

Det här verket är upphovrättskyddat enligt *Lagen (1960:729) om upphovsrätt till litterära och konstnärliga verk*. Det har digitaliserats med stöd av Kap. 1, 16 § första stycket p 1, för forskningsändamål, och får inte spridas vidare till allmänheten utan upphovsrättsinehavarens medgivande.

Alla tryckta texter är OCR-tolkade till maskinläsbar text. Det betyder att du kan söka och kopiera texten från dokumentet. Vissa äldre dokument med dåligt tryck kan vara svåra att OCR-tolka korrekt vilket medför att den OCR-tolkade texten kan innehålla fel och därför bör man visuellt jämföra med verkets bilder för att avgöra vad som är riktigt.

This work is protected by Swedish Copyright Law (*Lagen (1960:729) om upphovsrätt till litterära och konstnärliga verk)*. It has been digitized with support of Kap. 1, 16 § första stycket p 1, for scientific purpose, and may no be dissiminated to the public without consent of the copyright holder.

All printed texts have been OCR-processed and converted to machine readable text. This means that you can search and copy text from the document. Some early printed books are hard to OCR-process correctly and the text may contain errors, so one should always visually compare it with the images to determine what is correct.



GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET göteborgs universitetsbibliotek



# **Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours**

# - on diagnosis and treatment

Per Bümming



# Göteborg 2006



#### Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours - on diagnosis and treatment

#### AKADEMISK AVHANDLING

som för avläggande av medicine doktorsexamen vid Göteborgs Universitet kommer att offentligen försvaras i hörsal Arvid Carlsson, Academicum, Medicinaregatan 3, Göteborg, fredagen den 5 maj 2006, kl 13:00

av

#### Per Bümming Leg. Läkare

#### Fakultetsopponent: Professor Peter J Roberts University of Turku, Finland

This thesis is based on the following publications:

- Nilsson B, Bümming P, Meis-Kindblom JM, Odén A, Dortok A, Gustavsson B, Sablinska K, Kindblom LG. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: the incidence, prevalence, clinical course, and prognostication in the preimatinib mesylate era. *Cancer* 2005;103:821-829.
- II. Bümming P, Ahlman H, Andersson J, Meis-Kindblom JM, Kindblom LG, Nilsson B. Population-based study of the diagnosis and treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Br J Surg 2006; in press.
- III. Bümming P, Andersson J, Meis-Kindblom JM, Klingenstierna H, Engström K, Stierner U, Wängberg B, Jansson S, Ahlman H, Kindblom LG, Nilsson B. Neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) with imatinib: a centrebased study of 17 patients. Br J Cancer 2003;89:460-464.
- IV. Bümming P, Nilsson B, Sörensen J, Nilsson O, Ahlman H. Use of 2-tracer PET to diagnose gastrointestinal stromal tumour and pheochromocytoma in patients with Carney triad and Neurofibromatosis type 1. Scand J Gastroenterol 2006; published online 25 January.
- V. Bümming P, Nilsson O, Ahlman H, Welbencer A, Nilsson B. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) regularly express synaptic vesicle proteins – evidence of a neuroendocrine phenotype. Submitted.



The Sahlgrenska Academy

#### Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours - on diagnosis and treatment

#### Per Bümming

Departments of Surgery and Pathology at the Lundberg Laboratory for Cancer Research Sahlgrenska Academy, Göteborg University, Sweden

#### Abstract

Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) are thought to originate from the interstitial cells of Cajal, which show many properties in common with neurons of the gastrointestinal tract. High-risk GIST has a very poor prognosis and tumour recurrence is common after intentionally curative surgery. With recent advances in our understanding of the molecular pathology of this disease and now that a specific KIT tyrosine kinase inhibitor, imatinib, is available, the prognosis for these patients has dramatically changed.

A population-based study from western Sweden with a total population of approximately 1.5 million was conducted, and 259 patients with clinically detected GIST were included. The annual incidence of GIST in the region was estimated to be 14.5 per million inhabitants. The majority of patients with high-risk GIST and all those with overtly malignant tumours experienced recurrence after complete (R0) resection. Tumour size, proliferative index (Ki67 max%), R0 resection, and *KIT* exon 11 deletion were independent prognostic factors. Prediction of prognosis for patients with GIST was simplified by a risk score based on tumour size and Ki67 max%.

Early on, we treated patients with high-risk or overtly malignant GIST with imatinib in three different clinical settings (neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative) and response to treatment was found to be correlated with *KIT* mutational status and tumour regression. The response to treatment was studied by functional imaging of tumour glucose uptake using <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (<sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET). In one patient, neoadjuvant treatment facilitated later surgical treatment. Adjuvant imatinib seemed promising, but long-term effects on survival must be evaluated in randomised clinical trials. Palliative imatinib was safe and effective, particularly in patients with *KIT* exon 11 mutations.

A 2-tracer PET, using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG and <sup>11</sup>C-hydroxyephedrine, was used to simultaneously detect GIST and pheochromocytoma in patients with neuroendocrine (NE) tumour syndromes, e.g. Carney triad and neurofibromatosis type 1.

GISTs were examined for a possible NE phenotype by immunohistochemistry, western blot and quantitative gene expression studies. GIST showed an abundant expression of synaptic-like microvesicle (SLMV) proteins both at the transcriptional and the translational level. Subsets of GIST appear to express peptide hormone receptors, which may be used for receptor-based radionuclide therapy.

In summary, the incidence of GIST was shown to be higher than previously estimated. Radical surgery and KIT exon 11 mutation were important prognosticators. Adjuvant treatment with imatinib seems to be promising in patients with high-risk GIST. Pre-treatment with imatinib is an attractive option in patients with tumours that are non-resectable initially. The 2-tracer PET technique may be useful in patients with NE tumour syndromes. The expression of SLMV proteins in GIST indicates a certain degree of NE differentiation, which has possible potential therapeutic implications.

Key words: adjuvant, epidemiology, GIST, imatinib, KIT, mutation, neoadjuvant, neuroendocrine phenotype, PET, prognosis, surgery, vesicle proteins.

ISBN-10: 91-628-6788-1; ISBN-13: 978-91-628-6788-1 Göteborg 2006

Departments of Surgery and Pathology at the Lundberg Laboratory for Cancer Research Sahlgrenska Academy, Göteborg University Sweden

# **Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours**

# - on diagnosis and treatment

Per Bümming





Göteborg 2006

© Per Bümming, 2006 Printed at Intellecta DocuSys AB, Göteborg ISBN-10: 91-628-6788-1 ISBN-13: 978-91-628-6788-1

Vetande utan förnuft är dubbel dårskap // Baltasar Gracián y Morales

# Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours - on diagnosis and treatment

Per Bümming

Departments of Surgery and Pathology at the Lundberg Laboratory for Cancer Research Sahlgrenska Academy, Göteborg University, Sweden

#### Abstract

Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) are thought to originate from the interstitial cells of Cajal, which show many properties in common with neurons of the gastrointestinal tract. High-risk GIST has a very poor prognosis and tumour recurrence is common after intentionally curative surgery. With recent advances in our understanding of the molecular pathology of this disease and now that a specific KIT tyrosine kinase inhibitor, imatinib, is available, the prognosis for these patients has dramatically changed.

A population-based study from western Sweden with a total population of approximately 1.5 million was conducted, and 259 patients with clinically detected GIST were included. The annual incidence of GIST in the region was estimated to be 14.5 per million inhabitants. The majority of patients with high-risk GIST and all those with overtly malignant tumours experienced recurrence after complete (R0) resection. Tumour size, proliferative index (Ki67 max%), R0 resection, and *KIT* exon 11 deletion were independent prognostic factors. Prediction of prognosis for patients with GIST was simplified by a risk score based on tumour size and Ki67 max%.

Early on, we treated patients with high-risk or overtly malignant GIST with imatinib in three different clinical settings (neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative) and response to treatment was found to be correlated with *KIT* mutational status and tumour regression. The response to treatment was studied by functional imaging of tumour glucose uptake using <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (<sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET). In one patient, neoadjuvant treatment facilitated later surgical treatment. Adjuvant imatinib seemed promising, but long-term effects on survival must be evaluated in randomised clinical trials. Palliative imatinib was safe and effective, particularly in patients with *KIT* exon 11 mutations.

Palliative imatinib was safe and effective, particularly in patients with *KIT* exon 11 mutations. A 2-tracer PET, using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG and <sup>11</sup>C-hydroxyephedrine, was used to simultaneously detect GIST and pheochromocytoma in patients with neuroendocrine (NE) tumour syndromes, e.g. Carney triad and neurofibromatosis type 1.

GISTs were examined for a possible NE phenotype by immunohistochemistry, western blot and quantitative gene expression studies. GIST showed an abundant expression of synaptic-like microvesicle (SLMV) proteins both at the transcriptional and the translational level. Subsets of GIST appear to express peptide hormone receptors, which may be used for receptor-based radionuclide therapy.

In summary, the incidence of GIST was shown to be higher than previously estimated. Radical surgery and *KIT* exon 11 mutation were important prognosticators. Adjuvant treatment with imatinib seems to be promising in patients with high-risk GIST. Pre-treatment with imatinib is an attractive option in patients with tumours that are non-resectable initially. The 2-tracer PET technique may be useful in patients with NE tumour syndromes. The expression of SLMV proteins in GIST indicates a certain degree of NE differentiation, which has possible potential therapeutic implications.

Key words: adjuvant, epidemiology, GIST, imatinib, KIT, mutation, neoadjuvant, neuroendocrine phenotype, PET, prognosis, surgery, vesicle proteins.

ISBN-10: 91-628-6788-1; ISBN-13: 978-91-628-6788-1 Göteborg 2006

# **ORIGINAL PUBLICATIONS**

This thesis is based on the following publications, which are referred to in the text by their Roman numerals:

- I. Nilsson B, Bümming P, Meis-Kindblom JM, Odén A, Dortok A, Gustavsson B, Sablinska K, Kindblom LG. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: the incidence, prevalence, clinical course, and prognostication in the preimatinib mesylate era. *Cancer* 2005;103:821-829.
- II. Bümming P, Ahlman H, Andersson J, Meis-Kindblom JM, Kindblom LG, Nilsson B. Population-based study of the diagnosis and treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *Br J Surg 2006; in press.*
- III. Bümming P, Andersson J, Meis-Kindblom JM, Klingenstierna H, Engström K, Stierner U, Wängberg B, Jansson S, Ahlman H, Kindblom LG, Nilsson B. Neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) with imatinib: a centre-based study of 17 patients. Br J Cancer 2003;89:460-464.
- IV. Bümming P, Nilsson B, Sörensen J, Nilsson O, Ahlman H. Use of 2-tracer PET to diagnose gastrointestinal stromal tumour and pheochromocytoma in patients with Carney triad and neurofibromatosis type 1. Scand J Gastroenterol 2006; published online 25 January.
- V. Bümming P, Nilsson O, Ahlman H, Welbencer A, Nilsson B. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) regularly express synaptic vesicle proteins – evidence of a neuroendocrine phenotype. Submitted.

# INDEX

ABBREVIATIONS	8
INTRODUCTION	9
Historical Aspects	9
Definition of GIST	9
Clinical Features	10
Diagnosis	11
Prognostic Features	11
Surgery	11
Chemotherapy and Radiation Therapy	12
Molecular Pathology	12
KIT Mutations	12
PDGFRA Mutations	13
Imatinib	14
AIMS OF THE STUDY	15
MATERIALS & METHODS	16
Population-Based Studies	16
Retrieval of Patients	16
Inclusion Criteria	16
Morphological Analysis	16
Clinical Information and Follow-up	16
Statistical Analysis	16
New Therapeutic Options Combining Surgical and Medical Treatment	17
Patients	17
Morphological Analysis	18
Clinical Information and Follow-up	18
New Imaging Ontions	18
Patients	18
PET	18
Immunohistochemistry	18
Neuroendocrine Differentiation in GIST	18
Tumours	18
Immunohistochemistry	19
Western Blot	19
Q-PCR	19
Mutation Analysis	20
DNA/RNA Isolation and Amplification	20
Denaturing High-Peformance Liquid Chromatography and Nucleotide	
Sequence Analysis	20

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	21
Population-Based Studies Incidence and Prevalence Associated Malignancies Symptoms, Preoperative Investigations, Tumour Site, and Surgical Procedures Recurrent Tumour Disease Survival and Prognostic Factors Indication for Adjuvant Treatment with Imatinib	21 21 22 22 24 24 24 28
<b>New Therapeutic Options Combining Surgical and Medical Treatment</b> Neoadjuvant Imatinib Adjuvant Imatinib Palliative Imatinib	<b>29</b> 29 30 31
<b>New Imaging Options</b> <i>Carney Triad</i> <i>Neurofibromatosis Type 1</i>	<b>32</b> 32 33
Neuroendocrine Differentiation in GIST SLMV Proteins LDCV Proteins Lack of Correlation between SLMV Expression and Clinicopathological Features	<b>34</b> 34 36 36
CONCLUSIONS	37
FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	38
POPULÄRVETENSKAPLIG SAMMANFATTNING	41
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	43
REFERENCES	45

### **PAPERS I-V**

**Cover photographs:** Activated (phosphorylated) KIT receptor (top left) and staining of GIST by CD117 (top right). Molecular structure of imatinib mesylate (bottom left) and intra-abdominal GIST visualised by <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET scan (bottom right).

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

ATP	adenosine triphosphate
CD117	KIT antibody
Cg	chromogranin
CT	computed tomography
ddH <sub>2</sub> O	double-distilled water
dHPLC	denaturating high-performance liquid chromatography
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
<sup>18</sup> F-FDG	<sup>18</sup> F-fluorodeoxyglucose
GI	gastrointestinal
GIST	gastrointestinal stromal tumour
HED	hydroxyephedrine
hpf	high-power field
HRP	horseradish peroxidase
ICC	interstitial cells of Cajal
i.v.	intravenous
LDCV	large dense-core vesicle
MAP	mitogen-activated protein
MBq	megabecquerel
mc	monoclonal
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
NF1	neurofibromatosis type 1
pc	polyclonal
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PDGFRA	platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha
PET	positron emission tomography
PI3K	phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
Q-PCR	quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
R0	no residual tumour
R1	microscopic residual tumour
R2	macroscopic residual tumour
RECIST	response evaluation criteria in solid tumours
SCF	stem cell factor
SLMV	synaptic-like microvesicle
SMA	smooth-muscle actin
SNOMED	systematised nomenclature of medicine
SV2	synaptic vesicle protein 2
VMAT	vesicular monoamine transporter

# INTRODUCTION

### Historical Aspects

In the past, gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) were thought to be of smoothmuscle origin (Golden & Stout, 1941) and consequently classified as leiomyomas, leiomyosarcomas, or leiomyoblastomas (Appleman, 1986). Ultrastructural studies in the 1960s and 1970s did not give consistent evidence of smooth-muscle differentiation in GIST (Franquemont, 1995). With the introduction of immunohistochemistry, it was shown that the expression of smooth-muscle markers, i.e. actin and desmin, was highly variable in these tumours. Mazur & Clark (1983) introduced the term "stromal tumour" to describe this heterogeneous tumour entity. A subset of stromal tumours stained positively for neural crest markers (S-100, neuron-specific enolase) not found in other smooth-muscle neoplasms (Franquemont, 1995). Gastrointestinal autonomic nerve tumours (GANT) were described as a phenotypic variant of GIST with neuroendocrine (NE) differentiation (Miettinen *et al.*, 1999).

With the finding that most gastrointestinal (GI) stromal tumours are positive for CD34, a glycoprotein normally expressed on endothelial cells and hematopoietic stem cells, the term GIST became more widely used (Miettinen *et al.*, 1995). Today, GISTs are regarded as the most common mesenchymal (non-epithelial) neoplasms of the GI tract. True smooth-muscle tumours, i.e. leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma, are rare in the GI tract (Miettinen & Lasota, 2001).

#### Definition of GIST

The lack of smooth-muscle differentiation combined with occasional neural characteristics in GIST led to speculation that these stromal tumours were related to the interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC), a network of spindle-shaped cells in the intestinal wall (Perez-Atayde *et al.*, 1993). ICC are intercalated between gut smooth-muscle cells and nerve terminals (Faussone-Pellegrini, 1990), where they function as regulators of intestinal peristalsis and mediators of neurotransmission (Sanders, 1996). The observation that the tyrosine kinase receptor KIT is expressed by ICC and is of vital importance for the development of these cells (Huizinga *et al.*, 1995) led to the discovery that GISTs also express KIT. Due to the striking similarities between GIST cells and ICC, it is currently believed that GISTs originate from precursor cells that may differentiate towards ICC (Kindblom *et al.*, 1998). The KIT protein, detected immunohistochemically by CD117 (antibody to KIT), is expressed in the vast majority of GISTs. CD117 is presently regarded as a sensitive and specific marker for these tumours (Hornick & Fletcher, 2002).

The histological appearance of GISTs is quite variable. About two-thirds of the tumours are composed of spindle-shaped cells or epithelioid cells, but mixed spindled-epithelioid tumours and tumours with NE features also occur. Typically, GISTs stain positive for KIT (CD117) in 95% of cases, CD34 in 70%, smooth-muscle actin (SMA) in 35%, S-100 in 5% of cases, but rarely for desmin (Miettinen & Lasota, 2001). GISTs are currently defined as intra-abdominal mesenchymal tumours that express the KIT protein, or have *KIT*- or *PDGFRA* mutations (see *Molecular Pathology* section).

Recent studies have demonstrated strong expression of a novel protein, DOG-1 (West *et al.*, 2004), and protein kinase C theta (PKC $\theta$ ), a molecule associated with T-cell activation and neural differentiation (Blay *et al.*, 2004). Gene expression studies have revealed the secretory granule NE protein 1 and chromogranin C (CgC) in GIST, which may indicate a possible NE differentiation (Buragas *et al.*, 2005).

It is important to correctly diagnose GIST, since these patients can be offered several therapeutic options. The main differential diagnoses are other intra-abdominal soft-tissue tumours (**Table 1**).

	KIT	CD34	SMA	S-100	Desmin
GIST	+	60-70%	30-40%	5%	Rare
Smooth-muscle	-	10-15%	+	Rare	+
tumour					
Schwannoma		+	1	+	
Fibromatosis	Disputed	Rare	+		Rare cells

**Clinical Features** 

Due to difficulties in classification, the true incidence of GIST is unknown. Previous reports have estimated the annual incidence to be approximately 4 per million inhabitants (DeMatteo *et al.*, 2000; Jemal *et al.*, 2002). GIST has an equal sex distribution, and the median age at presentation is about 60 years. The majority of GISTs are sporadic, but individuals from the same family with GIST have been reported (Nishida *et al.*, 1998; Beghini *et al.*, 2001). GISTs have also been associated with certain syndromes including NE tumours, i.e. Carney triad and neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1, von Recklinghausen's disease) (Zöller *et al.*, 1997; Carney, 1999). There have also been case reports on multiple GIST and synchronous ileal carcinoids (Buragas *et al.*, 2005).

GISTs are most commonly seen in the stomach and small intestine, but can also originate in the oesophagus, the colo-rectum, mesentery, omentum, and retroperitoneum. Since GISTs tend to grow non-invasively, the tumours can be large before they cause symptoms. The vast majority of GISTs are solitary and welldelineated at diagnosis.

The clinical presentation is often related to tumour size; smaller tumours are found incidentally during endoscopy, radiological imaging, or surgery for other reasons. Larger tumours are usually diagnosed during work-up for symptoms. In reports from tertiary cancer centres, it has been shown that up to 50% of GISTs are metastatic at presentation (DeMatteo *et al.*, 2000; Crosby *et al.*, 2001).

In general, GIST recurs within the abdominal cavity, and frequently involves the peritoneum (52%), the liver (63%), or both (15%) (Ng et al., 1992b; DeMatteo et al., 2000). Extra-abdominal metastases (pulmonary and bone) are rare but can occur late in disease. Lymph node metastases are very rare (Fong et al., 1993).

#### Diagnosis

With growing awareness of this tumour type in recent years, there has been considerable interest in establishing early diagnosis. On CT/MRI, GIST should be suspected when there is a well-defined mass closely associated with the stomach or intestine. Intra-tumoural necrosis, or haemorrhage, strengthens the suspicion. CT/MRI are essential investigations to determine the extent of the primary tumour anatomically, multicentricity, and presence of metastases. Endoscopy may identify submucosal lesions, with or without ulceration. On endoscopic ultrasound, GISTs are characterised by a marginal halo and relatively high echogenicity, and can therefore often be distinguished from leiomyomas or leiomyosarcomas (Okai *et al.*, 2003).

The role of functional imaging by positron emission tomography (PET) is rapidly expanding. GISTs, like several other malignancies, have increased glucose metabolism and thus take up the tracer <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG). This technique can be used for staging of the tumour disease and is of value for planning of the surgical approach (van den Abbeele & Badawi, 2002). It was recently reported that tumour uptake on <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET was very rapidly extinguished (within 24–48 hours) in response to treatment with imatinib (Stroobants *et al.*, 2003). When PET was combined with another imaging modality such as CT (PET-CT), the accuracy of diagnosing and monitoring treatment responses in GIST was further improved (Antoch *et al.*, 2004).

#### **Prognostic Features**

GISTs represent a spectrum of disease that ranges from benign tumours with excellent prognosis to highly malignant tumours with very poor prognosis. The clinical behaviour has not always been easy to predict, and several prognostic factors have been proposed.

The most frequently used prognostic parameters for GIST have been tumour size and mitotic rate. During an NIH/NCI-workshop, consensus guidelines were prepared that emphasised the importance of tumour size and mitotic index in estimating the metastatic risk of primary GIST (Fletcher *et al.*, 2002) (**Table 2**).

Risk	Size (cm)	Mitotic Count (per 50 hp		
Very low risk	< 2	< 5		
Low risk	2-5	< 5		
Intermediate risk	< 5	6-10		
	5-10	< 5		
High risk	> 5	> 5		
	> 10	Any mitotic rate		
	Any tumour	> 10		

Modified from Fletcher et al. (2002).

#### Surgery

Complete (R0) surgical resection provides the best chance of cure in patients with GIST. Only a localised resection of the tumour, i.e. wedge resection of the stomach or a segmental intestinal resection, is necessary for well-delineated tumours. Negative microscopic margins must be attempted. If necessary, en-bloc resection of adjacent organs should be considered. Avoidance of tumour rupture is imperative (Ng *et al.*,

1992a). Some authors have recommended wide peritonectomy because of the high risk of peritoneal recurrence (Roberts & Eisenberg, 2002). The value of regional lymphnode resection is unproven, and extensive lymphadenectomy is not recommended (Fong *et al.*, 1993; DeMatteo *et al.*, 2002). In recent years, laparoscopic resection has been advocated for smaller gastric GISTs (Hindmarsh *et al.*, 2005). This technique seems appropriate, but the present series are limited in size and long-term follow-up data are lacking.

#### Chemotherapy and Radiation Therapy

Systemic chemotherapy has been largely unsuccessful in GIST patients. The frequency of partial responses after either single-agent therapy or combination therapy has varied between 0 and 15% (DeMatteo *et al.*, 2002; De Pas *et al.*, 2003). One explanation may be that GISTs have increased levels of multidrug resistance proteins in comparison with leiomyosarcomas (Plaat *et al.*, 2000). Intraperitoneal chemotherapy was introduced to improve the results after surgery for peritoneal recurrence. However, it did not alter survival for patients with liver metastases, but it probably contributed to extending the mean time to recurrence from 8 to 21 months (Eilber, 1999). The experience of radiation therapy in GIST is limited; in one report, radiation therapy temporarily controlled metastatic GIST in 6 out of 9 patients (Crosby *et al.*, 2001).

#### Molecular Pathology

The KIT gene, located on chromosome 4q11-q12 (Spritz et al., 1994), has 21 exons and is the cellular homologue of the oncogene v-kit of the Hardy-Zuckerman feline sarcoma virus (Besmer et al., 1986). It encodes the tyrosine kinase receptor KIT (CD117), which is of fundamental importance in the pathogenesis of GIST. KIT belongs to the subclass III family of tyrosine kinase receptors, which is closely related to the receptors for platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), macrophage colonystimulating factor, and FLT3 ligand (Rousset et al., 1995). KIT is a transmembrane receptor in which the extracellular region has a binding site for the ligand: stem cell factor (SCF). The intracellular region consists of an ATP-binding site and a split tyrosine kinase domain (Fig. 1 A). KIT is expressed by haematopoietic cells, melanocytes, mast cells, germ cells, and ICC (Heinrich et al., 2002), and is important for differentiation, cell growth and survival. The unbound KIT protein is enzymatically inactive. It is activated by the binding of bivalent ligand dimers, i.e. two adjacent receptors are brought together in a process called homodimerisation, which results in activation of kinases and cross-phosphorylation of intracellular tyrosine residues (Broudy, 1997; Nishida & Hirota, 2000) (Fig. 1 A). The phosphorylation of KIT and PDGFRA activates similar downstream molecules: mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase, AKT, mTOR, p70/85S6K, STAT 1 and STAT 3 (Heinrich et al., 2003b; Duensing et al., 2004). The phosphorylation is mainly mediated via the PI3 kinase/AKT/mTOR pathways (Fig. 1 A and B), and inhibition of the PI3 kinase/mTOR pathway reduces proliferation and increases apoptosis (Duensing et al., 2004).

KIT Mutations. The vast majority of sporadic GISTs have gain-of-function mutations in KIT (Hirota *et al.*, 1998; Rubin *et al.*, 2001; Corless *et al.*, 2002; Heinrich *et al.*, 2003a) that result in ligand-independent dimerisation, autophosphorylation and activation of downstream signalling pathways. The mutations are confined to only 4 exons; exon 9 encoding the extracellular transmembrane domain, exon 11 encoding the intracellular juxtamembrane domain, exon 13 encoding the the first portion of the kinase domain, and exon 17 encoding the second portion of the kinase domain (Fig. 1 B).

Sixty to seventy per cent of the mutations involve exon 11, a domain that normally functions by inhibiting receptor dimerisation in the absence of SCF, thus leading to ligand-independent receptor activation. Most exon 11 mutations are deletions and/or point mutations located at the 5' end (Heinrich *et al.*, 2003a).

Exon 9 mutations are found in approximately 10% of cases. This type of mutation is interesting, since all but one of such mutations were found by Antonescu *et al.* (2003) to be identical (an insertion of 6 nucleotides resulting in duplication of alanine 501 and tyrosine 502) and almost all mutations were found in small intestinal GISTs.

Mutations in exon 13 (kinase 1 domain) and exon 17 (kinase 2 domain or activation loop) have been seen in about 1% and < 1% of cases, respectively (Lasota *et al.*, 2000; Lux *et al.*, 2000; Rubin *et al.*, 2001).

Even in the absence of a mutation, nearly all GISTs express a phosphorylated KIT protein, indicating that they are constitutively activated (Rubin et al., 2001). Explanations for this could be an alteration in related proteins, gene amplification, or different methylation patterns (DeMatteo et al., 2003). Mutations of KIT do not occur in leiomyomas or leiomyosarcomas (Lasota et al., 1999). The KIT mutation is almost always somatic, but several family members with heritable mutations of exon 11 have been identified. The familial cases often have multiple and small tumours; they also display a diffuse hyperplasia of the Auerbach's plexus. In addition, many patients with familial GIST have systemic cutaneous hyperpigmentation. Abnormalities of mast cells have been reported, e.g. urticaria pigmentosa or systemic mast cell disease (Longley et al., 1996). Clinical features and mutation analysis in families with inherited exon 11 mutations indicate an association hetween ICC hyperplasia/neoplasia, multiple GISTs, melanocytic dysfunction, and cutaneous mast cell proliferation (Nishida et al., 1998; Hirota et al., 2000; Beghini et al., 2001; Maeyama et al., 2001).

PDGFRA Mutations. A small subset of GIST patients with no mutation in KIT can have mutations in the PDGFRA gene (Heinrich et al., 2003b; Hirota et al., 2003). The mutations involve exons 12, 14, and 18, which are homologous to KIT exons 11, 13, and 17. The signal transduction profiles for these PDGFRA-mutant tumours were found to be the same as for KIT-mutant tumours, suggesting that PDGFRA can have a role similar to that of KIT in GIST oncogenesis (Heinrich et al., 2003b). The majority of PDGFRA-mutant tumours express KIT only weakly, or not at all. These tumours are often gastric in origin and associated with a fairly benign clinical course (Lasota et al., 2004; Miettinen et al., 2005). About 5–10% of GISTs lack both KIT and PDGFRA mutations.



**Fig. 1.** A. Ligand-induced dimerisation of KIT or PDGFRA results in autophosphorylation and activation of downstream signalling involved in cell differentiation, cell proliferation, and cell survival. B. Independent dimerisation, due to gain-of-function mutations in the KIT or PDGFRA genes, results in unrestrained cell proliferation.

#### Imatinib

Imatinib mesylate (Glivec<sup>®</sup>, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Basel, Switzerland)-initially developed to inhibit ABL as treatment for chronic myelogenous leukaemia-has caused a paradigm shift in cancer therapy. Imatinib is an ATP analogue that binds to the intracellular portion of KIT. In this way, the kinase is prevented from transferring phosphate groups from ATP to tyrosine residues of substrates. This inhibits downstream signalling from the kinase and switches the balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis towards apoptosis (**Fig. 2**).



Imatinib selectively inhibits several tyrosine kinases, including ABL, BCR-ABL fusion protein, KIT and PDGFRA (Carroll *et al.*, 1997). Imatinib is well absorbed after oral administration. The half-life is about 20 hours (Druker *et al.*, 2001; Demetri *et al.*, 2002), which is compatible with once-daily administration. Preclinical studies have shown that imatinib serum concentrations of greater than 1µmol/L are necessary for optimum therapeutic effects, which can be achieved by daily doses of  $\geq$  300 mg.

# AIMS OF THE STUDY

The epidemiology, prognostic factors and outcome of the surgical treatment of GIST have not been addressed in population-based studies. With the introduction of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib, effective treatment of GIST became possible. Functional imaging with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET has turned out to be a valuable tool for evaluation of tumour responses to treatment with imatinib. GISTs are thought to originate from ICC, which show properties in common with neurons of the GI tract.

The aims of the study were:

- o To analyse the incidence and prevalence of GIST and to validate both the consensus risk stratification scheme and a new risk score based on tumour size and proliferative index (I).
- o To evaluate radical surgery (R0 resection) and other prognostic factors in a population-based patient series with long follow-up (II).
- To explore the usefulness of imatinib in different clinical settings (neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative) and evaluate response to given treatment with respect to *KIT* exon 11 mutational status (III).
- o To demonstrate the diagnostic value of a novel 2-tracer PET technique in patients with GIST as part of NE tumour syndromes (IV).
- o To evaluate NE differentiation in GIST by analysing the expression of synaptic vesicle proteins (V).

# **MATERIALS & METHODS**

### Population-Based Studies (papers I & II)

#### **Retrieval of Patients**

Records on all potential patients with GIST, diagnosed between January 1983 and December 2000 within the Swedish region of Västra Götaland (population 1.3–1.6 million), were retrieved. Histopathological examination of all patients was performed in four hospital-based pathology laboratories, each covering a defined geographic area. Computerised files of histopathological diagnoses according to the topographic and morphological (T and M) coding system of SNOMED were used to retrieve all patients. T codes included all sites in the GI tract; intra-abdominal, retroperitoneal, mesenchymal lesions, tumours of the autonomic and peripheral nervous systems, and benign and malignant tumours not further classified. In all 1,460 patients who matched the T and M codes, clinical information and pathology reports were reviewed. Five hundred patients, slides were reviewed histologically, after which 310 other patients had to be excluded. The remaining 650 patients were studied in detail including immunohistochemical analyses.

#### Inclusion Criteria

Requirements for the diagnosis of GIST and inclusion in these studies were: (1) site in or adjacent to the GI tract, mesentery, omentum, or retroperitoneum; (2) spindle-shaped and/or epithelioid morphology compatible with GIST; and (3) positive immunoreactivity for CD117. Three hundred and ninety-eight of the 650 patients fulfilled these criteria. Ninety-five patients were excluded because they were referrals from other regions, and 15 patients were excluded because they had a primary GIST diagnosed before 1983. Two hundred and eighty-eight patients with primary GIST from our region remained and were included in paper I. For the analyses in paper II, 29 patients with autopsybased diagnosis were excluded; 259 patients with clinically detected primary GIST were thus studied.

#### Morphological Analysis

The following data were recorded for each patient: histological type, degree of tumour cell pleomorphism, mitotic rate per 50 high-power fields (hpf, with 1 hpf =  $0.16 \text{ mm}^2$ ), average and maximum proliferative index (Ki67), and patterns of immunoreactivity for CD117 and CD34. The following antibodies were used: CD117 (pc), CD34 (mc), and Ki-67 (MIB1; mc) (paper I).

#### Clinical Information and Follow-up

Information regarding presenting symptoms and dates of diagnosis, tumour status at diagnosis, treatment, and diagnosis of local recurrences or metastases were recorded. For all patients, information on survival and cause of death was obtained from clinical records, autopsy reports and Official Population Registries. Surgical margins were assessed from clinical records and evaluation of the histological findings in the specimens. The margins were classified as R0 (no residual tumour), R1 (microscopic residual tumour), and R2 (macroscopic residual tumour) according to UICC (TNM classification of malignant tumours, 2002). All tumours were classified according to a consensus risk group stratification system based on tumour size and mitotic rate per 50 hpf (Fletcher *et al.*, 2002; **Table 2**). In these studies, we added a fifth group called overtly malignant, which included all patients with proven metastatic disease at diagnosis. The median follow-up time of surviving patients was 8 years (range 1.8–19.5 years) (paper II).

#### Statistical Analysis

A Poisson regression model (Breslow & Day, 1987) was used for the analysis of overall survival instead of the Kaplan-Meier model, because the latter cannot treat continuous risk variables efficiently

and does not allow all types of comparisons with the normal population. This model was used to calculate the survival function for the different risk groups according to Fletcher et al. (2002), with addition of the overtly malignant group. In the analysis, the follow-up was divided in intervals of 0.5 years, and the death rate within each interval was estimated by calculating the quotient between the number of events and patient years within the interval. From this, a stepwise constant hazard function was obtained and, by applying the mathematic relation between survival and hazard functions, the survival function was determined. The expected proportion of survivors was calculated, taking age, sex, and calendar time into account using the mathematical relations applied to hazard functions derived from official statistics of Sweden. Continuous variables were compared using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test and categorical variables using Fisher's exact test. In the analysis of all prognostic factors in paper I, the Poisson regression (Breslow & Day, 1987) was used to estimate a hazard function of the form exp ( $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \times x_1 + ...$ ), where the  $\beta$  values were coefficients and  $x_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3.., were variables. The function was continuous as a function of all continuous variables, including time since diagnosis. The analysis was performed by a stepwise procedure, which, at the end, only included variables that were significant in the multivariate context. The Poisson regression analysis resulted in a risk score, which was a linear combination defined by the variables and the  $\beta$  coefficients. A special analysis was performed to elucidate how well the risk score captured the large variation in the risk of dying. The probability of dying within 5 years after diagnosis was calculated depending on the risk score. The calculation of the percentile points was based on a piecewise linear transformation of the score yielding a normally distributed variable. Regression on age was applied to the normally distributed variable. The incidence of GIST was calculated from the number of clinically detected primary GIST cases divided by the accumulated number of person years (17,862,068) during the period 1983-2000. The prevalence was calculated from the age specific incidence figures and the estimated death hazard function, taking into account risk groups and calendar time.

In paper II, disease-free survival time was calculated as the interval from the initial diagnosis to the diagnosis of recurrence, persistent disease, or tumour-related death. Recurrence-free survival time was calculated as the interval from the initial diagnosis to the diagnosis of the first recurrence. Patients with no evidence of recurrence at last follow-up and those who died from non-GIST causes were censored in the analysis of disease-free survival. Patients without evidence of recurrence or metastasis at last follow-up were censored and those with persistent disease were excluded from the analysis of recurrence-free survival. Survival estimates in paper II were calculated by the Kaplan-Meier method and differences between groups were compared by the log rank test. As in paper I, stepwise Poisson regression procedures were used to estimate the hazard functions for recurrence and tumour-related death, and to identify independent risk factors. All statistical tests were two-sided. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# New Therapeutic Options Combining Surgical and Medical Treatment (paper III)

#### Patients

Seventeen consecutive patients with high-risk, or overtly malignant, GIST were admitted to Sahlgrenska University Hospital from May 2001 to September 2002, and included in this study. There were two females and 15 males, with a median age at primary surgery of 62 years (range 10–74 years).

One patient with initially non-resectable GIST was treated neoadjuvantly with imatinib so that surgical treatment could be performed later. Three out of 5 patients were treated with adjuvant imatinib after R1 resection for rectal GIST: two had primary tumours and the other a local recurrence. All three patients had no clinical or radiological signs of residual tumour after surgery; however, all had microscopic intra-lesional margins. A fourth patient with a small intestinal GIST also had intralesional margins (observed 4 months). The fifth patient, a young female, had a gastric GIST (observed 144 months). She was first operated upon at age 10, and had then, during a 12-year period prior to imatinib, undergone 5 operations due to recurrence. Eleven patients received palliative imatinib, 10 due to unresectable primary tumour, peritoneal and/or liver metastases, and one due to pulmonary metastases.

#### Morphological Analysis

All resected or biopsied tumours were reviewed histologically. Histologically, three (18%) of the tumours were predominantely epithelioid, 8 (47%) were predominantely spindle-shaped, and 6 (35%) mixed spindle-shaped and epithelioid. The mitotic rate per 50 consecutive hpfs was recorded as < 2, 2-5, 6-10, and > 10 per 50 hpfs. Proliferative activity was assessed visually, estimating the percentage of Ki67-immunopositive tumour cells using MIB1. Immunostains for CD117, CD34,  $\alpha$ -SMA, desmin, and S100 protein were performed in all 17 cases and found to be positive in 17 (100%), 14 (82%), two (12%), zero, and zero cases, respectively.

#### Clinical Information and Follow-up

Treatment with imatinib, 400 mg p.o. once-daily, was given neoadjuvantly (n = 1) three months prior to surgery, adjuvantly (n = 5) over 7–13 months, or palliatively (n = 11) over 6–18 months. Side effects were monitored in agreement with the US National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (version 2.0). Tumour response was evaluated by spiral CT (5-mm contiguous reconstruction algorithm) 3, 6, and 12 months after induction of imatinib, and categorised according to the RECIST (Therasse *et al.*, 2000). MRI (contiguous cuts of 10 mm or less) was performed in the patient who received neoadjuvant therapy. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET was performed before and during treatment with imatinib in 10 patients.

#### New Imaging Options (paper IV)

#### Patients

In this study we reported two patients with NE tumour syndromes, one with complete Carney triad and one with NF 1, in whom accurate diagnosis of both pheochromocytoma and GIST in the same patient was made by a sensitive 2-tracer PET technique.

#### PET

Attenuation-corrected whole-body PET with a dedicated scanner (CTI/Siemens) was performed 10 min after i.v. injection (800 MBq) of <sup>11</sup>C-hydroxyephedrine (HED) to visualise regional catecholamine accumulation and 1 hour after i.v. injection (400 MBq) of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG to visualise regional glucose uptake. Both examinations were performed on the same day. All images were corrected for attenuation and iteratively reconstructed with a 6-mm Hann filter. All post-processing was done with standard software supplied by the manufacturer.

#### Immunohistochemistry

The following monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies directed against the following proteins were used: CD117 (pc), CgA (pc), tyrosine hydroxylase (pc), vimentin (mc), SMA (mc), S-100 (pc), and Ki67 (MIB1; mc). All slides were subjected to antigen retrieval by microwave treatment. Bound antibodies were visualised by indirect immunoperoxidase techniques (Dako EnVision+).

#### Neuroendocrine Differentiation in GIST (paper V)

#### Tumours

Forty-one tumours obtained from the population-based material (papers I & II) were studied by immunohistochemistry. Tumours were separated into low-risk profile GIST (very low-risk, low-risk and intermediate-risk; n = 29) and high-risk profile GIST (high-risk and overtly malignant; n = 12). In low-risk profile tumours, 27 primaries, one local recurrence and one metastasis were used for analysis. In high-risk profile tumours, 7 primaries, one local recurrence and 4 metastases were used.

Biopsies from 10 patients with GIST were analysed by western blot: four patients with low-risk profile tumours and 6 patients with high-risk profile tumours. In low-risk profile tumours, only primaries were used for analysis. In high-risk profile tumours, one primary, one local recurrence and 4 metastases were used.

Biopsies from 10 patients with GIST were analysed by quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR). There was equal distribution between low-risk and high-risk profile tumours. In low-risk profile tumours, 4 primaries and one local recurrence were used for analysis. In high-risk profile tumours, one primary, one local recurrence and 3 metastases were used.

#### *Immunohistochemistry*

Tissue sections from paraffin-embedded specimens were placed on positively-charged slides, deparaffinised, rehydrated, and subjected to antigen retrieval by microwave treatment. Incubation with primary antibodies (**Table 3**) was followed by detection with DakoCytomation EnVision+ System-HRP labelled polymer or DakoCytomation LSAB<sup>®</sup>+ System-HRP. Diaminobenzidine was used as a chromogen. After counterstaining, sections were dehydrated and mounted. Immunolabelling was graded as: 0 = < 1% positive tumour cells; 1+=1-24% positive tumour cells; 2+=25-75% positive tumour cells; 3+=>75% positive tumour cells.

#### Western Blot

Frozen tumour tissues (200 mg/sample) were homogenised in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8, containing 1 mM EDTA, 9.8 mM 3-(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonium 1-propane sulphate and protease inhibitors. Homogenates were sonicated, followed by centrifugation and assay for protein content. Aliquots of proteins (40 µg) were electrophoresed on polyacrylamide gels (10% NuPAGE Bis-Tris gels; Invitrogen). Proteins were transferred to polyvinyl difluoride membranes using a NOVEX blotting system. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (**Table 3**) at 4°C overnight, followed by alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibodies and CDP-star (Tropix) as substrate. Membranes were then exposed to ECL film at room temperature. Molecular weight markers (See-Blue Plus 2 and MagicMark; Invitrogen) were used to calculate the apparent sizes of immunoreactive proteins.

Antibody	Species	Clone	Code No.	Source
Amphiphysin (mc)	Mouse	3	VAM-SV030	Nordic Biosite AB,
				Täby, Sweden
B-actin (mc)	Mouse	MAbcam	AB8226	Abcam Ltd.,
		8226		Cambridge, UK
CD 117 (pc)	Rabbit	-	A4502	DakoCytomation Denmark A/S,
				Glostrup, Denmark
CgA (mc)	Mouse	LK2H10	MAB319	Chemicon International, Inc., Temecula,
				CA, USA
GAP 43 (mc)	Mouse	IG7	NCL-GAP43	Novocastra Laboratories Ltd.,
				Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
SNAP 25 (mc)	Mouse	SP12	NB09	Oncogene™ Research Products,
				Darmstadt, Germany
SV2 (mc)	Mouse	SP2/0	SV2	Developmental Studies Hybridoma
				Bank, Iowa city, IA, USA
Synapsin 1 (mc)	Mouse	A10C	NB08	Oncogene <sup>™</sup> Research Products,
				Darmstadt, Germany
Synapsin 2A (mc)	Mouse	1	S56820	Transduction Laboratories,
				Lexington, KY, USA
Synaptobrevin (mc)	Mouse	SP10	MMS-616R	Nordic Biosite AB,
				Täby, Sweden
Synaptophysin (mc)	Mouse	SY38	M0776	DakoCytomation Denmark A/S,
				Glostrup, Denmark
Syntaxin (mc)	Mouse	SP8	MAB336	Chemicon International, Inc.,
				Temecula, CA, USA
VMAT 1 (pc)	Goat	÷	Sc-7718	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.,
				Santa Cruz, CA, USA
VMAT 2 (pc)	Rabbit		AB1767	Chemicon International, Inc.,
				Temecula, CA, USA

Table 3. Antibodies used for immunohistochemistry and western blot.

mc, monoclonal; pc, polyclonal.

#### Q-PCR

RNA Extraction. Total RNA was prepared by homogenising tumour biopsies in Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) using a Tissuelyser (Qiagen) followed by RNA purification using the FastRNA kit (QBIO

gene). RNA yields were measured by reading absorbance at 260 nm (Nano Drop ND-1000). The quality of extracted RNA was examined by gel electrophoresis (Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer). Before cDNA-synthesis, 4  $\mu$ g of total RNA per sample was subjected to DNase treatment using DNA-Free (Ambion).

*cDNA Synthesis.* cDNA was synthesised from extracted RNA using TaqMan reverse transcription reagents with random hexamers (Applied Biosystems). From each tumour sample,  $0,4 \mu g$  RNA was used for the cDNA synthesis, and a control reaction without reverse transcriptase was run.

*Primers and Probes.* Primers and probes against synaptobrevin 1 & 2 (VAMP 1 & 2), amphiphysin (AMPH), synapsin 1 (SYN 1), synaptic vesicle protein 2A (SV2A), KIT (KIT), chromogranin A (CHGA), synaptophysin (SYP), VMAT 1 & 2 (VMAT 1 & 2) and  $\beta$ -actin were purchased from Applied Biosystems.

*Real-Time PCR Assay.* The PCR assays were performed in 96-well optical plates, using an ABI Prism 700. The reaction consisted of 40 cycles of 90°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. Samples were analysed in triplicate. The cycle threshold (C<sub>1</sub>) for the target gene and  $\beta$ -actin were determined for each sample. Values were expressed as -  $\Delta C_t$  (target gene -  $\beta$ -actin) or number of target copies per 1,000 copies of  $\beta$ -actin.

### Mutation Analysis (papers II–V)

#### DNA/RNA Isolation and Amplification

Genomic DNA was extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded archival tumour tissue and 100 ng was amplified in 50  $\mu$ l PCR reactions using BD Advantage 2 or BD Sprint (Clonetech, BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's recommendations with primers designed to amplify *KIT* exons 9, 11, 13, and 17 as well as *PDGFRA* exons 12 and 18, respectively. Total RNA was extracted from fresh-frozen tumour tissue and used as template in the cDNA synthesis. cDNA was amplified in 100  $\mu$ l PCR reactions with primers PCRKIT21s and PCRKIT22as designed to amplify exon 11 of the *KIT* gene.

#### Denaturing High-Performance Liquid Chromatography and Nucleotide Sequence Analysis

Denaturing High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (dHPLC) is a rapid and sensitive method for screening for mutations within DNA samples, and was used in paper II. This technique is based on liquid chromatography in a column where a stationary phase is used to retain samples and a mobile phase is used to release samples from the column. In WT DNA (no mutation), the alleles in the sample form two homoduplexes. In contrast, in samples with mutation, there is a mismatch and both homoand heteroduplexes are formed. Heteroduplexes have a weaker affinity for the stationary phase than homoduplexes and are therefore eluted from the column at lower concentrations of the mobile phase. Heteroduplex formation was carried out by denaturing the PCR products at 95°C, and then allowing the samples to re-anneal by gradually decreasing the temperature to  $45^{\circ}$ C. 5-10 µl of the PCR product was injected on a Helix DNA HPLC Column 50 x 3.0 mm (Varian) and eluted at a flow rate of 0.45 ml/min. Samples showing an aberrant elution profile were re-extracted, re-amplified and the mutated sequence was then determined by bidirectional direct sequencing using the same primers as in the PCR reactions.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Population-Based Studies (papers I & II)

#### Incidence and Prevalence

The true incidence and prevalence of GIST is still controversial. The reason for this has been the absence of well-defined pathological criteria, variable nomenclature over time, and the fact that the majority of such tumours have been diagnosed as benign or as tumours of uncertain malignant potential. Under such circumstances, some of these tumours may not have been reported to the National Cancer Registries. Our approachto review all mesenchymal and nervous system GI tumours histopathologically from a well-defined population over a long period of time-gives a unique opportunity to estimate incidence/prevalence of authentic GIST. The annual incidence of clinically detected GIST in our region was estimated to be 14.5 per million inhabitants (95% CI: 12.8-16.4 per million), and it did not vary over time. The prevalence of GIST was calculated from the incidence figures and the estimated death hazard function, and was found to be 129 per million; for patients with high-risk GIST, the prevalence was estimated to be 22.2 per million (paper I). The median age at presentation was 68 years, and there was an equal gender distribution (paper II). GIST was occasionally found in young adults (only 4 out of 259 patients were younger than 30 years at presentation) in accordance with the results of Miettinen et al. (2005). Using information on the incidence of GIST and the age- and sex-specific distribution of the general population in our region, the age- and sex-specific incidence of GIST could be calculated (Fig. 3) (paper II).



In a recent US population-based study, a low annual incidence rate of GIST was reported: 7 cases per million inhabitants (Tran *et al.*, 2005). Blanke *et al.* (2005) commented that one major limitation to this study was that only tumours reported to be malignant were included, which would lead to an underestimation of the true incidence. In line with our results, Tryggvason *et al.* (2005) reported an annual incidence of 11 cases per million inhabitants in an Icelandic population-based series (1990-2003) of similar design to that of ours.

#### Associated Malignancies

Other malignancies (diagnosed before, after, or concomitant with GIST) were seen in almost one-third (27.8%) of the patients. Adenocarcinomas of the GI and urinary tract predominated. Interestingly, as many as 8 patients had NF1 or NE tumours (small cell lung carcinoma, carcinoid, pheochromocytoma) (Fig. 4).





A recent German single-centre study (Agaimy & Wuensch, 2005) demonstrated other malignancies associated with GIST-most commonly GI carcinomas-in 18 out of 97 GIST patients (18.6%). These results and ours contrast with the findings of DeMatteo *et al.* (2000) and Crosby *et al.* (2001), who reported associated malignancies (before or concomitant with GIST) in only 6% and 5% of their respective study populations. This obvious discrepancy may relate to shorter follow-up and biased data from tertiary cancer centres with follow-up at other hospitals.

The finding of 5 patients with NF1-associated GIST in our study is interesting, since there have been several smaller series indicating an association between NF 1 and GIST (Cheng *et al.*, 2004; Kinoshita *et al.*, 2004; Yantiss *et al.*, 2005). In an autopsy series of NF1 patients, GISTs were detected in up to one-third of the patients (Zöller *et al.*, 1997). A recent study of 15 NF1 patients with GIST showed that these tumours were preferentially multicentric, of small intestinal origin, and devoid of *KIT* and *PDGFRA* mutations. NF1-GISTs were associated with a rather favourable prognosis; NE tumours (duodenal carcinoid or pheochromocytoma) were diagnosed in 6 of the 15 patients (Andersson *et al.*, 2005).

#### Symptoms, Preoperative Investigations, Tumour Site, and Surgical Procedures

In general, previous studies analysing surgical treatment, clinical course, and prognostic factors in GIST have suffered from methodological limitations. The early series were confounded by inclusion of non-GIST sarcomas. In several retrospective series, the tumour specimens had not been histopathologically reviewed and some studies only addressed subsets of tumours, e.g. "gastric or intestinal sarcomas" (Shiu *et al.*, 1982; Akwari *et al.*, 1978). Historically, the grading system has differed between studies, and the series from large referral centres were biased by having numerous patients with large and aggressive tumours (DeMatteo *et al.*, 2000; Pierie *et al.*, 2001; Singer *et al.*, 2002). Papers I and II were the first to evaluate a large population-based

series of GIST patients with *long-term* follow-up during a time period when surgery was the only available treatment (Fig. 5):

GIST-related symptoms were seen in 77% of the patients (paper II). GI bleeding (51%) and abdominal pain (32%) were the most common symptoms. It should be noted that it was more common to diagnose GIST incidentally than as a palpable mass. Almost half of the symptomatic GISTs were classified as high-risk, or overtly malignant.

Preoperative investigation was performed in almost all symptomatic patients. Due to symptoms such as bleeding and abdominal pain, endoscopy was frequently used and often found to be diagnostic. However, diagnostic tumour tissue was only obtained in one-third of the endoscopic biopsies, which may reflect deep submucosal growth with indirect impression by the tumour.

The most common tumour site was the stomach (55%), followed by the small intestine (37%). A few GISTs of retroperitoneal origin were seen, but no oesophageal GIST was diagnosed in our series. The tumour distribution was in accordance with the results of previous studies (DeMatteo et al., 2000; Miettinen & Lasota, 2001).

The surgical procedures were predominantly gastric or intestinal resections. Only a minority of the patients underwent major surgical procedures, i.e. total gastrectomy or Whipple resection.



Fig. 5. Clinical features, diagnostic tools and treatment of GIST (n = 259).

The median tumour size in patients with clinically detected GIST was 8.9 cm (range 1.0-35.0 cm). A solitary tumour was seen in 86% of the patients, and only a minority of these tumours invaded adjacent organs. This finding is in contrast to other non-population-based studies that have reported approximately 30% invasive tumours at presentation (Nishida & Hirota, 2000). Very large tumours, measuring > 20 cm in diameter, may occur (Joensuu *et al.*, 2002; DeMatteo *et al.*, 2003). In our series, 12% of the patients had such large tumours. The type of clinical presentation was related to tumour size; small tumours (< 2 cm) were found incidentally during endoscopy, radiological imaging, or surgery for unrelated conditions, whereas larger tumours were diagnosed during work-up for abdominal pain, or palpable mass. Eight patients, including three with NF1, presented with multiple tumours. Twenty-nine patients (11%) presented with distant metastases and comprised the overtly malignant group.

Although patients were treated at several different hospitals, complete (R0) resection was achieved in 85% of the patients (paper II); R0 resection was performed in 80% of the patients with high-risk tumours, but only in 17% of the patients with overtly malignant tumours. The radical resection rate in this study confirmed previous results from single institutions (DeMatteo *et al.*, 2000; Langer *et al.*, 2003; Bucher *et al.*, 2006).

#### Recurrent Tumour Disease

The recurrence rate was low in the entire series of patients, but the risk of recurrence differed significantly between risk groups. The rate of recurrent disease after R0 resection was only 2% in the very low-, low- and intermediate-risk groups; these recurrent tumours were associated with negative prognostic factors: two patients had *KIT* exon 11 deletion, and one patient had high Ki67 max% (10%). In contrast, recurrent disease occurred in 62% and 100% of the R0-resected high-risk, or overtly malignant tumours, respectively; repeat surgery did not appear to influence survival (**Table 4**).

The median tumour size and median Ki67 max% for the patients with recurrence was 12 cm (range 1.5–33 cm) and 25% (range 0.5–40%), respectively. The median time to first recurrence in patients with high-risk, or overtly malignant, GIST was 19 months (range 5–72 months) and 7 months (range 4–19 months), respectively. Recurrent tumours were thus larger, showed higher proliferation (Ki67 max%), and there was a higher proportion of *KIT* exon 11 deletions (53%) than non-recurrent tumours (22%) (P < 0.001).

#### Survival and Prognostic Factors

Estimation of overall survival for the different risk groups was performed using Poisson regression, which enables comparison with an age- and sex-matched normal population (paper I). Median overall survival for the patients with high-risk GIST and overtly malignant GIST was 40 months and 16 months, respectively, as compared to 214 months for the age- and sex-matched normal population (P < 0.001).

Size (cm)	Ki67 max%	RG	Kinase receptor mutation	Site of primary recurr.	TTFR (mos)	No. of add. surg.	Disease status	FU (mos)
4	0.5	Low	M552_Y553del (ex 11)	Liv	55	0	TRD	57
1.5	1	Low	W557C K558_V559del (ex 11)	Loc	24	1	NED	153
4	10	Im	WT	Diss	26	0	TRD	28
11	40	High	WT	Diss	5	0	TRD	6
7.5	40	High	L576P (ex 11)	Diss	5	1	TRD	6
8	10	High	WT	Liv	6	0	TRD	8
10	40	High	WT	Diss	7	0	TRD	10
9	25	High	W557_K558del (ex 11)	Liv	8	0	TRD	12
10	30	High	K550_K558del (ex 11)	Diss	13	0	TRD	14
30	25	High	V559D (ex 11)	Liv	8	0	TRD	14
6	25	High	WT	Liv	12	0	TRD	14
4	30	High	V561D (ex 12)	Liv	14	0	TRD	16
3.5	25	High	WT	Diss	19	0	TRD	19
13	5	High	M552_V555del Q556K (ex 11)	Liv	19	0	TRD	20
17	1	High	WT	Liv	12	0	TRD	23
16	0.5	High	D572_D579dupl (ex 11)	Loc	9	1	TRD	24
20	25	High	Y553_E554del (ex 11)	Diss	5	2	TRD	27
19	1	High	Q556H W557_V560del (ex 11)	Diss	13	0	TRD	28
12	10	High	WT	Diss	18	0	TRD	28
11	10	High	K558_V560del (ex 11)	Diss	13	2	TRD	39
19	25	High	W557_K558del (ex 11)	Diss	12	4	TRD	42
11	1	High	K550I P551_E554del (ex 11)	Loc	31	0	TRD	42
26	10	High	WT	Diss	28	1	TRD	42
16	1	High	D579del (ex 11)	Diss	17	0	TRD	45
20	5	High	V555_V559del (ex 11)	Liv	15	1	TRD	46
33	5	High	W557_Q575del (ex 11)	Liv	6	2	TRD	60
15	10	High	P551_E554del (ex 11)	Liv	28	0	TRD	73
15	0.5	High	V560_N566del (ex 11)	Diss	72	0	TRD	76
4.5	15	High	WT	Diss	72	1	TRD	105
5	25	High	WT	Diss	10	0	AWD	21
12	10	High	E554_W557del (ex 11)	Liv	65	0	AWD	68
6	10	High	K558_V559del V560I (ex 11)	Loc	16	5	AWD	100
4	25	High	WT	Loc	12	1	NED	48
20	10	OM	D579_F591dupl (ex 11)	Diss	4	1	TRD	6
18	20	OM	E554_V555del (ex 11)	Diss	7	0	TRD	8
30	10	OM	W557_E561del (ex 11)	Diss	5	1	TRD	8
17	10	OM	W557C K558_V559del (ex 11)	Diss	14	3	TRD	24
30	10	OM	WT	Diss	19	2	TRD	38

**Table 4.** Clinical and histopathological data in 38 patients with low-, intermediate-, high-risk, and overtly malignant GIST with recurrent tumour disease after complete (R0) resection.

add, additional; AWD, alive with disease; Diss, disseminated disease (liver / peritoneal / omental / mesenteric / pulmonary, and/or bone metastases); FU, follow-up; Im, intermediate; Liv, liver metastases only; Loc, local recurrence; mos, months; NED, no evidence of disease; OM, overtly malignant; recurr, recurrence; RG, risk group; surg, surgery; TRD, tumour-related death; TTFR, time to first recurrence; WT, wild-type.

Univariate Analysis. In paper II, large tumour size, high mitotic rate, the presence of KIT exon 11 deletion, severe pleomorphism, high Ki67 max%, high age, short observation time after surgery, and non-R0 resection (P < 0.001 in all variables) were all associated with recurrent tumour disease or tumour-related death (Table 5).

*Multivariate Analysis.* In paper II, only large tumour size, high Ki67 max%, and the presence of *KIT* exon 11 deletion were independent predictors of recurrence. Independent predictors of tumour-related death were large tumour size, high Ki67 max%, high age, short observation time after surgery, and non-R0 resection. It should be noted that sex or tumour site (stomach *vs.* small intestine or colo-rectum) did not correlate with overall survival, although patients with gastric GIST had a 10%

lower risk (lower hazard function) of dying than patients with small intestinal tumours (**Table 5**).

19.98	Recurrent tumour disease (R0, n = 221)						Tum	our-rel (n = .	ated de 259)	eath		
1 Store in		Univariate analysis	,	Multi	variate an	alysis	100	Univariate analysis		Multi	variate an	alysis
Variable	ß	P	HR	ß	Р	HR	ß	P	HR	ß	P	HR
MR/50 hpf	1.16	< 0.001	3.18		0.390	1	0.48	< 0.001	1.62		0.796	
Size (cm)	0.15	< 0.001	1.16	0.12	< 0.001	1.13	0.07	< 0.001	1.07	0.04	0.003	1.04
Ki67max%	0.12	< 0.001	1.13	0.12	< 0.001	1.12	0.07	< 0.001	1.07	0.05	< 0.001	1.05
<i>KIT</i> ex 11 deletion	1.42	< 0.001	4.15	0.98	0.003	2.66						
R0 resection							-1.93	< 0.001	0.14	-0.85	0.008	0.43

**Table 5.** Prognostic factors for recurrent tumour disease in R0-resected GIST or tumour-related death in the entire series.

A hazard ratio (HR) of 2.66 for patients with *KIT* exon 11 deletion = 2.66 times greater risk for recurrence compared to patients without this mutation. A hazard ratio of 0.43 for patients with R0 resection =  $57 (1-0.43 \times 100) \%$  lower risk for tumour-related death compared to patients with R1/R2 resection. MR, mitotic rate.

Previous studies have indicated several prognostic factors. In the NIH/NCI-workshop, tumour size and mitotic rate were considered to be the strongest predictors (Fletcher *et al.*, 2002). In our study, we could confirm the importance of tumour size, but not mitotic rate. On the other hand, Ki67 max% (based on identification of "hot spots") had stronger predictive value than mitotic rate. DeMatteo *et al.* (2000) had earlier found advanced stage and large tumour size to be associated with poor survival. In contrast to our study, others have demonstrated significantly better survival for patients with gastric GIST (Emory *et al.*, 1999; Miettinen & Lasota, 2001).

The prognostic significance of KIT exon 11 mutations has been unclear. Some reports (Ernst *et al.*, 1998; Taniguchi *et al.*, 1999; Kim *et al.*, 2004) have shown that GISTs with KIT exon 11 mutations were associated with more aggressive behaviour than wild-type (WT) tumours, while other investigators (Sakurai *et al.*, 1999; Andersson *et al.*, 2002; Wardelmann *et al.*, 2002) could not find such correlation. Interestingly, our study showed that patients with tumours with KIT exon 11 deletions had shorter recurrence-free survival than patients with other KIT mutations or WT tumours. Singer *et al.* (2002) had earlier demonstrated that a group of patients with KIT exon 11 deletions (single or combined with insertions) had a worse prognosis than patients with KIT exon 11 substitutions.

There have been several studies reporting significantly improved survival rates after R0 resection vs. R1/R2 resection (Ng et al., 1992a; DeMatteo et al., 2000; Crosby et al., 2001). R2 resection was demonstrated to be a strong independent risk factor for tumour-related death in our study (paper II). The dismal prognosis for patients with high-risk, or overtly malignant, GIST in this study (paper II) corroborates the findings of previous large series (Ng et al., 1992b; Mudan et al., 2000; DeMatteo et al., 2000).

GIST Risk Score. The estimated influence of Ki67 max% on survival for fixed tumour size and the estimated influence of tumour size on survival for fixed Ki67 max% is shown in **Fig. 6 A and B**. The Poisson regression, based on analysis of tumour size, Ki67 max%, and overall survival, resulted in a risk score of  $0.0486 \le (\text{tumour size in cm}) + 0.0491 \le (\text{Ki67 max}\%)$ , which was a linear combination defined by the variables size and Ki67 max%, and the beta-coefficients (0.0486 and 0.0491). Since both coefficients were almost identical (approximately 5%), a risk score could be calculated (i.e. the probability of dying within 5 years) depending on the percentile point of the risk score (size + Ki67 max%) and age at diagnosis (**Fig. 6 C**).



**Fig. 6.** Estimated proportion of survivors according to Ki67 max% (**A**) and tumour size (**B**) and estimated probability of dying within 5 years according to various risk scores (tumour size in cm + Ki67 max%) and percentiles (**C**) in patients with clinically detected GIST (n = 251). Each % point increase in Ki67 max%, when tumour size fixed at 5 cm, was associated with a 5% increased risk of dying (**A**). Each 1-cm increase in tumour size, when Ki67 max% was fixed at 1%, was associated with a 5% increased risk of dying (**B**).

Up to the median percentile (risk score = 7), the estimated survival was no different from that of the normal population. In contrast, scores > 7 were associated with an increased risk of dying from the tumour disease within 5 years. The risk rapidly increased with increasing scores (Fig. 6 C). The risk score effectively stratified GIST patients into one group with excellent prognosis ( $\leq$  7) and one group with poor prognosis (> 7). From a practical standpoint, any GIST larger than 6 cm, regardless of Ki67 max%, and any GIST with Ki67 max%  $\geq$  5%, regardless of size, should be considered malignant.

*Recurrence-Free Survival.* The Kaplan-Meier estimates for recurrence-free survival in GIST patients stratified according to risk group are shown in **Fig. 7**. The risk of recurrence was very high (median time to recurrence less than 20 months) for the high-risk or overtly malignant (median time less than 10 months) groups and extremely low for the very low-, low-, and intermediate-risk groups. The vast majority of primary recurrences in patients with high-risk GIST were diagnosed within three years of primary surgery, but 3 of 14 patients at risk developed recurrence 3–6 years after the first intervention. No recurrences were observed after 6 years (**Fig. 7**, **Table 4**) (see *Future Perspectives* section).





### Indication for Adjuvant Treatment with Imatinib

From our results, it follows that adjuvant imatinib is not indicated in patients with very low-risk and low-risk GIST-and most probably not in patients with intermediate-risk tumours. Using our proposed GIST risk score, this corresponds to scores of  $\leq 7$ . In line with our results, disease-free patients with high-risk GIST with a follow-up of less than 6 years after surgery are at risk, high during the first 36 months (Fig. 7). All 5 patients with overtly malignant GIST and R0 resection died rapidly from the disease (Fig. 7, Table 4). The results further support the inclusion criteria of ongoing prospective studies that preferably patients with high-risk GIST are candidates for adjuvant imatinib after R0 resection. In our large series, we identified 60 patients with high-risk GIST (16 out of 20 alive had no evidence of disease at the latest follow-up) and 29 patients with overtly malignant GIST (persistent disease in the 4 patients still alive) (Fig. 8). Currently, all 8 patients (4 high-risk and 4 overtly malignant) with nonresectable disease are on palliative treatment with imatinib. The 16 disease-free patients will be investigated with PET-CT. If resectable recurrence is found, repeat surgery will be performed. If R0 resection is achieved, a period of adjuvant imatinib will be offered to these patients (Fig. 8, Table 6).



**Fig. 8.** Management algorithm for identified survivors with high-risk (A) or overtly malignant GIST (B) from the entire series. TRD, tumour-related death; DOC, death of other causes; RD, recurrent disease; PD, persistent disease; NED, no evidence of disease.

Age (years)	Size (cm)	Ki67 max%	Kinase receptor mutation	FU (mos)
51	12	5	WT	21*
75	6	10	P577_F591dupl (ex 11)	21*
70	15	0.5	WT	22*
27	3.5	10	WT	24*
69	5	5	K550_E554del, V555I (ex 11)	28*
81	6	5	V559A (ex 11)	29*
73	4.0	25	WT	48*
63	14	1	I571_D579dupl (ex 11)	60*
46	18	1	M552V (ex 11)	62*
81	6	10	WT	87
25	25	5	WT	91
35	6	5	K558T, V559D (ex 11)	116
69	11	5	Q556H, W557_L576del (ex 11)	126
71	4.5	20	W557_K558del, V559F (ex 11)	137
64	12	1	P551del, M552L (ex 11)	144
72	12	5	V559A (ex 11)	151
Median: 69	8.5	5		61

**Table 6.** Clinical data and tumour characteristics in 16 R0-resected patients with high-risk GIST, alive and disease-free at the latest follow-up.

del, deletion; dupl, duplication; FU, follow-up; WT, wild-type.

\*observation period shorter than 6 years.

# New Therapeutic Options Combining Surgical and Medical Treatment (paper III)

#### Neoadjuvant Imatinib

This early centre-based study which included 17 patients with high-risk, or overtly malignant, GIST was designed to study the efficacy of imatinib in different clinical settings. One patient with a very large non-resectable tumour was the first to receive neoadjuvant treatment with imatinib (400 mg once-daily over 3 months), which led to rapid tumour regression and facilitated standard surgical resection (**Fig. 9**). A pre-treatment core-needle biopsy revealed a mixed spindled-epithelioid GIST, immunoreactive for KIT, and with a Ki67 index of 10%. The tumour had a *KIT* exon 11 substitution (W557R).

In the surgical specimen, extensive tumour necrosis was evident with few viable tumour cells; Ki67 index was zero. Fifty-five months after surgery, the patient is still on imatinib due to liver metastases, and is fully active. The liver metastases have been calcified. Repeat <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET examinations show no radiotracer uptake. The neoadjuvant setting is very attractive, especially from the patient's point of view, since responding tumours may be removed with minimal sacrifice of normal tissues. To date, we have treated 7 patients in this manner; all primary tumours were removed with minimal sacrifice of adjacent organs and in one patient with recurrent tumour, abdominoperineal rectum amputation was avoided. One elderly patient with oesophageal GIST has shown marked tumour regression and will probably avoid a thoraco-abdominal procedure. The clinical value of down-staging therapy, rendering primaries and metastases resectable, has later been shown by others (Scaife *et al.*, 2003; Hohenberger *et al.*, 2003).

Fig. 18 F-FDG 9. PET examinations before (a), after 3 weeks (b), and after 3 months (c) of imatinib treatment. The high uptake of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG seen in the tumour prior to imatinib treatment could not be detected after 3 weeks and 3 months of treatment. CT scans before (d), after 3 weeks (e). and after 3 months (f) of imatinib treatment. Over 3 months of imatinib treament, the tumour decreased in size from 35 to 18 cm. After 3 months of treatment not detectable at the L2 level (f). Corresponding T2and T1weighted MRI before (g) and after 3 months (i) of treatment, showing tumour reduction. After 3 months of treatment, a GIST of small intestinal origin was completely resected. leaving adjacent structures intact (h).



#### Adjuvant Imatinib

Five patients received adjuvant treatment with imatinib after R0/R1 resection. Four of 5 patients had *KIT* exon 11 mutations (deletions only: n = 3; substitution only: n = 1), and one patient was WT for exon 11. No patient given adjuvant imatinib developed recurrent tumour disease over 7–13 months of follow-up. There were no serious adverse effects from the drug; one patient developed transient minor oedema. In our consecutive series, we have now treated 21 patients with high-risk GIST with imatinib (400 mg once-daily over 12 months) after R0/R1 resection. The mean observation time is 35 months (SD 15 months). The recurrence-free survival for patients treated with adjuvant imatinib seems much improved relative to historic controls from the pre-imatinib era (papers I & II) (**Fig. 10**).

10. Fig. Recurrence-free survival in patients with highrisk GIST treated with adjuvant imatinib after R0/R1 resection (mean follow-up: 35 15 months. SD months) relative to historic controls treated solely with surgery. \*\*\* P < 0.0002 (log rank test).



Only one GIST treated with adjuvant imatinib has recurred (*case 4* in paper III) (Fig. 10); this patient underwent resections due to local recurrence/peritoneal metastases on several occasions. After the fifth tumour resection (right-sided hemihepatectomy), she

was treated with adjuvant imatinib for 12 months. Initial mutation analysis revealed a tumour lacking a *KIT* exon 11 mutation. Thirty-eight months after liver surgery, a solitary pulmonary metastasis was diagnosed and treated. She will not be further treated with imatinib since the tumour was verified WT for both *KIT* and *PDGFRA*. The role of adjuvant imatinib following **repeat** surgery for recurrent GIST has been reported by Lai *et al.* (2005). Of two patients, one remained tumour-free for 12 months, while the other recurred 3 months after discontinuation of imatinib. No mutation analysis was performed and <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET was not used during follow-up.

#### Palliative Imatinib

Eleven patients, all with metastatic non-resectable disease, received palliative treatment with imatinib. Seven of the 11 patients had *KIT* exon 11 mutations; deletions only (n = 4), or deletions combined with substitutions (n = 3). Of the 11 patients receiving imatinib in a palliative setting, 8 patients had partial tumour responses during a follow-up of 6–18 months. Two patients in this group died of myocardial infarction. Shortly before death, both showed progressive tumour disease on CT. There were no serious adverse effects by the drug in this group; three patients had minor oedema.

The first patient treated with imatinib was a Finnish woman (*Patient Zero*) with widely metastatic GIST that was resistant to other therapies. The tumour had a *KIT* exon 11 mutation. Within a few weeks, the <sup>18</sup>FDG-PET uptake was extinguished, and serial tumour biopsies during treatment showed myxoid degeneration and fibrosis (Joensuu *et al.*, 2001). This remarkable therapeutic success led to rapid phase I (van Oosterom *et al.*, 2001), II (Demetri *et al.*, 2002), and III (Benjamin *et al.*, 2003; Verweij *et al.*, 2004) trials, which all confirmed the efficacy of imatinib treatment; partial responses were seen in 40–70% of the patients and stable disease in 15–30%. The randomised studies have not shown any difference in response induction between 400 mg imatinib given once-daily as opposed to twice a day; however, the EORTC phase III trial demonstrated a significantly longer progression-free survival at the higher dose (**Table 7**).

						Re	sponse	e (%)	
Trial	Phase	No. pts.	Dose (mg/day)	FU	CR	PR	SD	PD	PFS
EORTC	1	36	400-1000	> 10 mos	-	51	31	8	82
US	11*	73	400	52 mos		68	14	15	84
multicentre		74	600		2	65	18	8	
EORTC	111*	470	400	25 mos	5	45	32	13	88
		472	800		6	48	32	9	108
US	111*	361	400	14 mos		43	32	-	80
intergroup		360	800			41	32		82

**Table 7.** Interim results in trials of imatinib as palliative treatment for metastatic GIST.

CR, complete response; EORTC, European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival (in weeks); PR, partial response;

SD, stable disease. \*randomised clinical trials. Modified from van der Zwan & DeMatteo (2005).

The median time to response, as judged by CT, was about three months. Metabolic responses, as judged by <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET, were demonstrated within hours to days after

initiation of treatment (Joensuu & Dimitrijevic, 2001). Since the introduction of imatinib as treatment for metastatic GIST, the early clinical outcome has been dramatically improved; the 2-year overall survival for patients with metastatic disease is now approximately 70% (Verweij *et al.*, 2004).

Several studies have shown that the response to imatinib depends on the mutational status of *KIT*. Recent 4-year follow-up analysis of the US multicentre randomised phase II trial demonstrated that patients with metastatic GIST with *KIT* exon 11 mutations had a partial tumour response in 87% as opposed to 48% in tumours harbouring *KIT* exon 9 mutations and 0% in WT tumours, respectively. Accordingly, patients with tumours harbouring *KIT* exon 11 mutations had longer median event-free survival (> 100 weeks) than those with exon 9 mutations (< 28 weeks) or WT tumours (< 14 weeks) (Blanke *et al.*, 2006). These results corroborate our early series, in which all 9 responsive patients had a *KIT* mutation (8 exon 11 and one exon 9).

## New Imaging Options (paper IV)

GIST can be a component of rare syndromes, i.e. Carney triad and NF1. In 1977, Carney *et al.* reported an association of multiple epithelioid gastric GIST, extraadrenal pheochromocytoma, and pulmonary chondroma in a small series of mainly young women. NF1 can be associated with several different malignancies, most commonly duodenal NE tumours and pheochromocytomas. GIST has been described as the most common GI manifestation in patients with NF1 (Fuller & Williams, 1991). However, the genetic basis for these associations is largely unknown (**Table 8**). Mutation analysis has revealed that all Carney-GISTs (Diment *et al.*, 2005) and most NF1-GISTs (Takazawa *et al*, 2005) do not harbour *KIT*, or *PDGFRA* mutations.

Syndrome	Locus name	Gene locus	Inheritance	Gene
Familial GIST	Unknown	Unknown	AD	KIT (JM) or PDGFRA
GIST, hyperpigmentation, urticaria pigmentosa	Unknown	Unknown	AD	KIT (JM)
GIST and dysphagia	Unknown	Unknown	AD	KIT (TK-2)
Paraganglioma-GIST	Unknown	Unknown	AD	Unknown
Carney triad	Unknown	Unknown	Sporadic	Unknown
Neurofibromatosis type 1	NF1	17q11.2	AD	NF1

Table 8. Syndromes including GIST.

AD, autosomal dominant; JM, juxtamembrane domain; TK-2, tyrosine kinase 2 domain. Modified from Perry *et al.* (2006).

In this study we reported two patients, one patient with complete Carney triad and one patient with NF1, in whom accurate diagnosis of both pheochromocytoma and GIST in the same patient was made by a 2-tracer PET technique using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG for GIST and <sup>11</sup>C-HED for pheochromocytoma.

#### Carney Triad

During follow-up of a 54-year-old female patient who presented with gastric GIST 39 years ago, functional imaging using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG for recurrent GIST and <sup>11</sup>C-HED for

pheochromocytoma demonstrated a liver tumour and two components of a left-sided juxta-adrenal tumour. High FDG uptake was seen in the liver tumour and the upper component of the juxta-adrenal lesion, indicating GIST. The lower juxta-adrenal portion had HED uptake only, thus indicating pheochromocytoma (**Fig. 11**).



**Fig. 11.** A small GIST liver metastasis (A). This tumour and a synchronous GIST were visualised by  ${}^{18}$ F-FDG in the upper portion (**B**) of a time-glass shaped tumour adjacent to the left adrenal (**C**). The lower tumour portion was an extra-adrenal pheochromocytoma, imaged by  ${}^{11}$ C-HED (**D**).

#### Neurofibromatosis Type 1

A 64-year-old male patient with NF1 had severe attacks of hypertension and syncope due to pheochromocytoma. Urinary catecholamines and plasma CgA were markedly elevated. CT showed a left-sided adrenal tumour. At adrenalectomy, a large number of small intestinal tumours were seen, later proven to be GIST with no *KIT* mutation. With recent experience of the Carney patient, a 2-tracer PET was performed postoperatively, which demonstrated multiple FDG uptake in the abdomen and a small HED uptake in the residual right adrenal. After a 5-month period on imatinib, 2-tracer PET was repeated; the previously observed FDG uptakes were extinguished, but the adrenal HED uptake was unchanged. At second-look laparotomy, all residual GIST lesions were excised and a very small pheochromocytoma was enucleated with preservation of the adrenal cortex.

Signalling through the MAP kinase pathway in WT-KIT was first demonstrated by Chian *et al.* (2001). This pathway may be operational in NF1-GIST, since *NF1* mutations result in constitutive *RAS* activation and increased signalling via the MAP kinase. This may be one explanation for the imatinib response seen in our patient with NF1-GIST with no *KIT/PDGFRA* mutation.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on a novel 2-tracer PETtechnique, which-with high precision-detected GIST **and** pheochromocytoma in patients with NE tumour syndromes. The small liver GIST in the Carney patient and the very small pheochromocytoma in the NF1 patient were not detected by CT/MRI. In a recent study by Trampal *et al.* (2004), it was concluded that <sup>11</sup>C-HED-PET is an imaging technique with high sensitivity and specificity in detecting pheochromocytomas. <sup>11</sup>C-HED-PET is one of the new functional imaging techniques, which awaits comparison with the most recent 6-[<sup>18</sup>F]-fluorodopamine-PET to detect small or multiple pheochromocytomas (Ilias *et al.*, 2003).

# Neuroendocrine Differentiation in GIST (paper V)

The origin and differentiation of GIST has been under debate for decades. Today, GIST is considered to originate from ICC, or their precursor cells (**Fig. 12**). The KIT protein is expressed both by ICC and GISTs. Ultrastructural studies have demonstrated similarities between ICC and GISTs, e.g. the presence of cytoplasmic vesicles (Erlandson *et al.*, 1996; Kindblom *et al.*, 1998), also found in neurons and NE cells (Komuro, 1999).

Fig. 12. Immunohistochemical demonstration of CD117 (KIT) in ICC, intercalated between intramural neurons and smooth muscle cells of the intestinal wall.



NE function is defined by the presence of a regulated secretory pathway, which requires specific storage organelles. Two types of regulated secretory pathways have been characterised. One pathway makes use of large dense-core vesicles (LDCV) containing e.g. chromogranins and vesicular monamine transporters (VMAT 1 & 2). The other pathway uses synaptic-like microvesicles (SLMV), e.g. synapsin 1, synaptophysin, synaptobrevin, and SV2 (Rindi *et al.*, 2004). Expression of CgA and synaptophysin have been hallmarks of NE differentiation, but other synaptic vesicle proteins are also expressed in abundance in several NE tumour types, e.g. SV2, VMAT 1 & 2, and amphiphysin (Jakobsen *et al.*, 2001 & 2002; Zanner *et al.*, 2004).

#### SLMV Proteins

Our immunohistochemical study demonstrated positive staining for synapsin 1, SV2, amphiphysin, and synaptobrevin in the majority of low-risk profile GISTs and all high-risk profile GISTs (**Table 9**).

Marker	Protein	Low-risk profile (VL, L, Im), n = 29	High-risk profile (H, OM), n = 12		
SLMV	Synapsin 1	25/26	8/8		
	SV 2	27/29	12/12		
	Amphiphysin	23/26	8/8		
	Synaptobrevin	24/29	12/12		

**able 9.** Immunohistochemical demonstration of SLMV proteins in GIST (n = 41).

In positive tumours, the staining pattern was neurite- and bouton-like for synapsin 1, cytoplasmic and granular for SV2 and synaptobrevin, and cytoplasmic (perinuclear) for amphiphysin (**Fig. 13**).



Characterisations by western blot demonstrated SV2, amphiphysin, and synaptobrevin, with major bands of 75–100 kD, 85 kD, and 15 kD, respectively. Q-PCR demonstrated expression of *SV2A*, *synapsin 1*, *amphiphysin*, and *synaptobrevin 1 & 2* in all GISTs (**Fig. 14**).



**Fig. 14.** Scatter plots of KIT-, and synaptic vesicle protein expression in GIST. Expression of SLMV markers (red) was higher than the expression of LDCV markers (blue). Ileal carcinoid and colorectal adenocarcinoma served as control tumours. Log-transformed gene expression ratios obtained from Q-PCR analysis are plotted. Each dot represents data derived from one sample. Unfilled dots represent expression values below the detection limit.

The median expression values for the SV2A and synaptobrevin 1 & 2 genes in GIST were similar to those observed in ileal carcinoids. The expression values for the synapsin 1 gene were two orders of magnitude lower than those observed in carcinoids.

#### LDCV Proteins

Expression of LDCV proteins was demonstrated in a minority of GISTs. CgA and VMAT 1 & 2 were examined by immunohistochemistry, but only VMAT 1 could be demonstrated: a small number of tumour cells were stained in one-third of the tumours. Characterisation of LDCV proteins by western blot was negative for both VMAT 1 & 2. Although Q-PCR analysis showed expression of CgA and VMAT 1 & 2 in 5, 2, and 9 tumours out of 10 tumours, respectively, the expression values were 5, 4, and 3 orders of magnitude lower than those observed in carcinoids.

### Lack of Correlation between SLMV Expression and Clinicopathological Features

There were no significant differences in the staining pattern, molecular size or expression levels of SLMV proteins when low-risk and high-risk profile GISTs were compared. Similarly, there were no significant differences in SLMV protein expression between GISTs with WT or mutated *KIT/PDGFRA*.

NE differentiation has been documented in some non-endocrine tumours, e.g. prostatic carcinoma, breast carcinoma, and GI carcinoma (Hirano *et al.*, 2005; van Krimpen *et al.*, 2004; Grabowski *et al.*, 2002). In contrast to GIST, the non-endocrine tumours show NE differentiation in only a subset of tumours. NE differentiation in prostatic carcinoma has been associated with poor prognosis (Di Sant'Agnese, 2001). However, in our GIST series, we were unable to detect any correlation between risk profile, mutational status of *KIT/PDGFRA*, and NE differentiation.

This study shows that GISTs regularly express SLMV proteins, indicating that these tumours are related to the NE tumour family. High expression of G protein-coupled receptors, e.g. somatostatin receptors, is a common finding in NE tumours and occasionally found in GIST (Montella *et al.*, 2005). Recently, Reubi *et al.* (2004) demonstrated extremely high densities of several brain-gut peptide receptors in GIST, which may open other opportunities to treat patients with radiolabelled peptides/analogues in cases that are resistant to therapy.

# CONCLUSIONS

- The incidence of GIST (14.5 per million inhabitants per year) was found to be higher than previously estimated. The consensus risk stratification scheme has proven to be most useful. Prediction of prognosis for patients with GIST was simplified by a risk score based on the independent risk factors tumour size and proliferative index (Ki67 max%).
- Complete (R0) resection and *KIT* exon 11 deletion were found to be independent prognostic factors. Imatinib in adjuvant setting is not indicated for patients with very low-, low-, or intermediate-risk GIST due to the good results obtained by surgery alone.
- Treatment with imatinib in high-risk, or overtly malignant, GIST was found to be safe and effective, particularly in patients with *KIT* exon 11 mutations. Adjuvant treatment with imatinib seems promising, but long-term effects on survival must be evaluated in randomised clinical trials. In selected patients, neoadjuvant treatment can facilitate later surgical treatment.
- A 2-tracer PET, using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG and <sup>11</sup>C-HED, has the unique capacity to simultaneously detect GIST and pheochromocytoma in patients with NE tumour syndromes, e.g. Carney triad and NF1.
- Both low- and high-risk GISTs regularly express synaptic vesicle proteins of SLMV type. One-third of the tumours also expressed the LDCV marker VMAT 1. These features demonstrate a NE differentiation in a majority of GIST.

# **FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

# **Clinical Trials**

Today, it is evident that patients with metastatic, disseminated, or unresectable GIST benefit from treatment with imatinib (paper III). Whether selected patients should be treated with imatinib prior to surgery, or with imatinib after complete (R0) resection of the primary tumour, is presently being evaluated in prospective American and European trials. For inclusion in these studies, information about *KIT-/PDGFRA* mutational status or objective response to imatinib, e.g. extinction of FDG uptake on PET, is not required. The results from our retrospective studies (papers I & II) demonstrate that adjuvant imatinib is not indicated for patients with very low-, low-, or intermediate-risk GIST due to the good results obtained by surgery alone. Our consecutive series (paper III) of patients with high-risk GIST treated with imatinib after R0/R1 resection (with mean follow-up of 35 months) indicate that these patients benefit from adjuvant treatment; the only recurrence observed was in a patient WT for both *KIT* and *PDGFRA*.

In the international trials on adjuvant treatment, patients with low-risk GIST were excluded, but in the EORTC and ACOSOG Z9001 studies patients with intermediate-risk tumours were included (**Table 10**).

Group/Trial	Disease	Setting	Inclusion	Dose
RTOG S0132	Any	Neoadjuvant	Potentially resectable primary tumour ≥ 5 cm or potentially resectable recurrent tumour ≥ 2 cm	600 mg/day x 8-10 weeks preop. and 600 mg/day x 24 months postop.
ACOSOG Z9000	Primary	Adjuvant	R0 resection and high-risk	400 mg/day x 12 months
ACOSOG Z9001	Primary	Adjuvant	R0 resection and tumour $\geq$ 3 cm	400 mg/day vs. placebo x 12 months
SSG XVIII	Primary with or without metastases	Adjuvant	R0 resection and high-risk	400 mg/day x 12 months <i>vs</i> . 36 months
EORTC 62024	Primary	Adjuvant	R0 resection and intermediate-/high-risk	400 mg/day <i>vs</i> . no treatment x 24 months

Table 10. Currently running trials combining surgery with neoadjuvant or adjuvant imatinib for GIST.

ACOSOG, American College of Surgeons Oncology Group; EORTC, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; RTOG, Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; SSG, Scandinavian Sarcoma Group. Modified from van der Zwan & DeMatteo (2005).

# **New Targeted Therapies**

#### Novel Medical Approaches

Many patients with advanced GIST and long-term treatment with imatinib develop resistance. The median time to tumour progression is about 24 months (Antonescu *et al.*, 2005). Four mechanisms for drug failure have been proposed: (1) acquisition of a secondary mutation in *KIT* or *PDGFRA*; (2) genomic amplification of *KIT* or *PDGFRA* with consequent kinase over-expression; (3) activation of an alternate

tyrosine kinase receptor with loss of KIT or PDGFRA oncoprotein expression and (4) functional resistance *in vivo* of tumours that are sensitive to imatinib *in vitro* (e.g. *KIT* exon 9 and WT *KIT*). Primary resistance is defined as rapid progression within the first 6 months of treatment, usually at multiple sites. These tumours often exhibit WT *KIT*, *KIT* exon 9 mutations, or a D842V mutation of *PDGFRA*. Drug failure beyond 6 months of treatment is defined as secondary resistance; only a few tumours continue to grow, while the majority of tumours are controlled by medication (Blay *et al.*, 2005). In the case of resistance, the therapeutic options may include repeat surgery or other interventions (e.g. radiofrequency or cryo-laser ablation, or hepatic arterial embolisation), tyrosine kinase inhibitors with wider profile than imatinib, or targeting of other signalling pathway intermediaries (van der Zwan & DeMatteo, 2005). Some of the new drugs in the current clinical trials are the multikinase inhibitor AMG706, the mTOR inhibitor RAD001 and the protein kinase C inhibitor PKC412 (**Fig. 15**).

We have treated 4 patients with imatinib resistance (three with a KIT exon 11 mutation and one with WT) with the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin, which is usually used for immunosuppression in transplant patients. In the three patients with KIT mutations, the time to tumour progression was 6, 13, and 15 months, respectively. The patient with a WT tumour did not show any objective response.



Fig. 15. The downstream signalling pathways in GIST with drug targets.

A novel tyrosine kinase inhibitor, currently in phase III clinical trials, is sunitinib malate (Sutent<sup>®</sup>; Pfizer Inc., New York, NY) (Fig. 15). This oral agent, which has activity against KIT, PDGFR, VEGFR, RET and FLT3, has yielded objective responses, or stable disease, in 26 of 48 evaluable patients with imatinib-resistance. It seems as if patients with *KIT* exon 9 mutations respond particularly well (79%)

(Demetri *et al.*, 2004), which can make this drug a valuable complement to imatinib. We are currently evaluating sunitinib as treatment for metastatic, imatinib- and rapamycin-resistant GIST in a clinical trial (Protocol no. A6181036). All 4 patients, first treated with rapamycin upon tumour progression, now receive sunitinib.

# **Cost and Quality**

The new generation of expensive targeted cancer drugs in combination with limited healthcare resources highlights the need for improved methods to estimate the benefits of different treatment strategies. One method of estimating the benefit of a certain therapy is to calculate its expected gain in quality-adjusted life years (QALYs). One QALY is defined as one year of perfect health. Comparisons can be made between different therapies, and priorities based on cost and quality can be made. A key question is whether the expected gain in QALYs outweighs the costs associated with the actual cost of the specific treatment. By using special Poisson regression models, which allow hazard functions to be estimated as continuous functions, we can derive and calculate these probabilities, expected length of life and expected gain in QALYs in detail and with precision from our large series of GIST (papers I &II).

## **GIST-a Neuroendocrine Tumour?**

GISTs express multiple synaptic vesicle proteins (paper V). These findings suggest that GISTs secrete hormones that may be used as markers in the diagnosis and followup. Peptide receptors are important in the regulation of hormone secretion and have been demonstrated in both NE tumours and GISTs. We have observed individual patients with GISTs that were distinctly imaged by somatostatin receptor scintigraphy (**Fig. 16**). GISTs also express very high densities of other peptide receptors, e.g. bombesin subtype 2, vasoactive intestinal peptide subtype 2, and cholecystokinin subtype 2 (Reubi *et al.*, 2004).

Fig. 16. Somatostatin receptor scintigraphy visualising a GIST of small intestinal origin.



High expression of somatostatin receptors in NE tumours has led to successful receptor-mediated radiotherapy using somatostatin analogues (Kwekkeboom *et al.*, 2003; Reubi, 2003). With access to suitable peptide analogues, receptor-mediated radiotherapy targeted to bombesin-, vasoactive intestinal peptide-, and cholecystokinin receptors may become a future alternative in patients with therapy-resistant GISTs.

# **POPULÄRVETENSKAPLIG SAMMANFATTNING**

Gastrointestinal stromacellstumör (GIST) hör till gruppen mjukdelstumörer, dit även tumörer från ben, brosk, fett, muskulatur och blodkärl räknas. GIST kan utgå från hela magtarmkanalen men ses oftast i magsäck och tunntarm. Forskarna tror idag att GIST härstammar från förstadier till Cajal's celler, som ligger insprängda mellan muskeloch nervceller i tarmväggen och ansvarar för magtarmkanalens motorik. Eftersom GIST inte går att behandla med cellgifter har kirurgi varit enda möjligheten att bota drabbade. Många patienter får dock återfall och dör av sin sjukdom trots upprepade operationer. GIST har varit en nyckfull tumör med svårbedömd prognos. I ett försök att bättre kunna beskriva prognosen för en enskild tumör har ett system att skatta risken föreslagits, som baserar sig på tumörstorlek och celldelningsförmåga (analyserat som mitosfrekvens = antal tumörceller i delningsfas). Beroende på dessa egenskaper har tumörerna delats in i följande grupper: mycket låg, låg, intermediär och hög risk-tumörer.

GIST har en receptor (mottagare för stimulering) kallad KIT på cellytan, som genom signalering till cellkärnan reglerar viktiga funktioner som celltillväxt och celldöd. Den gen (KIT), som kodar för denna mottagarmolekyl, har i majoriteten av GIST visat sig vara muterad, det vill säga genen förmedlar felaktig information som leder till överaktivering av KIT-receptorn med ökad celltillväxt/minskad celldöd till följd. Läkemedlet imatinib binder sig till KIT-receptorn och hämmar dess signalering, vilket visat sig vara effektivt för behandling av spridd eller icke-operabel GIST. Speciellt väl fungerar medicinen hos patienter med mutation i en specifik del (exon 11) av KIT-genen. Nyttan av tilläggsbehandling med imatinib efter genomförd radikal operation av "risk-tumörer" samt imatinib-behandling för att krympa en stor tumör inför operation analyseras för närvarande i flera studier. Tumörutbredning påvisas vanligtvis med datortomografi eller magnetröntgen, men det har visat sig att positronemissions-tomografi (PET) med en radioaktivt märkt sockermolekyl. <sup>18</sup>fluorodeoxyglukos (FDG), är en känslig funktionell metod för att tidigt utvärdera effekten av behandling.

GIST-studier har hittills utgått från stora cancercentra vilket innebär att resultaten i stor utsträckning baserar sig på patientmaterial med större och mer aggressiva tumörer än vad man kan förvänta sig i en normalbefolkning. Syftet med denna avhandling har varit att (1) analysera hur vanligt GIST är i Västra Götalandsregionen samt att utvärdera den föreslagna riskskattningen; (2) utvärdera betydelsen av radikal operation och andra prognosfaktorer i ett stort populationsbaserat patientmaterial med lång uppföljningstid; (3) undersöka olika typer av behandling med imatinib, hur dessa kan kombineras med kirurgi samt utvärdera behandlingseffekten vid mutation i exon 11 i KIT-genen; (4) visa på det diagnostiska värdet av en ny typ av PET-undersökning hos patienter med GIST som del i syndrom innefattande olika tumörtyper; samt (5) utvärdera om GIST kan ha drag gemensamt med nervliknande hormonproducerande tumörer, så kallad neuroendokrin differentiering, eftersom GIST just utgår från Cajal's celler i tarmen. Våra resultat visar att:

(1) GIST är vanligare än tidigare beräknat; förekomsten av kliniskt upptäckt, GIST i Västra Götalands regionen är 14,5 patienter per en miljon invånare och år. Den gällande riskskattningen, baserad på tumörstorlek och mitosfrekvens, är väl användbar. Vi föreslår dock en modifierad riskskattning, som baseras på tumörstorlek och högsta observerade celldelning (Ki67 max%), som på ett enklare sätt skiljer ut GIST med god prognos.

(2) Radikal operation och bortfallsmutation i *KIT* exon 11 är viktiga prognosfaktorer vid sidan av tumörstorlek och Ki67 max%. På grund av att patienter med mycket låg-, låg- och intermediär risk-GIST uppvisar synnerligen god prognos efter genomförd radikal operation, föreligger ingen indikation för behandling med imatinib för dessa patienter.

(3) Imatinib-behandling av patienter med hög risk-GIST, eller uppenbart elakartad GIST, är säker och effektiv, särskilt om *KIT* exon 11-mutation föreligger. Behandling med imatinib efter kirurgi vid hög risk-GIST verkar lovande, men långtidseffekter måste studeras närmare i större studier. För patienter med mycket stor tumör kan förbehandling med imatinib leda till bättre resultat av en efterföljande operation.

(4) En ny PET-undersökning användande 2 olika radioaktivt märkta spårmolekyler, deoxyglukos och hydroxyefedrin, kan samtidigt upptäcka såväl GIST som den neuroendokrina tumören feokromocytom hos patienter med neuroendokrina tumörsyndrom, det vill säga patienter som kan utveckla flera olika tumörtyper.

(5) GIST uttrycker vissa synaptiska vesikelprotein som normalt förekommer i nerver och neuroendokrina tumörer. Detta fynd visar att GIST till viss grad har neuroendokrin differentiering. Vissa GIST kan vidare uttrycka peptider och peptidreceptorer, vilket kan ge möjlighet till strålbehandling, peptidanaloger (konstgjorda peptider) bundna till radioaktiva läkemedel binds då till dessa receptorer på tumörytan och ger en stråleffekt på tumören.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to all of you who have contributed to this thesis. In particular, I thank:

Associate Professor of Surgery **Bengt Nilsson**, my supervisor who has conducted this small orchestra in an outstanding way. For your warm friendship, constant support, relentless enthusiasm, never-ending patience, and firm guidance through these years I am extremely grateful. Your personal, surgical, and scientific skills make you a real role model.

Professor of Endocrine Surgery Håkan Ahlman, for sharing with me his knowledge of endocrine surgery/surgical science and for his guidance, constant support, concern, and genuine friendship.

Professors of Pathology Lars-Gunnar Kindblom and Jeanne Meis-Kindblom, whose contributions to this field are widely recognised, for their collaboration and for generously providing both research money and research time for this project.

Professor of Pathology **Ola Nilsson**, for giving me the opportunity to work at the Lundberg Laboratory for Cancer Research and for sharing with me his knowledge of experimental techniques, neuroendocrine tumour pathology, and the meaning of the word "deadline".

Associate Professor of Surgery and former Head of the Endocrine Surgical Unit **Svante Jansson**. Your friendly and generous way made it easy for me to choose Gothenburg for my career in endocrine surgery. During my 5 years on the team you have had confidence in me and you have giving me the opportunity to further develop as an endocrine surgeon. I sincerely hope we will continue to work together.

Ph.D. Johanna Andersson, for expertise and guidance through the mysteries of PCR and mutation analysis, and for her sincere friendship. "I will always, when I am in doubt, Vortex".

My co-authors, Aydin Dortok, Katarina Engström, Bengt Gustavsson, Hans Klingenstierna, Anders Odén, Katarzyna Sablinska, Ulrika Stierner, Jens Sörensen, Anna Welbencer, and Bo Wängberg.

Ellinor Andersson, Malin Berntsson, and Ann-Christin Illerskog-Lindström, at the Ahlman/Nilsson lab for giving their absolute best in trying to explain the mysteries of Western blot and quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR to this surgeon.

Carina Carlsson, Anna-Carin Ericson, and Sibylle Widéhn, at the Kindblom lab for their support and professional engagement.

Professor Heikki Joensuu, Ph.D. Nina Nupponen, and Ph.D.-student Harri Sihto, at Biomedicum, Helsinki University. Thank you Heikki for inviting us to your lab. Thank you Nina and Harri for guidance through both dHPLC and the Helsinki nightlife.

Professor of Surgery Antonio Larena-Avellaneda, my Spanish/German Uncle and surgical chief during residency in St. Katharinen Hospital, Frechen, Germany. During your 28 years as surgical chief you educated 32 surgeons where of at least 7 have become chief surgeons elsewhere. I am so grateful that you made it possible for me to realise my dream of becoming a surgeon. Your way of work and your surgical skills have made such an influence on me. I find it hard to believe there was a better place to pursue surgical residency than in your clinic.

Ulric Pedersen, for expert assistance with computers and graphics.

Britt-Inger Nilsgren, Barbro Krüger, Agneta Särén, and Ingela Stave for excellent secreterial service.

All my **colleagues** and **friends** at the endocrine surgical unit for generous friendship, support and clinical training.

My mother **Mechthild** and my father **Lennart**, for always believing in me and for being so supportive. I love you.

My sister **Wenche** and my brother **Jens**, with their Swedish and German families, for enriching me and my family.

My parents-in-law, **Ann-Marie** and **Claes-Göran**, for their warm friendship, good values and all the past, present and forthcoming nice times in the lovely village of Täng.

My wonderful wife, **Anna-Lena**, whose love I am so proud to deserve. Your unconditional love, encouragement and support are beyond words. Our lovely daughters, **Ella** and **Alice**, who in their phantastic way make it so obvious what really matters in life.

This work was supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Society, the Swedish Medical Research Council, the Assar Gabrielsson Research Foundation, the Björnsson Research Foundation, the Capio Research Foundation, the Göteborg Medical Society, the Inga-Britt and Arne Lundberg Research Foundation, the Johan Jansson Foundation, the King Gustav V Jubilee Clinical Cancer Research Foundation, the Sahlgrenska Academy, and the Sahlgrenska University Hospital Research Foundation. Paper I was supported by an educational grant from Novartis Oncology, Stockholm, Sweden.

# REFERENCES

- Agaimy A & Wuensch PH. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours in patients with other-type cancer: a mere coincidence or an etiological association? A study of 97 GIST cases. Z Gastroenterol 2005;43:1025-1030.
- Akwari OE, Dozois RR, Weiland LH, Beahrs OH. Leiomyosarcoma of the small and large bowel. Cancer 1978;42:1375-1384.
- Andersson J, Sjögren H, Meis-Kindblom JM, Stenman G, Åman P, Kindblom LG. The complexity of KIT gene mutations and chromosome rearrangements and their clinical correlation in gastrointestinal stromal (pacemaker cell) tumors. Am J Pathol 2002;160:15-22.
- Andersson J, Sihto H, Meis-Kindblom JM, Joensuu H, Nupponen N, Kindblom LG. NF1associated gastrointestinal stromal tumors have unique clinical, phenotypic, and genotypic characteristics. Am J Surg Pathol 2005;29:1170-1176.
- Antoch G, Kanja J, Bauer S, Kuehl H, Renzing-Koehler K, Schuette J, Bockisch A, Debatin JF, Freudenberg LS. Comparison of PET, CT, and dual PET/CT imaging for monitoring of imatinib (STI571) therapy in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors. J Nucl Med 2004;45:357-365.
- Antonescu CR, Sommer G, Sarran L, Tschernyavsky SJ, Riedel E, Woodruff JM, Robson M, Maki R, Brennan MF, Ladanyi M, DeMatteo RP & Besmer P. Association of KIT exon 9 mutations with nongastric primary site and aggressive behavior: KIT mutation analysis and clinical correlates of 120 gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9:3329-3337.
- Antonescu CR, Besmer P, Guo T, Arkun K, Hom G, Koryotowski B, Leversha MA, Jeffrey PD, Desantis D, Singer S, Brennan MF, Maki RG, DeMatteo RP. Acquired resistance to imatinib in gastrointestinal stromal tumor occurs through secondary gene mutation. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:4182-4190.
- Appelman HD. Smooth muscle tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. What we know now that Stout didn't know. Am J Surg Pathol 1986;10Suppl1:83-99.
- Beghini A, Tibiletti MG, Roversi G, Chiaravalli AM, Serio G, Capella C & Larizza L. Germline mutation in the juxtamembrane domain of the kit gene in a family with gastrointestinal stromal tumors and urticaria pigmentosa. Cancer 2001;92:657-662.
- Benjamin RS, Rankin C, Fletcher C, Blanke C, Von Mehren M, Maki R, Bramwell V, Baker L, Borden E, G. Demetri GD. Phase III dose-randomized study of imatinib mesylate (STI571) for GIST: Intergroup S0033 early results. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2003;abstract 3271.
- Besmer P, Murphy JE, George PC, Qiu FH, Bergold PJ, Lederman L, Snyder HW, Jr., Brodeur D, Zuckerman EE & Hardy WD. A new acute transforming feline retrovirus

and relationship of its oncogene v-kit with the protein kinase gene family. Nature 1986;320:415-421.

- Blanke C, Eisenberg BL, Heinrich M. Epidemiology of GIST. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:2366.
- Blanke CD, Joensuu H, Demetri GD, Heinrich MC, Eisenberg B, Fletcher J, Corless CL, Wehrle E, Sandau KB, von Mehren M. Outcome of advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) patients treated with imatinib mesylate: Four-year follow-up of a phase II randomized trial. Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium 2006:abstract 7.
- Blay JY, Bonvalot S, Casali P, Choi H, Debiec-Richter M, Dei Tos AP, Emile JF, Gronchi A, Hogendoorn PC, Joensuu H, Le Cesne A, McClure J, Maurel J, Nupponen N, Ray-Coquard I, Reichardt P, Sciot R, Stroobants S, van Glabbeke M, van Oosterom A, Demetri GD. Consensus meeting for the management of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Report of the GIST Consensus Conference of 20-21 March 2004, under the auspices of ESMO. Ann Oncol 2005;16:566-578.
- Blay P, Astudillo A, Buesa JM, Campo E, Abad M, Garcia-Garcia J, Miquel R, Marco V, Sierra M, Losa R, Lacave A, Brana A, Balbin M, Freije JM. Protein kinase C theta is highly expressed in gastrointestinal stromal tumors but not in other mesenchymal neoplasias. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:4089-4095.
- Breslow NE, Day NE. In: Breslow NE, Day NE, eds. Statistical methods in cancer research. Volume 2, IARC Scientific publication, Lyon, IARC;1987:131-135.
- Broudy VC. Stem cell factor and hematopoiesis. Blood 1997;90:1345-1364.
- Bucher P, Egger JF, Gervaz P, Ris F, Weintraub D, Villiger P, Buhler LH, Morel P. An audit of surgical management of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST). Eur J Surg Oncol 2006;13:[Epub ahead of print].
- Buragas M, Kidd M, Modlin IM, Cha C. Multiple gastrointestinal stromal tumors and synchronous ileal carcinoids. Nat Clin Pract Oncol 2005;2:166-170.
- Carney JA, Sheps SG, Go VL, Gordon H. The triad of gastric leiomyosarcoma, functioning extra-adrenal paraganglioma and pulmonary chondroma. N Engl J Med 1977;296:1517-1518.
- Carney JA. Gastric stromal sarcoma, pulmonary chondroma, and extra-adrenal paraganglioma (Carney Triad): natural history, adrenocortical component, and possible familial occurrence. Mayo Clin Proc 1999;74:543-552.
- Carroll M, Ohno-Jones S, Tamura S, Buchdunger E, Zimmermann J, Lydon NB, Gilliland DG & Druker BJ. CGP 57148, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, inhibits the growth of cells expressing BCR-ABL, TEL-ABL, and TEL-PDGFR fusion proteins. Blood 1997;90:4947-4952.

- Cheng SP, Huang MJ, Yang TL, Tzen CY, Liu CL, Liu TP, Hsiao SC. Neurofibromatosis with gastrointestinal stromal tumors: insights into the association. Dig Dis Sci 2004;49:1165-1169.
- Chian R, Young S, Danilkovitch-Miagkova A, Ronnstrand L, Leonard E, Ferrao P, Ashman L, Linnekin D. Phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase contributes to the transformation of hematopoietic cells by the D816V c-Kit mutant. Blood 2001;98:1365-1373.
- Corless CL, McGreevey L, Haley A, Town A & Heinrich MC. KIT mutations are common in incidental gastrointestinal stromal tumors one centimeter or less in size. Am J Pathol 2002;160:1567-1572.
- Crosby JA, Catton CN, Davis A, Couture J, O'Sullivan B, Kandel R & Swallow CJ. Malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors of the small intestine: a review of 50 cases from a prospective database. Ann Surg Oncol 2001;8:50-59.
- DeMatteo RP, Lewis JJ, Leung D, Mudan SS, Woodruff JM & Brennan MF. Two hundred gastrointestinal stromal tumors: recurrence patterns and prognostic factors for survival. Ann Surg 2000;231:51-58.
- DeMatteo RP, Heinrich MC, El-Rifai WM & Demetri G. Clinical management of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: before and after STI-571. Hum Pathol 2002;33:466-477.
- DeMatteo RP, Maki RG, Antonescu C & Brennan MF. Targeted molecular therapy for cancer: the application of STI571 to gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Curr Probl Surg 2003;40:144-193.
- Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Blanke CD, Van den Abbeele AD, Eisenberg B, Roberts PJ, Heinrich MC, Tuveson DA, Singer S, Janicek M, Fletcher JA, Silverman SG, Silberman SL, Capdeville R, Kiese B, Peng B, Dimitrijevic S, Druker BJ, Corless C, Fletcher CD & Joensuu H. Efficacy and safety of imatinib mesylate in advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors. N Engl J Med 2002;347:472-480.
- Demetri GD, Desai J, Fletcher JA, Morgan JA, Fletcher CDM, Kazanovicz A, Van Den Abbeele A, Baum C, Maki R, Heinrich MC. SU11248, a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, can overcome imatinib (IM) resistance caused by diverse genomic mechanisms in patients (pts) with metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2004;abstract 3001.
- De Pas T, Casali PG, Toma S, Romanini A, Massidda B, Comandone A, Labianca R, Massacesi C, Tucci A, Antimi M, Biasco G, Aglietta M, Apice G, de Braud F; Italian Sarcoma Group. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: should they be treated with the same systemic chemotherapy as other soft tissue sarcomas? Oncology 2003;64:186-188.
- Diment J, Tamborini E, Casali P, Gronchi A, Carney JA, Colecchia M. Carney triad: case report and molecular analysis of gastric tumor. Hum Pathol 2005;36:112-116.
- Di Sant'Agnese PA. Neuroendocrine differentiation in prostatic carcinoma: an update on recent developments. Ann Oncol 2001;12:135-140.

- Druker BJ, Talpaz M, Resta DJ, Peng B, Buchdunger E, Ford JM, Lydon NB, Kantarjian H, Capdeville R, Ohno-Jones S & Sawyers CL. Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1031-1037.
- Duensing A, Medeiros F, McConarty B, Joseph NE, Panigrahy D, Singer S, Fletcher CD, Demetri GD, Fletcher JA. Mechanisms of oncogenic KIT signal transduction in primary gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). Oncogene 2004;23:3999-4006.
- Eilber FC, Rosen G, Forscher C, Nelson SD, Dorey FJ & Eilber FR. Surgical resection and intraperitoneal chemotherapy for recurrent abdominal sarcomas. Ann Surg Oncol 1999;6:645-650.
- Emory TS, Sobin LH, Lukes L, Lee DH & O'Leary TJ. Prognosis of gastrointestinal smoothmuscle (stromal) tumors: dependence on anatomic site. Am J Surg Pathol 1999;23:82-87.
- Erlandson RA, Klimstra DS & Woodruff JM. Subclassification of gastrointestinal stromal tumors based on evaluation by electron microscopy and immunohistochemistry. Ultrastruct Pathol 1996;20:373-393.
- Ernst SI, Hubbs AE, Przygodzki RM, Emory TS, Sobin LH & O'Leary TJ. KIT mutation portends poor prognosis in gastrointestinal stromal/smooth muscle tumors. Lab Invest 1998;78:1633-1636.
- Faussone-Pellegrini MS, Pantalone D, Cortesini C. Smooth muscle cells, interstitial cells of Cajal and myenteric plexus interrelationships in the human colon. Acta Anat 1990;139:31-44.
- Fletcher CD, Berman JJ, Corless C, Gorstein F, Lasota J, Longley BJ, Miettinen M, O'Leary TJ, Remotti H, Rubin BP, Shmookler B, Sobin LH & Weiss SW. Diagnosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A consensus approach. Hum Pathol 2002;33:459-465.
- Fong Y, Coit DG, Woodruff JM & Brennan MF. Lymph node metastasis from soft tissue sarcoma in adults. Analysis of data from a prospective database of 1772 sarcoma patients. Ann Surg 1993;217:72-77.
- Franquemont DW. Differentiation and risk assessment of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Am J Clin Pathol 1995;103:41-47.
- Fuller CE, Williams GT. Gastrointestinal manifestations of type 1 neurofibromatosis (von Recklinghausen's disease). Histopathology 1991;19:1-11.
- Golden T, Stout AP. Smooth muscle tumors of the gastrointestinal tract and retroperitoneal tissues. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1941;73:784-810.
- Grabowski P, Schonfelder J, Ahnert-Hilger G, Foss HD, Heine B, Schindler I, Stein H, Berger G, Zeitz M, Scherubl H. Expression of neuroendocrine markers: a signature of human undifferentiated carcinoma of the colon and rectum. Virchows Arch 2002;441:256-263.

- Heinrich MC, Blanke CD, Druker BJ & Corless CL. Inhibition of KIT tyrosine kinase activity: a novel molecular approach to the treatment of KIT-positive malignancies. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:1692-1703.
- Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Demetri GD, Blanke CD, von Mehren M, Joensuu H, McGreevey LS, Chen CJ, Van den Abbeele AD, Druker BJ, Kiese B, Eisenberg B, Roberts PJ, Singer S, Fletcher CD, Silberman S, Dimitrijevic S & Fletcher JA. Kinase mutations and imatinib response in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor. J Clin Oncol 2003a;21:4342-4349.
- Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Duensing A, McGreevey L, Chen CJ, Joseph N, Singer S, Griffith DJ, Haley A, Town A, Demetri GD, Fletcher CD & Fletcher JA. PDGFRA Activating Mutations in Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors. Science 2003b;31:708-710.
- Hindmarsh A, Koo B, Lewis MP, Rhodes M. Laparoscopic resection of gastric gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Surg Endosc 2005;19:1109-1112.
- Hirano D, Jike T, Okada Y, Minei S, Sugimoto S, Yamaguchi K, Yoshikawa T, Hachiya T, Yoshida T, Takimoto Y. Immunohistochemical and ultrastructural features of neuroendocrine differentiated carcinomas of the prostate: an immunoelectron microscopic study. Ultrastruct Pathol 2005;29:367-375.
- Hirota S, Isozaki K, Moriyama Y, Hashimoto K, Nishida T, Ishiguro S, Kawano K, Hanada M, Kurata A, Takeda M, Muhammad Tunio G, Matsuzawa Y, Kanakura Y, Shinomura Y & Kitamura Y. Gain-of-function mutations of c-kit in human gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Science 1998;279:577-580.
- Hirota S, Okazaki T, Kitamura Y, O'Brien P, Kapusta L & Dardick I. Cause of familial and multiple gastrointestinal autonomic nerve tumors with hyperplasia of interstitial cells of Cajal is germline mutation of the c-kit gene. Am J Surg Pathol 2000;24:326-327.
- Hirota S, Ohashi A, Nishida T, Isozaki K, Kinoshita K, Shinomura Y & Kitamura Y. Gain-offunction mutations of platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha gene in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Gastroenterology 2003;125:660-667.
- Hohenberger P, Bauer S, Schneider U, Pinlk D, Dirsch O, Schuette J, Reichardt P. Tumor resection following imatinib pretreatment in GI stromal tumors. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2003;abstract 3288.
- Hornick JL & Fletcher CD. Immunohistochemical staining for KIT (CD117) in soft tissue sarcomas is very limited in distribution. Am J Clin Pathol 2002;117:188-193.
- Huizinga JD, Thuneberg L, Kluppel M, Malysz J, Mikkelsen HB, Bernstein A. W/kit gene required for interstitial cells of Cajal and for intestinal pacemaker activity. Nature 1995;373:347-349.
- Ilias I, Yu J, Carrasquillo JA, Chen CC, Eisenhofer G, Whatley M, McElroy B, Pacak K. Superiority of 6-[18F]-fluorodopamine positron emission tomography versus [131I]metaiodobenzylguanidine scintigraphy in the localization of metastatic pheochromocytoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2003;88:4083-4087.

- Jakobsen AM, Andersson P, Saglik G, Andersson E, Kölby L, Erickson JD, Forssell-Aronsson E, Wängberg B, Ahlman H, Nilsson O. Differential expression of vesicular monoamine transporter (VMAT) 1 and 2 in gastrointestinal endocrine tumours. J Pathol 2001;195:463-472.
- Jakobsen AM, Ahlman H, Wängberg B, Kölby L, Bengtsson M, Nilsson O. Expression of synaptic vesicle protein 2 (SV2) in neuroendocrine tumours of the gastrointestinal tract and pancreas. J Pathol 2002;196:44-50.
- Jemal A, Thomas A, Murray T, Thun M. Cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin 2002;52:23-47.
- Joensuu H, Roberts PJ, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Andersson LC, Tervahartiala P, Tuveson D, Silberman S, Capdeville R, Dimitrijevic S, Druker B, Demetri GD. Effect of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI571 in a patient with a metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1052-1056.
- Joensuu H, Dimitrijevic S. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib (STI571) as an anticancer agent for solid tumours. Ann Med 2001;33:451-455.
- Joensuu H, Fletcher C, Dimitrijevic S, Silberman S, Roberts P & Demetri G. Management of malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Lancet Oncol 2002;3:655-664.
- Kim TW, Lee H, Kang YK, Choe MS, Ryu MH, Chang HM, Kim JS, Yook JH, Kim BS, Lee JS. Prognostic significance of c-kit mutation in localized gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:3076-3081.
- Kindblom LG, Remotti HE, Aldenborg F & Meis-Kindblom JM. Gastrointestinal pacemaker cell tumor (GIPACT): gastrointestinal stromal tumors show phenotypic characteristics of the interstitial cells of Cajal. Am J Pathol 1998;152:1259-1269.
- Kinoshita K, Hirota S, Isozaki K, Ohashi A, Nishida T, Kitamura Y, Shinomura Y, Matsuzawa Y. Absence of c-kit mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumours from neurofibromatosis type 1 patients. J Pathol 2004;202:80-85.
- Komuro T. Comparative morphology of interstitial cells of Cajal: ultrastructual characterization. Microsc Res Tech 1999;47:267-285.
- Kwekkeboom DJ, Bakker WH, Kam BL, Teunissen JJ, Kooij PP, de Herder WW, Feelders RA, van Eijck CH, de Jong M, Srinivasan A, Erion JL, Krenning EP. Treatment of patients with gastro-entero-pancreatic (GEP) tumours with the novel radiolabelled somatostatin analogue [177Lu-DOTA(0),Tyr3]octreotate. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2003;30:417-422.
- Lai IR, Hu RH, Chang KJ. Is imatinib justified as an adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with recurrent gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Hepatogastroenterology 2005;52:826-828.
- Langer C, Gunawan B, Schuler P, Huber W, Fuzesi L, Becker H. Prognostic factors influencing surgical management and outcome of gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Br J Surg 2003;90:332-339.

- Lasota J, Jasinski M, Sarlomo-Rikala M & Miettinen M. Mutations in exon 11 of c-Kit occur preferentially in malignant versus benign gastrointestinal stromal tumors and do not occur in leiomyomas or leiomyosarcomas. Am J Pathol 1999;154:53-60.
- Lasota J, Wozniak A, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Rys J, Kordek R, Nassar A, Sobin LH & Miettinen M. Mutations in exons 9 and 13 of KIT gene are rare events in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. A study of 200 cases. Am J Pathol 2000;157:1091-1095.
- Lasota J, Dansonka-Mieszkowska A, Sobin LH, Miettinen M. A great majority of GISTs with PDGFRA mutations represent gastric tumors of low or no malignant potential. Lab Invest 2004;84:874-883.
- Longley BJ, Tyrrell L, Lu SZ, Ma YS, Langley K, Ding TG, Duffy T, Jacobs P, Tang LH, Modlin I. Somatic c-KIT activating mutation in urticaria pigmentosa and aggressive mastocytosis: establishment of clonality in a human mast cell neoplasm. Nat Genet 1996;12:312-314.
- Lux ML, Rubin BP, Biase TL, Chen CJ, Maclure T, Demetri G, Xiao S, Singer S, Fletcher CD & Fletcher JA. KIT extracellular and kinase domain mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Am J Pathol 2000;156:791-795.
- Maeyama H, Hidaka E, Ota H, Minami S, Kajiyama M, Kuraishi A, Mori H, Matsuda Y, Wada S, Sodeyama H, Nakata S, Kawamura N, Hata S, Watanabe M, Iijima Y & Katsuyama T. Familial gastrointestinal stromal tumor with hyperpigmentation: association with a germline mutation of the c-kit gene. Gastroenterology 2001;120:210-215.
- Mazur MT & Clark HB. Gastric stromal tumors. Reappraisal of histogenesis. Am J Surg Pathol 1983;7:507-519.
- Miettinen M, Virolainen M & Maarit Sarlomo R. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors-value of CD34 antigen in their identification and separation from true leiomyomas and schwannomas. Am J Surg Pathol 1995;19:207-216.
- Miettinen M, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: recent advances in understanding of their biology. Hum Pathol 1999;30:1213-1220.
- Miettinen M & Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors--definition, clinical, histological, immunohistochemical, and molecular genetic features and differential diagnosis. Virchows Arch 2001;438:1-12.
- Miettinen M, Lasota J, Sobin LH. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors of the stomach in children and young adults: a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular genetic study of 44 cases with long-term follow-up and review of the literature. Am J Surg Pathol 2005;29:1373-1381.
- Montella L, Florio T, Aiello C, Barbieri F, Villa V, Schulz S, Insabato L, Palmieri G. Somatostatin receptor expression in stromal tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2005;abstract 9042.

- Mudan SS, Conlon KC, Woodruff JM, Lewis JJ & Brennan MF. Salvage surgery for patients with recurrent gastrointestinal sarcoma: prognostic factors to guide patient selection. Cancer 2000;88:66-74.
- Ng EH, Pollock RE, Munsell MF, Atkinson EN & Romsdahl MM. Prognostic factors influencing survival in gastrointestinal leiomyosarcomas. Implications for surgical management and staging. Ann Surg 1992a;215:68-77.
- Ng EH, Pollock RE & Romsdahl MM. Prognostic implications of patterns of failure for gastrointestinal leiomyosarcomas. Cancer 1992b;69:1334-1341.
- Nishida T, Hirota S, Taniguchi M, Hashimoto K, Isozaki K, Nakamura H, Kanakura Y, Tanaka T, Takabayashi A, Matsuda H & Kitamura Y. Familial gastrointestinal stromal tumours with germline mutation of the KIT gene. Nat Genet 1998;19:323-324.
- Nishida T & Hirota S. Biological and clinical review of stromal tumors in the gastrointestinal tract. Histol Histopathol 2000;15:1293-1301.
- Okai T, Minamoto T, Ohtsubo K, Minato H, Kurumaya H, Oda Y, Mai M & Sawabu N. Endosonographic evaluation of c-kit-positive gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Abdom Imaging 2003;28:301-307.
- Perez-Atayde AR, Shamberger RC, Kozakewich HW. Neuroectodermal differentiation of the gastrointestinal tumors in the Carney triad. An ultrastructural and immunohistochemical study. Am J Surg Pathol 1993;17:706-714.
- Pierie JP, Choudry U, Muzikansky A, Yeap BY, Souba WW & Ott MJ. The effect of surgery and grade on outcome of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Arch Surg 2001;136:383-389.
- Plaat BE, Hollema H, Molenaar WM, Torn Broers GH, Pijpe J, Mastik MF, Hoekstra HJ, van den Berg E, Scheper RJ & van der Graaf WT. Soft tissue leiomyosarcomas and malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors: differences in clinical outcome and expression of multidrug resistance proteins. J Clin Oncol 2000;18:3211-3220.
- Reubi JC. Peptide receptors as molecular targets for cancer diagnosis and therapy. Endocrine Reviews 2003;24:389-427.
- Reubi JC, Korner M, Waser B, Mazzucchelli L, Guillou L. High expression of peptide receptors as a novel target in gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2004;31:803-810.
- Rindi G, Leiter AB, Kopin AS, Bordi C, Solcia E. The "normal" endocrine cell of the gut: changing concepts and new evidences. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2004;1014:1-12.
- Roberts PJ & Eisenberg B. Clinical presentation of gastrointestinal stromal tumors and treatment of operable disease. Eur J Cancer 2002;38Suppl5:37-38.

- Rousset D, Agnes F, Lachaume P, Andre C, Galibert F. Molecular evolution of the genes encoding receptor tyrosine kinase with immunoglobulinlike domains. J Mol Evol 1995 ;41:421-429.
- Rubin BP, Singer S, Tsao C, Duensing A, Lux ML, Ruiz R, Hibbard MK, Chen CJ, Xiao S, Tuveson DA, Demetri GD, Fletcher CD & Fletcher JA. KIT activation is a ubiquitous feature of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Cancer Res 2001;61:8118-8121.
- Sakurai S, Fukasawa T, Chong JM, Tanaka A, Fukayama M. C-kit gene abnormalities in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (tumors of interstitial cells of Cajal). Jpn J Cancer Res 1999;90:1321-1328.
- Sanders KM. A case for interstitial cells of Cajal as pacemakers and mediators of neurotransmission in the gastrointestinal tract. Gastroenterology 1996;111:492-515.
- Scaife CL, Hunt KK, Patel SR, Benjamin RS, Burgess MA, Chen LL, Trent J, Raymond AK, Cormier JN, Pisters PW, Pollock RE, Feig BW. Is there a role for surgery in patients with "unresectable" cKIT+ gastrointestinal stromal tumors treated with imatinib mesylate? Am J Surg 2003;186:665-669.
- Shiu MH, Farr GH, Papachristou DN & Hajdu SI. Myosarcomas of the stomach: natural history, prognostic factors and management. Cancer 1982;49:177-187.
- Singer S, Rubin BP, Lux ML, Chen CJ, Demetri GD, Fletcher CD & Fletcher JA. Prognostic value of KIT mutation type, mitotic activity, and histologic subtype in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:3898-3905.
- Spritz RA, Strunk KM, Lee ST, Lu-Kuo JM, Ward DC, Le Paslier D, Altherr MR, Dorman TE & Moir DT. A YAC contig spanning a cluster of human type III receptor protein tyrosine kinase genes (PDGFRA-KIT-KDR) in chromosome segment 4q12. Genomics 1994;22:431-436.
- Stroobants S, Goeminne J, Seegers M, Dimitrijevic S, Dupont P, Nuyts J, Martens M, van den Borne B, Cole P, Sciot R, Dumez H, Silberman S, Mortelmans L & van Oosterom A. 18FDG-Positron emission tomography for the early prediction of response in advanced soft tissue sarcoma treated with imatinib mesylate (Glivec(R)). Eur J Cancer 2003;39:2012-2020.
- Takazawa Y, Sakurai S, Sakuma Y, Ikeda T, Yamaguchi J, Hashizume Y, Yokoyama S, Motegi A, Fukayama M. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors of neurofibromatosis type I (von Recklinghausen's disease). Am J Surg Pathol 2005;29:755-763.
- Taniguchi M, Nishida T, Hirota S, Isozaki K, Ito T, Nomura T, Matsuda H & Kitamura Y. Effect of c-kit mutation on prognosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Cancer Res 1999;59:4297-4300.
- Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubinstein L, Verweij J, Van Glabbeke M, Van Oosterom AT, Christian MC, Gwyther SG. New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000;92:205-216.

- Trampal C, Engler H, Juhlin C, Bergström M, Långström B. Pheochromocytomas: detection with <sup>11</sup>C-hydroxyephedrine PET. Radiology 2004;230:423-428.
- Tran T, Davila JA, E-Serag HB. The epidemiology of malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors: an analysis of 1458 cases from 1992 to 2000. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:162-168.
- Tryggvason G, Gislason HG, Magnusson MK, Jonasson JG. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors in Iceland, 1990-2003: the Icelandic GIST study, a population-based incidence and pathologic risk stratification study. Int J Cancer 2005;117:289-293.
- UICC. TNM classification of malignant tumours. Sobin LH, Wittekind Ch, eds. 6th edition. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York, 2002.
- van den Abbeele AD & Badawi RD. Use of positron emission tomography in oncology and its potential role to assess response to imatinib mesylate therapy in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). Eur J Cancer 2002;38Suppl5:60-65.
- van der Zwan SM, DeMatteo RP. Gastrointestinal stromal tumor: 5 years later. Cancer 2005;104:1781-1788.
- van Krimpen C, Elferink A, Broodman CA, Hop WC, Pronk A, Menke M. The prognostic influence of neuroendocrine differentiation in breast cancer: results of a long-term follow-up study. Breast 2004;13:329-333.
- van Oosterom AT, Judson I, Verweij J, Stroobants S, Donato di Paola E, Dimitrijevic S, Martens M, Webb A, Sciot R, Van Glabbeke M, Silberman S & Nielsen OS. Safety and efficacy of imatinib (STI571) in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours: a phase I study. Lancet 2001;358:1421-1423.
- Verweij J, Casali PG, Zalcberg J, LeCesne A, Reichardt P, Blay JY, Issels R, van Oosterom A, Hogendoorn PC, Van Glabbeke M, Bertulli R & Judson I. Progression-free survival in gastrointestinal stromal tumours with high-dose imatinib: randomised trial. Lancet 2004;364:1127-1134.
- Wardelmann E, Neidt I, Bierhoff E, Speidel N, Manegold C, Fischer HP, Pfeifer U & Pietsch T. c-kit mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors occur preferentially in the spindle rather than in the epithelioid cell variant. Mod Pathol 2002;15:125-136.
- West RB, Corless CL, Chen X, Rubin BP, Subramanian S, Montgomery K, Zhu S, Ball CA, Nielsen TO, Patel R, Goldblum JR, Brown PO, Heinrich MC, van de Rijn M. The novel marker, DOG1, is expressed ubiquitously in gastrointestinal stromal tumors irrespective of KIT or PDGFRA mutation status. Am J Pathol 2004;165:107-113.
- Yantiss RK, Rosenberg AE, Sarran L, Besmer P, Antonescu CR. Multiple gastrointestinal stromal tumors in type 1 neurofibromatosis: a pathologic and molecular study. Mod Pathol 2005;18:475-484.
- Zanner R, Gratzl M, Prinz C. Expression of the endocytic proteins dynamin and amphiphysin in rat gastric enterochromaffin-like cells. J Cell Sci 2004;117:2369-2376.

Zöller ME, Rembeck B, Odén A, Samuelsson M & Angervall L. Malignant and benign tumors in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 in a defined Swedish population. Cancer 1997;79:2125-2131.



På grund av upphovsrättsliga skäl kan vissa ingående delarbeten ej publiceras här. För en fullständig lista av ingående delarbeten, se avhandlingens början.

Due to copyright law limitations, certain papers may not be published here. For a complete list of papers, see the beginning of the dissertation.



GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET göteborgs universitetsbibliotek







ISBN-10: 91-628-6788-1 ISBN-13: 978-91-628-6788-1