, the means-goal relation expressed in this title probably reflects what the author wants to communicate. Knowledge of the author's activities and field of inquiry in Swedish educational research can validate the interpretation proposed.

which means that their language of all of a non-track to be focused on. The first description of a non-track that the ther of an 1 5 b symmet gate is the timble, which is a set of provide the description of the description for a study description of the point of densities an size provide study description in description of a method for the generation of all three edited integrated the time is supported to be a condensed variation of many destricted observations as description for a speciality for the pipper of and lysing the description of the decomment. For the pipper of and lysing the description of the decomment, for the pipper of and lysing the description of the decomment. For the pipper of and lysing the description of the decomment, for the pipper of and lysing the description of the decomment. For the pipper of and lysing the description of the decomment, or the pipper of and lysing the description of the decomment. For the pipper of and lysing have been used. The best first of the pipe stitute is posterior to concepts and many pipe of this of the pipe of the piper.

In the apply and year own state of the property one can be dressed whether prepose the and relations of an apply of the state of the conclusion that relate of the state of the state of the state of the conclusion that repositions now presses constraint of the state of the the repositions now presses constraint of the state of the the repositions of the the state of the state of the the repositions of the state of the state of the state of the the repositions of the state of the state of the state of the the reposition of the state of the state of the state of the state conceptual of the state of the state of the state of the state concepts of the state concepts of the state of the concepts of the state of the concepts of the state of the concepts of the state of

an analysis of the alternative of titles shows that patterns can be detected that are typical of certain types of documents and

(57)

7. CONCLUSIONS

Obtaining access to information is regarded as an ever-growing problem by an increasing number of people. Moreover, it seems that information is becoming more and more abstract. In view of this it is of great importance that methods and techniques can be developed which pave the way for a useroriented organization and re-organization of information.

In the development within information science and related disciplines there is a trend away from systems based on thinking in terms of classes, towards systems building on thinking in terms of functions. This change requires explicitly formulated cognitive models. Otherwise it will hardly be possible to attain the goal of re-organizing information.

In the use of modern I & D systems, the thesauri will be of central importance for communication between the producer and the user of information. The thesauri have an intermediate function, which means that their language structure has to be focused on.

The first description of a document that the user of an I & D system gets is the title, which is often the only description of the document. For this reason, titles have constituted the point of departure in the present study concerning the development of a method for the generation of an intermediate language. The title is supposed to be a condensed version of many empirical observations as described in a document. For the purpose of analysing the relations between the components in a title, prepositions have been used. To be able to use the prepositions as pointers to concepts and conceptual relations, it is of basic importance that their ambiguity at this abstract level can be eliminated.

In the analysis two kinds of prepositions can be distinguished: prepositions referring to intentions, and prepositions referring to extensions. The result of the analysis and the conclusion that prepositions have precise organizing functions have led to the development of an algorithm which makes possible a conceptual coding of titles and the generation of registers with functionally defined content.

An analysis of the structure of titles shows that patterns can be detected that are typical of certain types of documents and less typical of certain other types. The so-called type patterns are characterized by different degrees of structural complexity. This analysis of structure, moreover, can be validated by means of responses taken from an interview study.

The algorithmic analysis of the concepts and the conceptual relations in the titles shows that a title which is characterized by structural assymmetry and which contains elements belonging to different levels of abstraction, seems to be in a state of imbalance. This imbalance leads to misinterpretation, i.e. coding errors. Finally, it should be pointed out that the algorithm identifies concepts and assigns them to the registers in a functionally more adequate way than would probably have been achieved by a normal analysis.

The present analysis may be regarded as an initial attempt to study a problem area that could be described as "the re-cognition of highly abstracted information". A continuation of this line of research would have important implications for the organization and dissemination of information. It can be expected that in the decade to come, people will no longer ask only for the kind of information service currently available. Rather, what we will probably ask for are "solutions to problems". This development will confront information scientists, especially those who are oriented towards language processing, with new problems. It is most likely that the future will focus on such linguistically based analyses of structure as are aimed at simplifying the representation of abstracted information.

8. SUMMARY

When the purpose of some research activity is to develop methods and techniques for a systematic analysis of language, as regards what information is to be conveyed, questions dealing with the structure assumed to characterize a message receive special emphasis. In view of this it is of considerable importance that a theory can be developed which can explain cognitive phenomena as they appear through language.

In this work attention has been focused on the development of a method and a technique that make possible the mapping of the cognitive structure through which the author of a title of a scientific report communicates what the report is meant to contain. The development of a model and a theory for such a purpose emerges as the basic problem for modern information and documentation (I & D) systems. A dynamic structure should be the goal in the construction of the mechanisms on which I & D systems are based since information is characterized by the structuring and re-structuring of data, thus being subject to constant change.

There are different forms of representation with different goals. A well-known and common type is made up of hierarchically constructed classification systems, implemented in libraries. Such systems have only a small potential for quick and easy adaptation to a particular structure which may be employed in a search by looking for new information. Once a report is put on a shelf it is bound to its place. For the creation of a flexible organization, a dynamically functioning space is in a sense provided by facet classification. In such systems there are greater possibilities for lateral relations.

Recent efforts to structure information involve networks and schemata. Whereas the former type aims at finding out how many "semantic primitives" are needed for a synthetic formation of concepts, the latter tries to explore the advantages of an adaptively operating process. Schemata are based on an inferential strategy, which implies that only some or one of the components in the schema model may be activated.

The starting-point in the present analysis is that an intermediate language can be generated from titles of scientific works,
and that such a language can be displayed in a thesaurus. An intermediate language capable of representing the cognitive structure of a message has a higher degree of structuring than those which do not have this capacity. The cognitive structure of a field of science is conveyed through scientific documents. They contain different statements about empirical observations which may also be represented in sentence form, as in the N1VN2 paradigm. If, however, the intentions, i.e. the underlying propositions of sentences, can be denoted, another paradigm is required, a paradigm which accounts for underlying propositions at a somewhat more abstract level. The paradigm adequate to this purpose, provided that the language under consideration belongs to the Indo-European family, is the Agent-action-Object (AaO) paradigm. An aggregation of different observations and the causal relations that scientific reporting is establishing between different phenomena require a paradigm with the capacity to represent the scientific process and which, therefore, has to be even more abstract than the AaO paradigm.

The fundamental components in a research process are "problem", "method" and "goal". A paradigm which can express the result of a number of abstracted propositions is the Problem-method-Goal paradigm (PmG). To study a title from the point of view of this paradigm builds on the assumption that there are cues in the overt structure of the title which indicate that the components represent the author's conceptualization. In order to analyse the relations between the single components in the title, the organizing function of the prepositions has been utilized in this work. The important advantage in the use of prepositions for a conceptual analysis of titles is that they function unambiguously on the title level compared to the natural (concrete) language level. The concepts are related with respect to their intentions or extensions, where such labels as localization and direction are generalized.

To make possible automatic coding of concepts and conceptual relations, a set of rules has been formulated. According to this set, a title consists of one or more conceptualizations, and so demarcation rules are necessary. Certain editings in the empirical material have been made, e.g. by inserting demarcation markers in the form of commas to indicate connection, and dashes and full stops to mark disconnection. The basic principle in the rule systems is that the prepositions point forwards, which entails an algorithmic consequence, namely that when all elements after each intentional preposition have been determined, only the method is left. 14 rules operate by means of a dictionary consisting of 39 operators, 12 stop phrases, and 5 conjunctions. The program is written in ASCII-FORTRAN.

The algorithm has been tested on a data base which is representative of Swedish educational research. The data base includes bibliographic descriptions, mainly according to the APA standard. For the evaluation a total of about 9,000 bibliographic descriptions of works written in Swedish have been used.

According to the analytical model employed, the conceptualizations may be extended to varying degrees. The most extended case is represented by a pattern which activates a Problem component, a Method component, an Instrument component, and a Goal component, together with possible extensions. Thus a pattern is a structural representation of a conceptualization. The most characteristic feature in the pattern shows that the Problem component appears single, connectively, or together with one extension. The more complex the patterns are, the less often they appear. This has been reflected in a directed graph (Chapter 5.2). There are links between Method and Problem, as well as within the Problem complex. Moreover, Instrument "governs" Goal to a greater extent than Method "governs" Instrument and Goal. This picture of the research process within educational research is in line with the problem orientation that the authors of the titles have expressed in an interview study.

On the basis of the representational function of language, it has been assumed here that there exist several levels of representation, as a consequence of documents having undergone certain transformations. To generate an intermediate language which characterizes a document at a particular level of abstraction requires the concepts to have the same degree of abstraction. This means that certain features that are typical of a more concrete language are not accepted by the algorithm. Such errors have been identified and discussed. For example, one conclusion is that an inter-

105

mediate language in which prepositions functions as operators only allows constructions of a nominal type.

Finally, it should be mentioned that the model described and operationalized has been employed in automatic identification and coding of dimensions that a manual analysis could have performed only with difficulty, since it builds on habituated frames of reference. This may be exemplified by a case discussed earlier: with knowledge of the author's focus of attention in the title "Skola för 80-talet" (School for the 80's), it is obvious that the concept skola (school) represents the Method component. That the school functions as a means for achieving social change in our society should not be difficult to agree with. 9. REFERENCES

- Abelson, R.P. The structure of belief systems. In: Schank, R.C. & Colby, K.M. (Eds.) Computer models of thought and language. San Francisco: Freeman, 1973. Pp 287-339.
- Abelson, R.P. & Rosenberg, M.J. Symbolic psycho-logic: A model of attitudinal cognition. *Behavioral Science*, 1958, 3, 1-13.
- Aitchison, J. The Thesaurofacet: A multipurpose retrieval language tool. Journal of Documentation, 1970, 26 (3), 187-203.
- Allén, S. On phraseology in lexicology. *Report*. (Göteborg: Department of Computational Linguistics), 1976.
- Allén, S., Berg, S., Järborg, J., Löfström, J., Ralph, B. & Sjögreen, C. Frequency Dictionary of Present-Day Swedish Based on Newspaper Material. 4. Morphemes. Meanings. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 1980.
- American Psychological Association (APA). The role of the technical report in the dissemination of scientific information. Project on scientific information exchange in psychology. Washington: APA-PSIEP report, No. 13, 1965.
- Anderla, G. Information in 1985. A forecasting study of information needs and resources. Paris: OECD, 1973.
- Artandi, S. Document description and representation. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1970, 5, 143-169.
- Austin, D. The Development of PRECIS, and introduction to its syntax. In: Wellisch, H. (Ed.) The PRECIS Index System. New York: Wilson, 1977. Pp 3-28.
- Bartlett, F.C. Remembering. A study in experimental and social psychology. London: Cambridge University Press, 1932.
- Bierschenk, B. Computer-based search for literature. Lund: Studentlitteratur, 1973.
- Bierschenk, B. A model for an interactive information and documentation system. *Pedagogisk dokumentation*. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 26, 1974. (a) /In Swedish/
- Bierschenk, B. Perception, structuring and definition of educational and psychological research problems on departments of educations research in Sweden. *Pedagogisk-psykologiska problem*. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 254, 1974. (b) /In Swedish/
- Bierschenk, B. A new approach to psychometric problems in the analysis of pre-numeric data. *Didakometry*, No. 55, 1977.
- Bierschenk, B. Research planning from a micro-ecological perspective: Summary of interview study. Educational and Psychological Interactions, No. 60, 1977.
- Bierschenk, B. Content analysis as a method of research. Kompendieserien. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 25, 1978. (a) /In Swedish/

Bierschenk, B. Simulating strategies of interactive behaviour. (Studia Psychologica et Paedagogica, 38.) Lund: Gleerup, 1978. (b)

Bierschenk, B. A longitudinal analysis of knowledge development within educations research. *Pedagogisk-psykologiska problem*. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 355, 1979. /In Swedish/

Bierschenk, B. & Bierschenk, I. A system for a computer-based content analysis of interview data. (Studia Psychologica et Paedagogica, 32.) Lund: Gleerup, 1976.

Bierschenk, B., Bierschenk, I. & Sternerup-Hansson, A. A computer program for syntactic coding of titles of scientific documents. *Testkonstruktion och testdata*. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 36, 1979. /In Swedish/

Bierschenk, I. Computer-based content analysis: Coding manual. Pedagogisk dokumentation. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 52, 1977. /In Swedish/

Bierschenk, I. Experiments with automatic separation of references in a multi-lingual data base. *Testkonstruktion och testdata*. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 34, 1978. /In Swedish/

Bobrow, D.G., Fraser, J.B. & Quillian, M.R. Automated language processing. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1967, 2, 161-186.

Braun, S. & Schwind, C. Automatic, semantic-based indexing of natural language texts for information retrieval systems. *Report*. (München: Technische Universität, Institut für Informatik), No. 7505, 1975.

Brodda, B. (C)overt cases in Swedish language structure. *PILUS*. (Stockholm: Department of Linguistics), No. 18, 1973. /In Swedish/

Browning, D.C. (Ed.) Everyman's Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases. London: Pan Books, 1971.

Bunge, M. Scientific research I. The search for system. New York: Springer, 1967.

Cedvall, M. Semantic analysis of process descriptions in natural language. Linköping Studies in Science and Technology. Dissertations, 18. (Linköping: Department of Mathematics), 1977. /In Swedish/

Cofer, C.N. (Ed.) The structure of human memory. San Francisco: Freeman, 1976.

Coyaud, M. Introduction à l'étude des langages documentaires. Paris: Klincksieck, 1966.

Coyaud, M. & Siot-Decauville, N. L'analyse automatique des documents. Paris: Mouton, 1967.

Damerau, F.J. Automated language processing. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1976, 11, 107-161.

- van Dijk, T.A. Perspective paper: Complex semantic processing. In: Walker, D., Karlgren, H. & Kay, M. (Eds.) Natural language in information science. Stockholm: Skriptor, 1977. Pp 127-163.
- Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC). Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors. New York: CCM Information Corporation, 1975.
- EUDISED. Multilingual Thesaurus. Paris: Council of Europe, 1973.
- Fairthorne, R.A. Content analysis, specification, and control. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1969, 4, 73-109.
- Faught, W.S. Motivation and intensionality in a computer simulation model. *Report*. (Stanford University: Stanford AI Laboratory), No. AIM-305, 1977.
- Fillmore, C.J. The case for case. In: Bach, E. & Harms, R.T. (Eds.) Universals in linguistic theory. New York: Holt, 1968.

1

- Friedman, J. A computational treatment of case grammar. In: Hintikka, J. et al. Approaches to natural language. Dortrecht-Holland: Reidel, 1973. Pp 134-152.
- Gilbert, G.N. Measuring the growth of science. A review of indicators of scientific growth. Scientometrics, 1978, 1 (1), 9-34.
- Greene, J. Thought and language: Theoretical and experimental studies. Stockholm: Wahlström & Widstrand, 1977. /Swed. transl./
- Hillman, D. & Kasarda, A. The LEADER retrieval system. Spring Joint Computer Conference, 1969, 34, 447-455.
- Jernryd, E. Information and documentation problems within a research department. Some viewpoints from explorative interviews with grant supported researchers. *Pedagogisk dokumentation*. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 42, 1976. /In Swedish/
- Jernryd, E. Some teacher educators view on dissemination and feed-back of RoD work. Särtryck och småtryck. (Malmö: Department of Educational & Psychological Research), No. 241, 1978. /In Swedish/
- Karlgren, H. Challenge paper: Homeosemy On the linguistics of information retrieval. In: Walker, D., Karlgren, H. & Kay, M. (Eds.) Natural language in information science. Stockholm: Skriptor, 1977. Pp 167-181.
- Kintsch, W. The representation of meaning in memory. New York: Wiley, 1974.
- Klein, S. & Simmons, R.F. A computational approach to grammatical coding of English words. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 1963, *10* (July), 334-347.
- Krippendorff, K. Models of messages: Three prototypes. In: Gerbner, G. et al. (Eds.) The analysis of communication content. New York: Wiley, 1969. Pp 69-106.

Lewis, D. General semantics. In: Davidson, D. & Harman, G. (Eds.) Semantics of natural language. Dordrecht-Holland: Reidel, 1972. Pp 169-218. Lindsay, P. & Norman, D. Human information processing. New York: Academic Press, 1972.

- Miller, G.A. Empirical methods in the study of semantics. In: Arm, D.L. (Ed.) Journeys in science: Small steps - great strides. Albuquerane: University of New Mexico Press, 1967. Pp 51-73.
- Miller, G.A. & Johnson-Laird, P.N. Language and perception. London: Cambridge University Press, 1976.
- Mølgaard-Hansen, R. UDC, DC, and LC in competitions on the domain of the university library. *Tidskrift för dokumentation*, 1968, 24 (1), 1-7.
- O'Connor, J. Text searching retrieval of answer-sentences and other answer-passages. Journal of the American Society for Information Science, 1973, 24 (6), 445-460.
- Oller, J.W. & Sales, B.D. Conceptual restrictions on English: A psycholinguistic study. Lingua, 1969, 23, 209-232.

+

- Osgood, C.E., Suci, G.J. & Tannenbaum, P.H. The measurement of meaning. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1957.
- Piaget, J. The origins of intelligence in children. New York: Norton, 1963.
- Piaget, J. & Inhelder, B. The child's conception of space. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1956.
- Price, de Sola, D.J. Little science, big science. Columbia: University Press, 1963.
- Quillian, R. Semantic memory. In: Minsky, M. (Ed.) Semantic information processing. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1968. Pp 216-270.
- Ralph, B. Presuppositions of prepositions. Some locative examples. Mimeographed. (Göteborg: Department of Computational Linguistics), 1977. /In Swedish/

Ranganathan, S.R. Colon classifications: Paper prepared for the Rutgers Seminars on systems for the intellectual organization of information. New Brunswick: Rutgers, 1964.

Reid, L.S. Toward a grammar of the image. Psychological Bulletin, 1974, 81 (6), 319-334.

Richmond, P. Document description and representation. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1972, 7, 73-102.

Robinson, D. Indexing nonbook materials by PRECIS. In: Wellisch, H. (Ed.) The PRECIS Indexing System, 1977. Pp 169-174.

Sager, N. Perspective paper: Computational linguistics. In: Walker, D., Karlgren, H. & Kay, M. (Eds.) Natural language in information science. Stockholm: Skriptor, 1977. Pp 75-100.

Salton, G. The identification of document content: A problem in automatic information retrieval. In: Proceedings of a Harvard symposium on digital computers and their applications. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1962.

- Salton, G. Automated language processing. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1968, 3, 169-199.
- Salton, G. (Ed.) The SMART retrieval system. Experiments in automatic document processing. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1971.
- Schank, R.C. Conceptual dependency: A theory of natural language understanding. *Cognitive Psychology*, 1972, 3 (4), 552-631.
- Shapiro, S.C. & Kwasny, S.C. Interactive consulting via natural language. Communications of the ACM, 1975, 18 (8), 459-462.

Sharp, J.R. Content analysis, specification and control. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1967, 2, 87-122.

- Simmons, R.F. Semantic networks: Their computation and use for understanding English sentences. In: Schank, R.C. & Colby, K.M. (Eds.) Computer models of thought and language. San Francisco: Freeman, 1973. Pp 63-113.
- Soergel, D. Indexing languages and thesauri: construction and maintenance. Los Angeles: Melville, 1974.
- Sparck Jones, K. & Kay, M. Linguistics and information science. New York: Academic Press, 1973.
- Sparck Jones, K. & Kay, M. Linguistics and information science: A postscript. In: Walker, D., Karlgren, H. & Kay, M. (Eds.) Natural language in information science. Stockholm: Skriptor, 1977. Pp 183-192.
- Stone, P., Dunphy, D., Smith, M. & Ogilvie, D. The general inquirer: A computer approach to content analysis. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1966.
- Taulbee, O. Content analysis, specification, and control. Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 1968, 3, 105-136.
- Universal decimal classification (UDC). (Swedish shortened edition.) Stockholm: Tekniska litteratursällskapet, 1961.
- Wearing, A.J. Remembering complex sentences. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1972, 24, 77-86.
- Welin, C.W. Structural ambiguity in chains of prepositional expressions. In: Karlgren, H. (Ed.) Homeosemi. Stockholm: Skriptor, 1974. Pp 115-153. /In Swedish/
- Wellisch, H. (Ed.) The PRECIS Index System. New York: Wilson, 1977.
- Werner, H. & Kaplan, B. Symbol formation: An organismicdevelopmental approach to language and the expression of thought. New York: Wiley, 1963.
- Wersig, G. & Neveling, U. (Eds.) Terminology of documentation. Paris: Unesco, 1976.
- Woods, W.A. An experimental parsing system for transition network grammars. In: Rustin, R. (Ed.) Natural language processing. New York: Algorithmics Press, 1973. Pp 111-154.

Page	Line	Text	Correction
II	4	linguistic	language
6	25	thornés	thorne's
19	8	"to breath"	"to breathe"
25	24	" langage intermediaire"	" intermédiaire"
28	3	on	one
34	26	(Language	(Linguistic
40	25	agains	against
58	14	seperate	separate
61	last	distinguished	distinguished
62	ex(20)	activitie	activities
62	ex(21)	_ 11_	- "-
63	11	Timesharing	Time Sharing
64	19	their ranks	add. : and then compared with
			the ranks
68	4	then	than
71	27	som	some
76	ex(33)	histora	historia
76	10	(31)	(32)
85	ex 1.	seen	seen 30
94	14	(registers 73,83)	(register 73)
109	23	Thougt	Thinking

ERRATA

