

INSTITUTIONEN FÖR SPRÅK OCH LITTERATURER

Identifying Characters

An analysis of character depiction and role language in the Japanese version of Agatha Christie's And Then There Were None

Författare

Jonas Engman

Uppsats/Examensarbete: 15 hp Program och/eller kurs: JP1520

Nivå: Grundnivå/Avancerad nivå

Termin/år: Ht/2015

Handledare: Yasuko Nagano Madsen

Examinator: Pia Moberg

Rapport nr: xx (ifylles ej av studenten/studenterna)

Abstract

Uppsats/Examensarbete: 15 hp Program och/eller kurs: JP1520

Nivå: Grundnivå/Avancerad nivå

Termin/år: Ht/2015

Handledare: Yausko Nagano Madsen

Examinator: Pia Moberg

Rapport nr: xx (ifylles ej av studenten/studenterna

Japanese, Yakuwarigo, Role Language, Politeness, Honorifics, English

Nyckelord: Literature, Translation

This thesis will serve to compare and analyse distinctions in character depiction and human relations in Agatha Christie's novel *And Then There Were None*, observing conversations held between the main characters in both the original version of the novel and the Japanese translated version to see the personal characteristic in the characters speech.

During the analysis, two certain aspects were taken into consideration, with correlating frameworks. The first is role language, including the coined *yakuwarigo* by Kinsui, used to identify individuals' characteristics. The second aspect is the Japanese honorific system which specifies the individual's seniority and inter-human relation.

Studies on role language and Japanese honorifics have been conducted to a great extent, though the use of these concepts to examine and compare to original English texts seems to be lacking. The diversity in the use of personal pronouns, both first and second, sentence final particles and style of speech to express politeness in the translated text is closely connected with an inherit cultural idea on how certain personalities should behave according to how they are described rather than what can be obviously found in the conversations that can be read in the original novel.

Table of Content

1.	Introduction	4
	1.1. Background	4
	1.2. Previous Research	5
	1.3. Problem, Aim and Research Questions	4
2.	And Then There Were None	6
	2.1. The Novel	6
	2.2. Character Description	6
	2.2.1. Judge Lawrence Wargrave	6
	2.2.2. Vera Claythorne	6
	2.2.3. Philip Lombard	6
	2.2.4. Emily Brent	6
	2.2.5. General John Macarthur	6
	2.2.6. Doctor Edward Armstrong	7
	2.2.7. Anthony Marston	7
	2.2.8. William Blore	7
	2.2.9. Thomas and Ethel Rogers	7
3.	Theoretical Background	8
	3.1. Role Language	10
	3.2. Honorifics and Politeness	11-12
4.	Data Collection and Results	12
	4.1. Method	12
	4.2. Results on Personal Pronouns	13
	4.3. Results on Honorifics, Politeness and Sentence Final Particles	14
5.	Analysis and Discussion	15-21
6.	Conclusion and Further Research	22
	6.1. Conclusion	22
	6.2 Further Research	23

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Through my interest and study of Japan and the Japanese language I have, and still am, always been amazed by the many ways of Japanese speech, both formal and informal, deciding early that I would focus my thesis on this subject.

Though my interest in anime and manga came much later than most, I have always had a fondness for literature. It was through that interest I started thinking about more famous English novels. How do Japanese translators manage the translation and how do they describe characters with obvious different background in their speech while there barely is any in the English version? I therefore chose to analyse one of Agatha Christie's famous novels *And Then There Were None*, a book that is renown all over the world, winning several awards and is crowned as number one in its genre. The novel has been dramatized several times with its first debut on the silver screen in 1945, and even was made into a computer and Nintendo Wii game, published by The Adventure Company in 2005.

Japan has also been inspired by Agatha Christie's literary work, for example in the manga and anime series about Detective Conan, a young man solving crimes where some of them are created from the idea of her work.

1.2 Previous Research

Three theoretical aspects will be presented in this thesis. They are yakuwarigo, honorifics/politeness and translation.

Yakuwarigo or role language was first coined by Kinsui (2003, 2011) "Yakuwarigo" in 2003, including the study of speech differences, identifying specific characteristics such as status,

age, occupation and gender.

Being a relatively new concept, gaining increased interest and fame, several studies on the subject has been done, many on language in popular culture including anime, manga and

subject has been done, many on language in popular culture including anime, manga and games etc.

Being a relatively new concept, however, few have focused on the translation of it between other languages, including English. Hasegawa (2012) is, thus far, the only systematic framework obtainable for translation theory between Japanese and English.

However, Hasegawa's book mostly brings forward theories based on non-conversation texts, removing itself from the field where both yakuwarigo and honorific appear, thus lacking in a systematic approach towards characteristics and relations in human conversation.

On the subject of Japanese honorifics and politeness, these parts of Japanese linguistics have amassed great attention in the past, though they are not officially brought forward as a part of translation since they are also aspects of conversation, which is not dealt with in Hasegawa's book.

One example of previous research is a text written by Ota (2010). In the article, Ota is confronting the use of "I" in Japanese news in regards to how famous foreign sports stars are translated and depicted in their subtitles.

Another is by Jung (2007), where the difference in how Japanese and Koreans perceive the correlation between the use of language and the image accompanying the speech.

Both these texts give insight on the subject, though they work with the background of a visual media, taking into account of what can be seen as well as heard.

1.3 Problem, Aim and Research Question

The problem, as stated in the earlier section, neither yakuwarigo nor honorifics have been included in the framework on translation between Japanese and English.

The aim for this thesis is to analyse and compare conversations and how characteristics and relations between characters are shown linguistically. Agatha Christie's famous work *And Then There Were None* displays several characters with different backgrounds, diverting in their age, occupation and gender etc. This makes it a good example of analysis, how the writer for the Japanese version managed the difficulties of translation. The main objective is to observe the different roles of the characters between the English text and its Japanese counterpart in accordance to how they are depicted in both versions, both in how they speak about themselves and to others.

The research questions for this thesis will be

- What kinds of yakuwarigo do the characters use in the Japanese version of the novel?
- What kinds of honorifies do they use in the Japanese version of the novel?
- To what extent do the characters characteristics and relations correspond between the Japanese version and the original English novel?

2. And Then There Were None

2.1 The Novel

Agatha Christie's *And Then There Were None* revolves around ten characters, each from different backgrounds, which are lured to an island just of the coast of Devon, Great Britain. Some are fooled by letters of invitation from acquaintances, while some of them are tempted with the promise of work and money.

As they arrive they soon realize that their host is not present and the same evening each of the ten occupants of the lonesome mansion on the island are accused of murder through a gramophone record. After that point fear and paranoia starts to take hold as the inhabitants are being disposed of, one by one, by the same means as the children's rhyme ten little Indians. Agatha Christie's novel has been revised several times, changing names throughout its lifetime, originally known as Ten Little Niggers when it was first published in 1939, quickly changed after its release. Confronting a problem of that time, the book was given the title Ten Little Indians. Later even that name changed to Ten Little Soldiers, except for the American release where it came out with the most widely known name And Then There Were None, taking after the children's rhyme the novel is based upon.

2.2 Character Description

2.2.1 Justice Lawrence Wargrave

A former judge who was known for dealing out the death penalty in many cases, even those where the outcome seemed to be in the defendants favour, a "hanging judge", he ends up on the island through a letter supposedly sent by an acquaintance wanting to meet him there. Acting as the voice of reason throughout the novel, being both older and experienced in analysing different situations, he is accused of the murder of Edward Seton, a man he judged to death.

2.2.2 Vera Claythorne,

A young woman who finds herself quite rational and level-headed, she is working as a teacher in physical education, "games mistress", and is tricked to the island with the promise of a secretary job for Mrs Owen for the summer.

As the novel progress, she is accused for the death of Cyril Hamilton, a young boy who drowned while under her care as a governess.

2.2.3 Philip Lombard

A mercenary for hire, he is a man of action and is the only character who is armed with a gun on the island and is lured to the island by the promise of money.

He is criminated with murder of not only one or two person, but is charged with the deaths of twenty one men who were a part of an tribe located in the east of Africa, an accusation he does not deny, feeling no remorse as he says he had to do it for self-preservation, adding that the indigenous people do not mind dying as they do not think or feel like Europeans do.

2.2.4 Emily Brent

An unmarried and deeply religious lady in her sixties, fooled thinking an acquaintance invited her to the island. She is accused for the death of Beatrice Taylor, an accusation she is unwilling to discuss with the group as she does not think she has to defend herself against it, saying only that she has always acted according to her conscience. She later reveals that the young woman was a maid in Miss Brent's service who was dismissed due to Beatrice becoming pregnant outside of marriage.

The young woman, also rejected by her parents later kills herself, which Miss Brent considers an even greater sin.

2.2.5 General John Macarthur

A retired World War One hero, he denies guilt on the charge of Arthur Richmond's death, a young officer that died on a mission assigned by Macarthur.

He also is invited on the premise that old war buddies were to meet him at Mr Owen's villa on the island, reminiscing about the good old days.

2.2.6 Doctor Edward Armstrong

A Harley Street doctor who is acquainted with Justice Wargrave through his occupation, he is lured here for consultation on the Owens' health. He is accused for the death of Louisa Mary Clees, for which he claims no guilt and neither claims to not recall the name of the victim.

2.2.7 Anthony Marston

A young rich aristocrat with a fondness for fast cars, which is the reason of his accusation as he is charged with the murder of two children, Lucy and John Combes, he ran over while speeding on the roads of England, something he claim no responsibility for and rather complaining that they withdrew his driver's licence for a year on the matter.

2.2.8 William Blore

A private investigator and former British police officer, he introduces himself as Davis, an African colonial from Natal. As he is accused responsible for the death of James Landor due to falsely testifying against him, his real name is presented.

Afterwards he explains the circumstance of his presence, that he has been hired to observe the guest on behalf of Mr Owen by his lawyer Isaac Morris for security reasons, something he strongly doubts due to what has transpired.

2.2.9 Thomas and Ethel Rogers

The final two characters, the couple are the first to arrive to the island out of the ten main personalities. The couple have been hired through an agency by Mr Owen and came a week earlier than the rest, as they were instructed, finding that everything has been taken care of and that Mr and Mrs Owen will arrive in time for their guests.

After Thomas and Ethel have settled and soon receive a letter that Mr and Mrs Owens will be delayed, also relaying further instructions, including starting the gramophone with the record of each person's crime.

Both are diligent servants, formal in both appearance and manner, they are accused for the death of their former employer, Jennifer Brady, who perished because of her frail constitution according to Thomas, as they were unable to get a doctor in time due to a storm.

3. Theoretical Background

As described by Kinsui (2003), Role Language, or Yakuwarigo

"A specific language usage (vocabulary, style, expression, intonation etc.) that makes the listener think of specific characteristics (age, gender, occupation, social status, epoch, physical appearance, personality etc.), that points to a certain character image, where you can imagine how a characters use of language should be. That is what you call "yakuwarigo"."

(Kinsui, 2003: 205) (Authors translation)

Role language, or yakuwarigo, takes on several aspects of the way Japanese people talk to each other, whether it is the use of certain lexical features or a difference in grammar.

As pointed out by Hasegawa (2012), translation of the manner characters speak is largely up to the individual translating the text, as there are many different theories on how one should handle text when translating. As described by Hasegawa (2012) some favour **word-for-word**, or **literal**, translation, where the translator are supposed to convey the text rather than its meaning. This kind of translation is common, as described by Furuno (2005), due to the interest in foreign texts throughout Japan's existence, resulting in what she calls **translationese**.

Another kind of translation Hasegawa (2012) brings up is the **sense-to-sense**. Instead of just translating a text emphasis is also put on constructing the translated version to make understandable for the readers of the target language, this through paraphrasing or explaining rather than just translating what is written.

Current popular idea on translation work on an in between interpretation, that one should apart from being "faithful" to the original text, but should also consider the reader of the finished work.

3.1 Role Language

Personal pronouns are used to refer to a certain person or object that usually have been spoken of during a conversation, using it instead of its regular name.

Many languages have a limited amount of pronouns, taking English as an example where you have "I" and "you" which are supposed to cover all the aspects shown in the table below, which Hasegawa (2012) refers to **ambiguity**, allowing several translations to be made of the same word, only changing due to its contextual use.

This can be seen as English also does not include any change in the gender of the speaker, giving the translator the choice of what interpretation to use that suits the text.

First Person Pronoun	Male	Female
Formal	Watakushi	Watakushi
	Watashi	Atakushi*
Plain	Boku	Watashi
Fiam	DOKU	Atashi*
Rude	Ore	
Second Person Pronoun		
Formal	Anata	Anata
Plain	Kimi	Anata
	Anta*	Anta*
Rude	Kisama	
	Omae	

(Tsujimura, 2014) *Social dialect

The table above shows commonly used, divided between what is used by male and female speakers, and as you can see, most cases categorised as formal, plain or rude. Female pronouns lack rude equivalents of the male version, though pop-culture media, such as movies, anime and manga, are known to break this rule.

Ota (2011) bring forward the discussion of the pronoun "I", as well as the concept of yakuwarigo, pointing out several instances in Japanese television, mostly NHK, where translations of famous individuals speeches are changed. This sometimes include varieties of characteristics not found in what they are talking about, handing them speech patterns that might not necessarily be correct. Though this idea is difficult to apply to a novel where you lack the visual media to compare the actual image, rather having to settle, in some cases, with prior information.

Apart from observing the change in use of personal pronouns, sentence final particles, such as "ne", "yo" and "zo", also serves to change the style of speech, though these rely more on the characteristics of the individual using them rather than who it is applied towards, whether the speaker is old or young, male or female, as well as their occupation. Further discussion on this subject will be brought up where applicable.

3.2 Honorifics and Politeness

Most languages have some degree of change in their speech to add markings of politeness, admiration or respect either to the listener or a third party, this through the use of intonation, a different vocabulary or another set of grammatical functions.

Upon this, it is often necessary to interchange the style of speech in accordance to the situation. One would rarely ask a friend for something in the same way you would ask a teacher or your employer.

Japanese is famous to take this to a new level, altering their language depending on if you are talking to your parents, friends, elderly etc., known mostly under the title h**onorification**, as described by Tsujimura (2014).

This can, as she continues, further be divided into two categories, either **referent** or **addressee honorifics** (Shibatani 1990).

Referent honorifics are used to show respect to either a listener or a third party. This in turn can be split into two groups, **respectful** and **humble forms**, as described by Tsujimura (2014).

(Tsujimura, 2014:415)

	Dictionary form	Adressee Honorific
	(non-past, non-past neg., past, past neg.)	
Verbs	Deki-ru, Deki-nai, Deki-ta, Deki-na-kat-	Deki-masu, Deki-masen, Deki-
(to be	ta	mashita, Deki-masen deshita
able, can)		
Adjectives	Haya-I, Haya-kuna-i, Haya-kat-ta,	Hayai-desu, Haya-ku arimasen,
(fast,	Haya-kuna-kat-ta	Haya-katta desu,
early)		Haya-ku arimasen deshita
Nouns	Hana-da, Hana-ja na-i, Hana-dat-ta,	Hana-desu, Hana-ja arimasen,
(flower)	Hana-ja na-kat-ta	Hana-deshita, Hana-ja arimasen
(110 (101)		deshita

The respectful forms serve to elevate a person or their action, recognizing the individual as a higher status than the speaker, where the humble forms work to rather to show respect to the listener by humbling oneself, lowering one's own level of status This is also explained by Matsumoto and Soga (1978) as "exalting" the listener, or referred party, and "humbling" oneself.

This is usually shown by changing some verbs; "mairu" for the verbs coming, "kuru", and going, "iku", "meshiagaru" for eating and drinking etc. This also includes the use of **copula**, as described by Matsumoto and Sogo (1978), where one change the regular polite "desu" to either "degozaimasu" or "deirasshaimasu", using the former as a humble form of desu, while the other is used when elevating someone outside of the speakers "in-group".

Adressee honorifics, in comparison to referent honorifics, functions to add politeness to a conversation through inflections of verbs, adjectives and nouns rather than using regular dictionary form, as shown in the conversation between a teacher and a student below as an example.

- A. 先生は<u>いらっしゃいます</u>か。(Honorific) Sensei ha irasshaimasu ka.
- B. はい、<u>行きます</u>。(Polite) Hai, ikimasu.
- A. わたしも<u>参ります</u>。(Humble) Watashi mo mairimasu.

Further, adding the prefixes, "o-" or "go-", to words, "go-" to Sino Japanese words and "o-" to native Japanese words, one elevates the politeness to the person you are speaking with, showing further respect to them, as explained in Tsujimura's book (2014) as **bikago**, also referred to as honorific prefixes, or nominal, by Matsumoto and Soga (1978).

4. Data Collection and Results

4.1 Method

The methods for this thesis will be first to register differences in how the characters speak, mainly observing conversations they hold between each other, to see if there is any change between the characters style of speech. Data will then be collected on what pronouns, sentence final particles they use in their lines, and also to collect what level of politeness they apply to each other.

Due to the limitation of timeframe, I have chosen to include six characters for this part, though will utilize all ten when displaying differences in conversation and relations later in the thesis. I will also limit the collection of data to the earlier parts of the novel, as fewer characters will take part later as the story goes on due to the fact that they gradually become fewer throughout the novel.

After confirming a distinction, next step will be to analyse the data collected, later also include conversations between characters. I will observe and display the differences and similarities between the English and Japanese versions of the novel by using the secondary material. This will be done by applying linguistics components to compare their language usage and discussing about the translator's intention and thoughts on the finished text and how the characters are depicted. This part will also serve to observe the relations between characters, as can be seen in what kind of speaking patterns they chose to use with certain individuals.

4.2 Results on Personal Pronouns

First P P	Wargrave	Vera	Brent	Macarthur	Thomas	Anthony
Watashi	28	16	23			
Boku						5
Washi				13		
Watakushi					28	
Jibun					1	
Waga			3			
Second P P						
Anta	7			9		
Anata	2		5		1	
Kimi	14			2		
Yatsu**						1
Koitsu**						1
Jibun					1	
Omae**	2					
-San	14		2			
-Sama					10	
-Kun	8					

(Collection of pronouns and titles from page 33- 260) **Rude

4.3 Results on Honorifics, Politeness and Sentence Final Particles

	Wargrave	Vera	Brent	Macarthur	Thomas	Anthony
Dict-form	49	7	16	**	3	9
Masu-form	12	55	60		42	19
-na	4			2		
-naa				1		3
-no		2	1			
-yo	3	5	12	13	2	
-ne	5	5	15	1		1
-nee		1	1			1
-dene(tene)	4			1		
-dana	2			1		1
-kedo		1				
-keredo		1	2			
-wa		5	4			
-mase					1	
-n	2			8		
-ZO	2			3		
-ga				1		
-gane	1			1		
Quest.						
-ka	19	7	9	8	11	2
-kana	1			1		
-kashira		3	1			

(From analysing between eighty to one hundred lines of conversation for each character, except for Anthony who has 40 lines due to him exiting the story.)

^{**} Explained further in the chapter Analysis on page 17

5. Analysis and Discussion

Prior to discussing the findings in the tables presented above, it is of interest to mention that early observations that can be made on the Japanese version of the book is that the translator has chosen to use a fairly modern language, and have most likely used a revised "newer" version of the original novel for their translation. This might to some extent, in my opinion, make the text more relatable and reachable to modern readers.

Observing the data collected, the differences in how the characters are depicted can be seen, both in their respective style of speech, as well as in how they refer to themselves and each other.

Taking a look at the data on pronouns in the tables presented, the characters either self-reference or use of second person pronouns varies little, most keeping to one from either of the groups, but a couple of changes appear that are worth mentioning.

One can also see that characters also tend to lean towards the use of either dictionary form or desu-/masu-form.

Judge Wargrave is an exception to my former statement on pronouns, applying different strategies of speaking depending on the individual spoken to in comparison to the other personalities, both in second person pronouns, as shown in the lines below.

- A. "ブレントさん、その手紙を、今持っていますか。"
 - (Burento-san, sono tegami wo, ima motte imasuka.)
- B. "マーストンくん、きみは?"

(Maasuton-kun, kimi ha?) (Hisae, 2012: 83)

- A. "You have that letter, Miss Brent?"
- B. "Marston?"

(Christie, 1939: 41)

Analysing the sentences, you can see a difference in reverence between the characters that Wargrave are speaking with, applying more respect to his language, but not with Marston. Looking at the English version, one can see a difference that explains the choice of the translator, just by the missing "Mr" before Anthony's last name, whereas "Miss" was used with Brent, but rather than leaving the text as it is, the translator chose to adapt the text to Japanese. Hasegawa (2012) describes this as **cohesion**, making a text understandable for the target language, to make it easier for the reader to grasp the situation, this by applying a level of **politeness** through the use of the post-position titles "san" and "kun".

The difference in age between Wargrave and Anthony also serves to further strengthen the idea of a change in status. This can be compared to the relation between Judge Wargrave and Emily Brent where the age difference is either small or she might be a bit older.

Though merely considering their age does not explain in the following sentence, presented at the same occasion as the previous conversation.

A. "わかりかけてきたようだぞ。。。クレイソーンさん、あなたはどうでした? (wakari kakete kita you dazo... Kureisoon,san, anata ha dou deshita?) (Hisae, 2012: 83)

A. "I begin to understand... Miss Claythorne?" (Christie, 1939: 41)

The rule of age would most likely apply to Vera Claythorne as well, being portrayed as a younger woman, possibly around the same age as Anthony Marston, using the more impolite, or familiar, and female version "chan" for her.

The use of "anata" as well show a level of respect apart from this, as Wargrave uses the plain "kimi" when speaking to Marston, showing a difference in politeness.

Thoughts on the translator's choice can be drawn to the use of "Miss" for Claythorne, implying a reluctance to alter the original text to follow the general rule.

A change in politeness can be interpreted when comparing the lines between addressing Miss Brent and Miss Claythorne in the way they are asked, as the question particle "ka" is used with Brent, but not with Claythorne, though few things strengthen such a choice when comparing it to the original novel.

A minor change of pronouns in Thomas lines is the use of "jibun".

As Kinsui (2011) explains, it is a male expression former used amongst military as reference, giving it a "deeper meaning" in yakuwarigo.

Looking at it from a more historical point of view, the use of "jibun" would in this case suit better when used with General Macarthur, due to his occupation, rather than being expressed by Thomas, since there is no reference to him having anything to do with the army throughout the novel. He otherwise seldom strays from his polite way of speaking, even when referring to individuals not present in the novel, as is displayed in the conversation below.

- *A: Is— she— is she—?*
- B: Yes, she is gone.
- A: Was it— was it— 'er 'eart, doctor?
- B: What was her health like normally?
- A: She was a bit rheumaticky
- B: Any doctor been attending her recently?
- A: Doctor? Not been to a doctor for years—neither of us.
- B: You'd no reason to believe she suffered from heart trouble?
- A: No, doctor. I never knew of anything.

(Christie, 1939: 60-61)

- A: 家内は―そのう―家内は―? (Kanai ha―sonou―kanai ha―?)
- B: うむ、亡くなっている。 (Umu, nakunatteiru.)
- A: 先生、心臓の一心臓のせいだったんでしょうか。 (Sensei, shinzou no—shinzou no sei dattan deshouka.)
- B: ふだん、身体の具合はどうだったんだね (Fudan, karada no guai ha dou dattan dane.)
- A: ちょっと、リューマチの気がございました。 (chotto, ryuumachi no ki ga gozaimashita.)
- B: 最近、医者にかかったことは? (Saikin, isha ni kakatta koto ha?)
- A: お医者さまですか。何年も、お医者さまの厄介になったことはございません— 二人とも。

(Oisha-sama desuka. Nan nen mo, oisha-sama no yakkai ni natta koto ha gozaimasen— Futari mo.)

- B: 奥さんの心臓に問題があったと思われるふしは、ないのだね。 (Oku-san no shinzou ni mondai ga atta to omowareru fushi ha, nai no dane.)
- A: ございません、先生。わたくしには思い当たりません。 (Gozaimasen, sensei. Watakushi niha omoi atarimasen.)

(Hisae, 2012: 129-130)

Analysing the conversation above, some aspects of interest of the texts can be made. The relationship between Doctor Armstrong and Thomas can be seen to an extent in the Japanese version, though little in the English one.

Though few indications in the original text, and in this limited study, would suffice to explain this, apart from the contrast in occupation and status between the characters, the translator's choice relies on a cultural adaptation, rather than a straight translation.

In the translated version there is a change in the pronoun "she", referring to Thomas wife, written in Japanese as "kanai", this most likely to put emphasis, and also simplify for the Japanese readers on the subject being brought up in the conversation.

The nuance in the original conversation of "er 'eart" as well is changed, this may be due to the difficulty of translating it into Japanese, ending up with an explanation of his type of speech, redirecting the focal point in the conversation.

His extensive use of a polite language can be seen throughout the Japanese translated novel, often taken form through the use of the title suffix "sama" and desu-/masu-form, but also in the use of more polite words such as "gozaimasu", "itashimasu" and "itadakimasu". Further, Thomas also utilizes another function in his way of speaking by applying the beautifying honorifics **bikago**.

This can be seen in his lines in many conversations with the "guests", in the conversation above in its use in the compound "oisha".

In contrast to Thomas formal speech pattern, Anthony Marston can be seen as quite informal, and to an extent quite young, in his way of conversing with other characters.

His use of "boku" towards any character, even though there is an obvious difference in both status and age sets him of as a bit unrefined, but still uses, for the most part, desu-/masu-form when speaking, not following any apparent pattern when he uses dict-form.

The use of "yatsu" and "koitsu" by Anthony helps to further signify him as a younger character, though the original texts of these words do not completely correlate to the Japanese translation, as the word used for "yatsu" in the original novel is "old horse", referring to a friend of his. This choice could be due to the difficulty to properly translate, or might lose some meaning when explained.

On the opposite end of Anthony's youthful style of speech is General John Macarthur, who is depicted with characteristics that show his age, position and gender.

In the table about his style of speaking, seen on page 13, I have chosen to not write a specific number. This because his speaking style never changes, at least when referring to the use of either dictionary form or desu-/masu-form, as a rule utilizing the former of the two in all of his conversations, regardless of who he is talking to.

In addition to this application, he also uses the sentence final "n", for which Kinsui (2011) describes is a common affix for depicting elderly, especially used for older men, sometimes even used, as described, by samurai in feudal Japan, a sentence final that are used by Judge Wargrave to some extent.

This also includes the way he refers to himself, using the pronoun that is also closely connected to aged men "washi", including the affix "zo" that is used in few occasions by both General Macharthur and Judge Wargrave. Both fitting the general description of characters where this style of language would be used in Japanese, though little can be seen in the original novel that would imply such a distinction.

A rule that can be observed in the way General Macarthur refers to others, as he limits his use of the second person pronoun "anta" mostly when referring to female characters, preferring to use the male version for addressing someone "kimi when speaking to a male individual.

Being the second character described in the book as being of a younger age, it is interesting to see the differences in how Vera Claythorne is depicted, the conversation below being an example.

- A: Do you really think— what you said at breakfast?
- B: Be a little more precise, my dear. To what in particular are you referring?
- A: Do you really think that Rogers and his wife did away with that old lady?
- B: Personally, I am quite sure of it. What do you think?
- A: I don't know what to think.

B: Everything goes to support the idea. The way that woman fainted. And the man dropped the coffee tray, remember. Then the way he spoke about it--- it didn't ring true.

Oh, yes, I'm afraid they did it.

(Christie, 1939: 68-69)

- A: 朝食のときにおしゃっていたこと— 本当にそう思っていらっしゃるんですか。 (Choushoku no toki ni oshatte ita koto— Hontou ni sou omotte irassharun desuka.)
- *B*: いったい、なんのことかしら。どの話のことを言っているの。 (Ittai, nan no koto kashira. Dono hanashi no koto wo itteiruno.)
- A: ロジャーズ夫婦は雇い主の女性を殺したと、本当に思っていらっしゃるんですか。 (Rojaazu fuufu ha yatoi nushi no josei wo koroshita to, hontou ni omotte irassharun desuka.)
- *B*: わたしは、たしかだと思いますね。あなたはどう思うの。 (Watashi ha, tashika dato omoimasune. Anata ha dou omou no.)
- A: どう考えたらいいのか、わたしにはわかりません。 (Dou kangaetara ii noka, watashi niha wakarimasen.)
- B: どう考えたって、そうですよ。奥さんが気を失ったし、ロジャーズはお盆を落としたでしょ?それに、ロジャーズのしゃべった話— あれはとても、本当の話には聞こえませんでしたよ。ええ、そう、二人して、殺したんだと思いわね。

(Dou kangaetatte, sou desuyo. Oku-san ga ki wo ushinatta shi, Rojaazu ha obon wo otoshita desho? Soreni, Rojaazu no shabetta hanashi— are ha totemo, hontou no hanashi niha kikoemasen deshita yo. Ee, sou, futari shite, koroshitanda to omoiwane.)

(Hisae, 2012: 149)

The conversation between Vera Claythorne and Emily Brent serve to display the general idea of how both of them are depicted in the novel.

Despite being about the same age as Anthony Marston, Vera tends to uses a much more polite language. Though she is prone to implement desu-/masu-form when speaking with other characters, much in the same way as Anthony, she is much more formal when referring to herself. Furthermore, as one can see in the conversation above, she uses a more polite vocabulary when speaking to others, often using words such as "irassharu" and "oshaberi", which is utilized in her conversation with Brent, but also uses the word "orimasu" on other occasions, all of them being respectful forms of more common words.

The level of politeness in the Japanese version is difficult to make out in the original text of Vera's style of speech, though you can see a certain difference due to how Brent refers to Vera, as she uses "my dear" when she wants her to clarify the subject Vera brought forward, in this case the presumable murder that the Rogers couple committed.

With this reference, one can observe the social distance Brent puts herself as one on most occasions uses such addressing when one is speaking to a child or a young adult, looking down on Vera. This display of status by Brent, when reading the Japanese version, has moved to be stated by Vera in her way of speaking with Brent, whereas she elevates Brent's position and lowers herself.

Brent, being one out of three female characters in the novel as a whole, has a style of speech that, similar to Judge Wargrave, change depending on the listener, but instead refer to use more of desu-/masu-form than dictionary form, mostly reserving the later for when speaking with characters that has a lower level of status, as can be seen in her conversation with Vera and the one below with Wargrave.

A: Are you waiting for me to say something? I have nothing to say.

B: Nothing, Miss Brent?

A: Nothing.

B: You reserve your defense?

A: There is no question of defense. I have always acted in accordance with the dictates of my conscience. I have nothing with to reproach myself.

(Christie, 1939: 49)

A: わたしがなにか言うのではないかと、待っているんですか。言うことは何もありませんよ。 (Watashi ga nani ka iu no deha nai kato, matteirun desuka. Iu koto ha nani mo arimasen yo.)

B: ブレントさん、何もないんですか。 (Burento-san, nani mo nain desuka.)

A: ありません。 (Arimasen.)

B: 申し開きは、見あわせておきますか。 (Moushiaki ha, mi awasete okimasuka.)

A: 申し開きなんて、ありません。わたしはいつだって、良心に従って行動しています。やましいことなど、これっぽっちもありません。

(Moushiaki nante, arimasen. Watashi ha itsu date, ryoushin ni shitagatte koudou shiteimasu. Yamashii koto nado, koreppocchi mo arimasen.)

(Hisae, 2012: 102)

One can also see that rather than she making a larger change in her own expressions, characters around her tend to use a more polite language with her, showing the position she is illustrated into. She, as well as General Macarthur, use to a greater extent than other characters the sentence final particle "-yo", which research done by Kinsui (2011) describes as characteristics of older people, often connected with dictionary form, in Macharthur's case. She, and Vera, also the only two characters using the sentence final particle "-wa", in Brent's lines sometimes in compounds of "-wane", that is closely connected to female style of speech. Brent also often use the more feminine "-ne", which is also utilized by Vera and Wargrave. What can be found in Wargraves use of language though is his apart from using "-ne" for emphasis, he uses the more male version "-na", "-dene", "-tene" or "-dana" as well, changing greatly and without any obvious regards to the listener.

Many of the difficulties in describing and translation to and from Japanese displayed in the analysis presented often lies in what Hasegawa (2012) explains as **ambiguity** and **vagueness**. Ambiguity is when a word or phrase can mean different things depending on the context in which it is used, the word light being a good example.

It could either mean it is bright, as a level of luminosity, or refer to an objects importance or weight. Vagueness is when a certain feature is not specific, that a word or phrase could have several inherent meanings depending on the conversation as a whole, as well as prior knowledge about the subject being spoken of.

This is due to a cultural aspect in Japanese that have no clear representative form in most other languages, leading to many distinctions in different novels in how character personalities are depicted in Japanese, being a language with a great diversity in how one should speak and address others.

6. Conclusion and Further Research

6.1 Conclussion

Agatha Christie's And Then There Were None serves as a good example of the diversity in the use of characters with widely different backgrounds and personal traits. This as they are forced to interact with each other where most of them would likely not seek the company of the other individuals. Since Japanese has a rich language in that they can they can depict a character in detail, whether it be their age, occupation, gender or even from what time in history they are, it is easier for the reader to take in the conversation between two characters, removing the difficulty of identifying the speaker of a sentence as it is being made clear by the style of speech they utilize.

Character depictions in the original novel and the Japanese counterpart sometimes collide, as Japanese language works to incorporate many different level of speech that is either quite vague or ambiguous when compared to the English equivalent of the same expression, such as the use of different kinds of pronouns, both first and second, depending on both the speaker and the listener.

An indication of a rule that was observed when comparing the texts was the use of second person pronouns suffixes "-san" and "-kun", where English titles, such as "miss" or "mr" were used in the original test to show an implication of a level politeness and respect to the individual that they are speaking with.

The implement of sentence finals also serve as a subject of discussion, as little can be made out of their equivalent usage in the original version of the text, apart from how the character that are using them are illustrated by the translator.

Many parts of the Japanese language cannot be interpreted through analysing the conversations that the character hold with each other alone, instead the explanations and reference that can be found in between such occasions speak strongly towards how characters are brought forward in their respective style of speech later on.

6.2 Further Research

Since this thesis only included six characters when observing the differences in their respective styles of speech and use of personal pronouns, broadening the study by including all the ten main characters. This would serve to display to a greater extent the changes in between character conversations, possibly helping to explain or develop certain aspects on the information already collected.

Areas of further research would also include comparing several translated versions of the novel, to observe the difference in translation due to different theories being used by the translators.

In such a study, an interesting aspect would also be to select texts written during various times, from when it first came until present day Japan.

Further, it would be of interest to construct a survey of the conversations the characters have between each other in the Japanese version and ask native language speakers to answer if they can make out the differences in characteristics between the individuals holding the conversation, asking them if they can identify the character's age, occupation and gender. Later collecting and compiling the findings of the survey, to see if Japanese native speakers can picture the personality behind the texts or if information prior is needed to make it comprehensible. Though referring to further studies on this novel, same kind of research can be conducted with other novels, expanding to other genres or texts written during other times of history.

- Include all the ten main characters in the analysis on their speech patterns.
- Comparison of several translated versions of *And Then There Were None*, both newer and older texts.
- Make a survey on certain conversations in the novel, omitting text in between and ask native Japanese speakers to identify the characteristics of the speakers in the conversation.

References

Primary Sources

Christie, Agatha (1939), Republished version (1977). *Ten Little Indians*. Pocket Books New York: USA.

Hisae, Aoki (2012). *Soushite Daremo Inakunatta (=And Then There Were None)*. Hayakawa Publishing, Inc.: Tokyo, Japan.

Secondary Sources

Furuno, Yuri. Chapter 8: Translationese in Japan. Presented in

Hung, Eva (Edited by, 2005). *Translation and Cultural Change: Studies in history, norms and image-projection. Japan* John Benjamins Publishing Company: Amsterdam/Philadelphia.

Hasegawa, Yoko (2012). *The Routledge Course in Japanese Translation*. Routledge: Great Britain.

Jung, Hyeseon. (2007). Nikkan taishou yakuwarigo kenkyuu sono kanousei wo saguru. Presented in

Kinsui, Satoshi (2007). *Yakuwarigo kenkyuu no chihei*. Kurusio Publishing: Tokyo, Japan.

Kinsui, Satoshi (2003). *Vaacharu nihongo no nazo*. Iwanami Shoten Publishers: Tokyo, Japan.

Kinsui, Satoshi (2011). Yakuwarigo kenkyuu no tentei. Kurusio Publishing: Tokyo, Japan.

Ota, Makie (2010). Chapter 6: Usain boruto no "I" ha, naze (ore) to yakusarerunoka.

Matsumoto, Noriko & Soga, Matsuo (1978). *Foundations of Japanese Language*. Taishukan Publishing Company: Tokyo, Japan.

Shibatani, Masayoshi (1990). *The Languages of Japan*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, England

Thermænius, Einar (1999). *Tio Små Negerpojkar* (=*Ten Little Nigger boys*). Albert Bonniers Förlag: Sweden.

Tsujimura, Natsuko (2014). *An Introduction to Japanese Linguistics*. Blackwell Publishers: Massachusetts, USA.

Internet Sources

http://www.agathachristie.com/stories/and-then-there-were-none (2015-12-10)

http://detectiveconan.wikia.com/wiki/Detective_Conan (2015-12-10)

Appendix

Table 1.

Character	Page	1 Person Pronoun	2 Person Pronoun	Sentence Final	Misc	Listener
Anthony Marston	64	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	あるなあ		Open
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	か		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	かな	だからって	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですね		Vera
	73	<u> </u>	_	ませんか	回したのか	Edward Armstrong Lawrence Wargrave
???	78				どんなもの。	
		ぼく		探してき ますよ	それじゃあ	Open
			<u> </u>	ありまし たよ	お盆	
	82	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だなあ		
	83	_	_	んですよ 、友達か ら		Lawrence Wargrave/O pen
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	です		
		_	やつ	んです	やつ (About a friend, 3rd person)	
		-	<u> </u>	ありまし た		
	86	<u> </u>	こいつ	ふざけや がって		William Blore
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だ		
	91	<u> </u>		だ	ないが、んだ か	Open
	95	<u></u>	<u></u>	ですか		
	96	_	_	ですけどねえ		Lawrence Wargrave/O pen
		ぼく		かなあ		
		<u> </u>		んですよ		
		ぼく	<u> </u>	ですね		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でしたよ		
		_	_	んだから		
		<u> </u>	_	ですよ		

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありゃし		
			ない ですよ		
97		<u> </u>	ですよ		Edward
					Armstrong/
					Open
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ないんで		
			す		
	1	_	しない		
	ぼく	<u> </u>	ないんで		Open
			す		
	_	<u> </u>	んだから		
104	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なあ		Lawrence
					Wargrave
	_	<u> </u>	だろう		
	_	_	ですよ		
		_	だ	_	
	_	_	ですよ		
	ぼく	_	だな		

Table 2.

Mrs Ethel Rogers,	47	_	<u> </u>	ございま	ご希望	Vera
Cook/hous				す		
ekeeper						
1	48	_	<u></u>	ください	ご用がござい	
				ますよう	ましたら	
				に		
		_	<u></u>	存じあげ		
				ません		
		_	お客さま	いただい	お客さま、お	
				ただけで	名前、お部屋	
				すので		
	49	_		おりませ	お目に、奥さ	
				んーまだ	ま(Mrs	
					Owen)	
		わたくし	<u> </u>	ですので		
		ども				
		わたくし	<u> </u>	です	夫のロジャー	
					ズ	
		わたくし	_	いたしま	お料理、あり	
				す	ますし、お屋	
					敷内	
		わたくし	_	存じませ	お見え	
		ども		んでした		

	<u> </u>	_	です		
	<u> </u>	お客さま	でしょう	お見え、なる	
				よう、奥さま	
74	わたくし	<u> </u>	失ったん		Edward
			でしょう		Armstrong
			か		、Thomas
					Rogers
	_	<u> </u>	です、が		
			、のよう		
75	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でござい		
			ます		
	<u> </u>	_	もので		

Table 3.

John Macarthur , WW1 General	38	_	_	わからん		Vera, Philip Lombard
		_	_	なのでね		
		<u> </u>	あんた	ないかな		Philip Lombard
	46	_	-	ないか		Open
	70	<u> </u>	_	おるんだ 、ここは		
		<u></u>		あるぞ		
	77	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おる		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おって		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いかん		
		<u> </u>	オーエンとか	知らんが		
			いう男			
???	84-85			手紙を受 け取った のだよ		
	91	<u> </u>	-	だ		
	91	<u> </u>	_	だ		
	94	<u></u>	<u> </u>	ない		Vera
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	おる		
		_	<u> </u>	おるのだ	やつ(
				よ	Owen)	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なのだ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だよ		
			_	かぎるのだ		Open/Law rence Wargrave

140	
おし	
Philitan	
Richmond, man who died under his command)	
who died under his command)	
his command)	
Parish Parish	
できる	
??? - 妻 (His wife) おって せん - 妻 (His wife) だった いない - - だったの 107 - さみ たのか 107 - - おるだと - - - ないぞ 140 - - んがね Oper カレ - かんがえられん Thor Roge 141 - ロジャーズ だ 奥さん Thor Roge 142 - - 来やせん Edw. Arm. g. Pt. Lom - - ものか もちろんだ - - おる - - ところだ	
B (His wife) だった いない	
95	
だ	
95	
Lom 107	
のか Edw Arm g g - ことで ないぞ 140 - - んがね Oper わし - かんがえ られん 141 - ロジャーズ だ 奥さん Thor Roge 142 - - 来やせん Edw Arm g, Ph Lom - おる - ところだ	
Arm g	
140	
140	stron
140	
わし ー かんがえ られん	
141	1
141 一 ロジャーズ だ 奥さん Thor Roge 142 一	
Roge Roge	
142 - -	
g, Ph Lom ー ー ものか もちろんだ ー ー おる ー ー ところだ	
ー ものか もちろんだ ー ー おる ー ところだ	stron
ー ー ものか もちろんだ ー ー おる ー ところだ	
ー ー ー ー ところだ	<u>bard</u>
ー ー ところだ	
- - ない - ない -	
ー ー なく 誰一人	
ー ー なのだよ	_
ー ー なのだ	
143 だよ Oper	n (
Thor	
Roge	
not	
prese	ent)
一 一 じゃない	111 <i>)</i>
カ	211t <i>)</i>
ー ー になる	
ー ー ない	
ー ー だ	

168	_	_		ない	William Blore
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	しないで		
			くれんか		
	j	きみたち	わかっと	わかっとら	
			らん	ん	
		_	行ってく		
			れ		
173	<u> </u>	あんた	か		Vera Claythorn
	_	あんた	きたんだ		
			な		
	_	<u> </u>	ね		
	_	_	だよ		
174	_	あんた	おるんだ	だよ	
			ろう?		
	<u></u>	_	だよ		
	<u> </u>	みんな	待っとる		
			んだ		
	<u> </u>	_	ない	われわれ	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おる	決められて	
				おる	
	<u> </u>	あんた	おるのだ ろう		
	<u> </u>	あんた	だろうなあ	気づいとら ん	
	<u> </u>	_	だよ	, 0	
	_	あんた	じゃない		
		0,707	からな		
			なる		
	<u> </u>		いいんだ		
			よ		
	<u> </u>	あんた	わかる		
	わし	α)/υ/c	おった	ね	
	470		おったん	40	
			だよ		
175	_	_	だった	妻(Macarthurs late wife)	
	わし	<u> </u>	おった	ĺ	
	わし	<u> </u>	だった	妻(
				Macarthurs late wife)	
	<u></u>	<u> </u>	だった	ĺ	

	わし	<u> </u>	おった	なんだよ
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	やったの	3.7.5 (2.5)
			だ	
	_	_	なる	
	_	<u> </u>	んだ	みんな
	わし	_	やった	リッチモン ド
	_	<u> </u>	ないな	
	_	<u> </u>	ものだ	
	_	<u> </u>	とはな	
	わし	<u> </u>	だったの	
			12	
	_	<u> </u>	なかった	
	_	_	なって	
	わし	<u> </u>	わからん	わからん
				Appears
		<u> </u>	しまった	twice
	_		しよつだ	
176			のだよ	
176	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のだよ わからん	
176	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のだよ わからん いなかっ	
176			のだよ わからん いなかっ たんじゃ	
176			のだよ わからん いなかっ たんじゃ なかろう	
176			のだよ わからん いなかっ たんじゃ なかろう か	1 70 1 70
176			のだよ わからん いなかっ たんじゃ なかろう か 行ってし	しかしね
176			のだよ わからん いなかっ たんじろう か てし まった	しかしね
176	わし		のだよ わからん いなかっ たんじろ か 行ってし まった	しかしね
176	ー わし ー わし		のだよ わからん いなかっ たんかろ か てった んだ なった	しかしね
176	っ わし っ わし	ー ー ー あんた	のだよ わからん いなかっ たんろう か 行ってた んだ なった だった だろうよ	しかしね
176	ー わし ー わし		のだよ わからん いないろ か たんかっった か てった んだっった なっろうっと わかっ	しかしね
176	っ わし っ わし	ー ー ー あんた	のだよ わからん いなからった かっった かっった んだっろうっ だっろうっ たわよ	しかしね
176	ー わし ー わし ー わし	ー ー ー あんた ー	のだよ わからん いないろ か たんかっった か てった んだっった なっろうっと わかっ	しかしね

Table 4.

Thomas Rogers, butler	46	_	_	ください ませ	お入り	Open
	47			なります	ん、オーエン	わけございませ さま
			お客さま がた	ございま した	ご希望、ご 案内、お指 図	
		<u> </u>	_	いたしま しょうか	お部屋、ご案内	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ございま す	お夕食	
	70	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	かしこま りました		Philip Lombard
	73	<u> </u>	マダム	いたします		Emily Brent
		わたくし	_	みましょ う		
	73-74	_	エセル	ないんだ よ		Ethel Rogers
	74	_	_	聞こえる か	大丈夫だ	
		_	_	しかりし ろ		
	75	_	_	ございま すよ		Ethel Rogers/Open
		わたくし	_	なんのっ て	0)	
		_	_	しまいま した	思わず、お 盆	
???			J	でございます	とんでもな い、でたら め	
		わたくし		でござい ます		Lawrence Wargrave
		<u> </u>	判事さま	のです、		
		_	_	かけたり ませんで した		
	76	わたくし	判事さま	でござい ます	お指図	
		_	_	でござい	オーエンさ	

				ます	ま	
		<u></u>	<u></u>	でした	ように	
???		<u></u>	<u></u>	ک الا	5) C	
: : :						
		わたくし		おりまし		
			加事をよ	た		
		_	判事さま	でござい		
				ます		
		_	<u> </u>	ございま		
				せん	_	
		わたくし	_		これっぽっ	
				んでした	ちも	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おりまし		
				た		
		1	Ţ		だとばかり	
	77	_	_	いただき	そうさせて~	
				ます		
	79	<u></u>	<u></u>	ございま	お屋敷	
				す		
		_	_	でござい		
				ます		
		わたくし	_		お目	
		•		んでした		
				ので		
		わたくし	_	_	<u> </u>	
		ども				
		わたくし	_	でござい		
		•		ます		
		_	_	,	雇われまし	
					た	
	79-80	_	<u> </u>	です		
	80	わたくし	<u></u>	でござい		
		ども		ますか		
		<u> </u>	判事さま	おきませ		
			111.05	んでした		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	さようで		
				ぎざいま		
				す		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	おりまし		
				た		
???				でした	ありました	
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	でござい	`	
				ます		
???					お毛糾 セタ	<u> </u> さまがた、お部
:::				マバンださ	わナ祇、わ各	でよかに、わ前

			ました	屋、お指図、	ように
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		オーエンさ	-
				ま、お手紙	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			、奥さま、いら
					、お客さま、な
				いように	
81	<u> </u>	_	ありまし	お夕食、よ	
			た	うにとの、	
				お指図	
	<u> </u>	_	ございま		
			す		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おります		
91	<u> </u>	_	です		
	<u> </u>	_	です	とんでもな	
			,	い、いがか	
				b	
	わたくし	_			
	ども				
	わたくし	<u> </u>	b		
97	<u>-</u>	_	でしょう	いただいて	Open
			か	も、よろし	1
				V	
	わたくし		出ました		
	<u></u>	<u></u>		ブレイディ	
				ーさま、お	
				名前	
98	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でござい		
			ます		
	わたくし	<u> </u>	いたしま	ブレイディー	さま、お世話、
				お亡くなりに	
	—	_	いらっし	ブレイディ	
			やいまし	ーさま、お	
			た	身体	
	わたくし	<u> </u>	です	お屋敷	
	ども				
	_	_	なりまし	ある夜	
			た		
	<u> </u>	_	のです	お加減	
	<u> </u>	_	いました		
	<u> </u>	_	できませ	お医者さま	
			ん	、お呼び	
	わたくし	_	まいりま		
			した		
	<u> </u>	_	遅すぎま	お医者さま	

				した		
		わたくし	<u> </u>	いたしま	できるかぎ	
		ども		した	b	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ブレイディ	
				す	ーさま、お	
				9	世話	
				はずです		だいて、おっし
				129 69		
		1.2.2.1		क्षेत्र है कि क	やってくださ	る
		わたくし		おられま	おっしゃっ	
	00	ども		せん	た方	0 1
	99	わたくし	<u> </u>	のです	ブレイディ	Open, but more
		ども			ーさま、お	towards William
					世話、くだ	Blore
					さった	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でしょう	ありません	
				カュ	か、	
	103	<u></u>	判事さま	おりませ		Lawrence
				ん		Wargrave
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でござい		
				ます		
		_	_	ございま	お言葉、よ	
					うですが	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でござい		
				ます		
		<u> </u>	_		フレッド・	
				, , -,	ナラコット	
		<u></u>	<u></u>	帰ります		
	111	わたくし	<u> </u>	•	いたしませ	Open
		1,5 /2 (0		0172	ん	- r
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	まいりま	. •	Edward
				しょう		Armstrong
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おります		5
		·	先生	いたしま		
			九 <u>土</u> 	いたしま す		
???						
7 7 7				いたしま		
	120		H- H- /	す		Edward
	128		先生(Edward
			Written			Armstrong
		<u> </u>	twice)	です	字内 (E45-1	
			先生	9	家内 (Ethel	
???		<u> </u>	<u></u>	覚ましま	Rogers)	
• • •						
			_	せん		
		<u> </u>		覚まさな		

			いんです		
	<u></u>	<u></u>	のです		
129	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	はー?	家内(Ethel	
12)			/	Rogers)	
		先生	でしょう	Rogers)	
			か		
		<u> </u>	ございま		
			した	いたせんよ	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですか	お医者さま	
129-	二人とも	_	ございま		
130			せん	、ご厄介	
130	<u> </u>	先生	ございま		
			せん		
	わたくし	<u> </u>	当たりま		
			せん		
	<u></u>	<u></u>	ございま		
			せんでし		
			た		
	_	_	ですか	おっしゃい	
			() ()	ませんと、	
	わたくし		ございま	26706	
	47/2 \ \		せんでし		
101			た		
131	_	_	のみませ		
			んでした		
	<u> </u>	先生		いただいた	
140	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いたしま	お持ち	Open
			しょうか		
	_	<u> </u>	でござい		Lawrence
			ます		Wargrave
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ございま		
			すが		
	<u></u>	<u></u>		フレッド・	
			か	ナラコット	
	_	_	おります		
			のに		
	<u> </u>	<u></u>	でござい		Philip Lombard
			ます		i miip Lomoaiu
1.4.1		仮出とよ			John Massethur
141	_	将軍さま	ございま		John Macarthur
1.4.4		tl. t!	す	1,=7	F1 1
144	<u> </u>	先生	でしょう	お話、ので	Edward
			カュ	す、よろし	Armstrong
		先生	お願いい	\ \	

				たします		
				でござい		
				ます	2 > 7 . 10	
		<u> </u>	<u></u>	ください	お入り	
	145	<u> </u>	先生	います。		
				0 0		
		わたくし	<u> </u>	わからな		
				くて		
		わたくし	先生(でしょう	どうかして	
			Written		いると、お	
			twice)		思い	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だと	じゃない、	
				,	なんでもな	
					\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
		<u></u>	生 . 生	はずです	v ·	
		_	先生			
		_	_	なくちゃ		
				いけませ		
				ん		
???		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですから	わけがわか	
					らないん	
		<u> </u>	先生	です		
		_	_	です		
		<u> </u>	_	ありまし		
				た		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ございま		
				せん		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	んです		
	146	<u> </u>	先生	でござい		
	140			ます		
		よった / 1				
		わたくし		ありませ		
		7 7 7 7		んでした		
		わたくし	<u> </u>	んです		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	かけませ		
				んでした		
		<u> </u>	先生	です		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	んです	お出しした	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おりまし		
				たから		
		わたくし	ご自分	ください	のでした	
					ら、ごらん	
					になって	
		<u></u>	先生!	ありませ	, - 5 / 5	
			/ / ·	6) 1 L		
		_		しか!		
				しい:		

	1			(m. (m) , 22	78 .	I
				ございま	じゃ	
				せんか		
				しか。。		
	104			0	4 2 2 3	D1 1
	184			ございま せん	申しわけ	Edward Armstrong(Thou gh both Blore and Lombard
		± 1\		III > 7= 2		present
		自分	_	思いまし て		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ございま す		
???		_	_		使わせてい ただいて、 かまわない ので	
		_	_	でござい ます		
		_	_	ございま す		
	192	<u> </u>	_	いただけ ますか	お気	Open
		_	_	おきまし た		
		<u> </u>		です		
	193		_	ございま す		Philip Lombard (Edward Armstrong/Willia m Blore)
		<u> </u>		と		
		_	_	おります		
		_	_	ございま す		
		<u> </u>	<u></u>	でしょう		
		<u> </u>			フレッド・ ナラコット	
			<u> </u>	かぎって		
	194	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なります		Emily Brent
	1 /4			かりより	りますか、 お待ち	Emmy Dient
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	みたいで	いらっしゃる	Vera Claythorne/Open
Added sentence	195	_	マダム	なさいま すか	なさいます か	Emily Brent

in Japanese vers						
	196	_	_	走ってき ます。。		Open
	197	<u> </u>		これは一		Vera Claythorne
???		_	_	めに。。		
	226	_	ブロアさま	でござい ましょう か		William Blore
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いました	判事さま、	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でしょう		
		わたくし	<u> </u>	のです		
		<u> </u>		でしょう か		
			ブロアさ ま、あな たさま	でしょう	おられる	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ますか		
_	227	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	本当に	ようです	
		_	_	わかりま せん		
		_	_	わかりま せん		
_		<u> </u>	<u></u>	のです		
		<u> </u>	_	ので。。		
	233	_	_	でしょう か	どなた、ご 存じ	Open
		_	1	のです		Philip Lombard/Open
			<u> </u>	消えまし た		
		<u> </u>	_	おりまし た		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のです		
	234	<u></u>	<u> </u>	ございま した		Lawrence Wargrave
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でござい ます		William Blore
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なりまし		

			た	
		1	わかりま した	
			した	
	<u> </u>	_	ございま	
			す	

Table 5.

Emily Brent	33	_	<u> </u>	ではお	Completely	Vera
???				言葉に	different	Claythorne
				甘えて	from the	
					original	
	34	-	_	本当に	ええ	Lawrence
						Wargrave
	41	_	_	だこと	とちっちゃ	Fred
					な	Narracot
	65	<u> </u>	_	休まり	ああいう音	Vera
				ますね		Claythorne
		-	_	んです		
				よ		
	65-66	<u> </u>	<u></u>	でしょ	お手伝いの	
				うね	人たち	
	66	<u></u>	<u> </u>	ですよ	オリウ"ア	
					ーさんの奥	
					さま	
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	ですも	執事の奥さ	
				のね		
			<u></u>	ですっ	ん オーエン	
					7-1-2	
			Jr . Zr . Zr	て?		
			あなた	ました		
				カ		
		わたし	<u> </u>	ありま		
				せんよ		
	77	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	問題は	そうですよ	
		_	_	なんで		
				すか		
	82	<u> </u>	_	かった	どうもおか	Lawrence
				んです	L	Wargrave/O
				よ		pen
		わたし	<u> </u>	んです		
		, , , _ 0		けれど		
				ね		
			<u> </u>	ないの		
				です		
				C 9		

	1	1	1		1	1
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ようで		
				した		
		わたし	<u> </u>	いまし		
				た		
	82-83	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですか		
				ら		
	83	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	絶対に	ありません	
					よ	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	取って	ええ	
				きまし		
				よう		
	102	わたし	<u> </u>	いるん	ではないか	
				ですか	と	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありま		
				せんよ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありま		
				せん		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありま	申し開きな	
				せん	んて	
		わたし	<u> </u>	います		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありま		
				せん		
	108	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	あるの		Open
				です		
	111	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	寝まし		
				よう		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	です		
	133	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	見えま		Vera
				したか		Claythorne/
						William
						Blore/Philip
		_	<u> </u>	ですね	あの執事	Lombard
Rather than us	 ing			んです	奥さん(Edward
Ethel is refered				かじゅ	奥さん (Refering to	Armstrong
woman in Swe				/J-1	Ethel	
English vers	JIJII WIIG				Rogers)	
	135	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですよ	,	Open
	135-136	<u> </u>	みなさ	なった	お聞き	
			ん	でしょ		
				う		
	136	<u> </u>	<u></u>	のです	夫婦(
				よ	Thomas and	
					Ethel	
					Rogers)	

1	I	1		1	1
		_	したっ		
	7 7 7		てー		
	わたし		思いま		
			すね	At 1 (71 1	
	_		ごらん	彼女(Ethel	
			になっ	Rogers)	
			たでし		
			よ		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	じゃあ		
			りませ		
			んか		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なかっ		
			た		
	<u> </u>	_	でしょ		
			うね		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですか	神の御業	
	_	_	おされ		William
			る		Blore/Open
	_	Ţ	のです	おっしゃる	
			カュ		
147	<u> </u>	_	けれど		Vera
			ね		Claythorne
147-148	_	_	だわね		
			え		
148	—	<u> </u>	います		
			よ		
	わたし	<u> </u>	ないん		
			ですよ		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ないわ	お話	
	<u> </u>	<u></u>	もね	ですよ	
	<u> </u>	_	ないわ		
			ね		
149	<u> </u>	_	かしら		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いるの		
	わたし	<u></u>	思いま		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		すね		
	<u> </u>	あなた	思うの		
	<u> </u>	w), & / C	ですよ	考えたって	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でしょ	奥さん(Refe	ring to Ethel
			?	Rogers)、ロシ	
	<u></u>		しゃべ	ロジャーズ	\
			った		
	<u></u>	<u></u>	ません		
			でした		
			()/		

			よ	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	思うわ	ええ
			ね	
	わたし	<u></u>	います	ね、
	47/20		よ	44
150	わが	<u> </u>	よい	Reciting a
130	42113		71,	sentence in
				the bible
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なんで	
			す	
	わが	<u></u>	よい	Reciting a sentence in the
	4-74			bible, same as previous
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですか	え
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	かしら	
	<u> </u>	<u></u>	ました	
			よ	
	<u> </u>	_	そうね	ロンバード
				さん
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いまし	370
			たね	
	<u> </u>		です	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
151				黒人、白人
151			ありま	お話
			したよ	Mular S.)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ね	判事さん
	<u> </u>	_	でしょ	あの方、ご自分(Refering
			1	to Lawrence Wargrave)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ね	
	わたし	<u> </u>	ですよ	
	わたし	<u> </u>	いませ	
			んでし	
			た	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ではあ	お話、
			りませ	
			んから	
	わたし	<u> </u>	ですけ	
			れどね	
	_	<u> </u>	ですよ	ベアとリス・テイラーと
				いう娘、とんでもない娘
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でした	
	<u> </u>	<u></u>	ました	娘
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ました	
			からね	
	_	_	いたの	
			です	
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

				+× +-		
		_	<u> </u>	だった		
		_	_	だった		
				んです		
				よ		
		_	<u> </u>	だわ	もってのほ	
					カュ	
	151-152	_	_	のは	でしたね、	
	101 102			, , ,	娘、ごもっ	
					ている	
	152			* n +	(1.2)	
	132			ありま		
				せんで		
				した		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いたん	娘の親	
				ですね		
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	しまし	娘	
				た		
		わが	_	できま		
		4211		せんよ	744	
		J- 7- 1				
		わたし	<u> </u>	じゃな		
				いです		
				か		
???		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おかし		
				た		
		_	_	ですよ		
		_	<u> </u>	ね	ええ	
		_	_	ました	お聞き	
	153	わたし	<u> </u>	が?	40 M	
	133	わたし	<u> </u>	いませ		
		42120				
				んよ		
		_		しでか		
				したこ		
				と		
		Ţ	<u> </u>	のです		
		_	<u> </u>	なかっ		
				たんで		
				すよ		
	193	_	_	ようで	お天気	Open
					40/50	open
			<u> </u>	すよ		
	104	<u> </u>		います		X 7
	194	_	あなた	じゃな		Vera
				いです		Claythorne
				よ		
		_	_	です	将軍	
	202	_	あなた	います	おっしゃる	Lawrence
		L	07:57	. 5/	1 7 2 2 2 1 0	

				ね	こと	Wargrave
		わたし	<u> </u>	のです	なんです	
		たち				
	206	わたし	<u> </u>	ですっ		
				てー		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	を?		
		わたし	<u> </u>	はずで		
				す		
		わたし	<u> </u>	ですか	おたがい	
		たち		らね		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でしょ	しかたのな	
				う	いこと	
		わたし	<u> </u>	のです		
		たち				
	210	_	<u> </u>	んです	おっしゃる	
				カュ		
???		<u> </u>	<u></u>	ですか	ごく当たり	
	212	<u> </u>	先生	んじゃ	ロジャーズ	
				ありま	の奥さん	
				せんか		
	216	わたし	<u> </u>	のぼり	クレイソー	Lawrence
				ました	ンさん	Wargrave
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いまし		
				たよ		
	217	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いまし	ええ	
				たから		
				ね		
		_	<u> </u>	のです		
		_	<u> </u>	ですよ		
	232		あなた	くださ		Vera
				いな		claythorne
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	んです		
				よ		
	233	_	<u> </u>	しまっ		
				てね		
		_	<u> </u>	休みま		Open
				す		_
	241	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありま		
				せんよ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でしょ		
				うね		
		わたし	ブロア	いまし	ね	William
			さん	たよ		Blore
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ロジャーズ	

					9	
					?	
		_	<u> </u>	いませ		
				んよ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですか		
	246	_	<u> </u>	ごくろ		Vera
				うさま		Claythorne
			<u> </u>	します	なるべく	
				よ	0.0	
				かしら	そうね	
		1		なりま	わかさない	
				せんか	4711-6121	
	2.52		7	らね		
	253	<u> </u>	ウ"ェラ	いるわ		
				よ		
		わたし	<u> </u>	います	ないように	
				からね		
	255	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	できま	すっかり	
				したね		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ましょ	じゃあ	
				う	,	
???	259	<u> </u>	<u></u>		あら、まあ	Open
		†	<u></u>	ごめん	0, 0, 0,	Lawrence
				なさい		Wargrave/O
				ね		pen
					71. Z.M.	1
		_	_	かしら	クレイソー	
					ンさん、お	
					手伝い	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ふらつ		
				いて		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いりま		Edward
				せん		Armstrong
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のです	なにも	
		<u></u>	<u></u>	います	おさめる	
			1	5 5	1 40 C 40 0	

Table 6.

Judge Lawrenc	33	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですな	うな天気	Emily Brent
e Wargrav e						
	34	<u> </u>	あなた	ですか	ご存じなん	
		わたし	- W	でして	あたり、と	
		1,2720		ね	んと不案内	
	41	<u> </u>	_	いるん	Worth to	Fred Narracot
				だぞ	comment	
					the	
	5.0			111.00.70	translation	F1 1 4 .
	56			出てま すよ		Edward Armstrong
		_	_	だね		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いない		
				のでね		
		_	_	なって	なんとも	
				いる		
???			_	ここは	だよ	
	57	_	あんた	知って		
				ますか		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なくてね	じゃないんだ	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	じょう	おまけ	
				がない		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	思って	ではないか	
				いたと	な	
				ころな		
				んだ		
	65	_	_	思えん		Open/Vera Claythorne
	73	_	あんた	思うの	じゃあ	Edward Armstrong
				か		
		<u> </u>	_	言えん		
				がね		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	調べな	そいつ	Anthony
				いとい		Marston/Open
				かんな		
		<u> </u>	<u>—</u>	か		Thomas Rogers
	75	<u> </u>	ロジャ	か		
			ーズ、			
			おまえ			
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	なかろ	おそらく	

				う		
		<u> </u>	ロジャ	説明し		
			ーズ	てもら		
				おう		
	76	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だ		
	70	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	説明し		
				でもら		
				おうか		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だった	オーエンさ	
				のか	\(\lambda\)	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	じゃな	70	
				いか		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	書いて		Philip Lombard
				声がた		Timip Lomoard
				のか		
	77			なけれ		Open
	' '			ばいけ		Орен
				ない		
		<u> </u>	ロジャ	だろう	奥さん	Thomas Rogers
			ロブヤーズ、	につり	· H H C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Thomas Rogers
			おまえ			
	79	<u> </u>	ロジャ	なけれ	さて	
	19		ログヤ	ばなら	0	
				ない		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なんだ	オーエンと	
				12101	いう	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	わかっ		
				ている		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	もらい		
				たいの		
				だ		
		_	<u> </u>	ないだ		
				ح ا		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	ことだ		
	80	<u></u>	<u> </u>	持って		
				いるか		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	続けて		
				くれ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だよ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	から	で	
	81	<u></u>	<u> </u>	あるん		
				だろう		
				ね		
		<u> </u>	_	からな	ふむ (
l	1	I	I	1	<u> </u>	

					Pondering/r eading)	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	あるな		
	82	<u> </u>	マース	ありが		Anthony Marston
			トンく	とう		
			ん			
		<u> </u>	_	気づい		
				たよ		
		<u> </u>	みなさ	思う		
			ん			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	どうだ		Open
				ろうか		
		<u> </u>	みんな	来た	われわれ	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	じゃな		
				いだろ		
				うか		
	83	<u> </u>	ブレン			Emily Brent
			トさん	います		
				カュ		
???		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ようだ		
				ぞ		
		<u> </u>	クレイ	でした		Vera Craythorne
			ソーン	?		
			さん、			
			あなた			
		<u> </u>	マース	は?		Anthony Marston
			トンく			
			ん、き			
			み			
		<u> </u>	アーム	ふうに		Edward Armstrong
			ストロ			
			ング先			
			生、あ			
			んた			
	84	_	_	なるほ		
				ど		
		_	_	ではな		
				いんだ		
				ね		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だな		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なるほ		
				どね		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いたん	お仲間	
				じゃな		

			いかな		
	<u></u>	<u> </u>	くれな		Philip Lombard
			いかー		Timp Zomouru
	<u></u>	ロンバ	じゃな		
		ードく	いか		
		<i>k</i>	V 73		
	<u></u>	<i>→</i>	ところ	われわれ	
			だ	42404240	
	<u> </u>	マッカ	でした		John Macarthur
		ーサー	か		John Macardia
		将軍、	/3		
		あなた			
85	_	ロンバ	は?		
		ードく	150		
		ん、き			
		み			
	<u></u>	<u>-</u>	おかれ	われわれ	Open
			ていま	, ,, , , ,	1
			す		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	した	われわれ	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	しまし	のちほど	
			よう		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありま		
			したね		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	あった		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いませ	われわれ、	
			ん	かぎり	
	_	<u> </u>	なかっ		
			た		
	_	デイヴ	もらい		William Blore
		ィスさ	ましょ		
		ん	うか		
86	<u></u>	きみ	なのか		
87	<u></u>	_	だね		
		<u> </u>	あった		
			のか		
	<u> </u>	きみ	ようだ		
			な		
	_	_	か		
	<u> </u>	ブレン	読めな		Open
		トさん	い		
	<u></u>	<u></u>	読める	まあまあ	
	<u></u>	<u></u>	だ		
	<u></u>	<u> </u>	なる	いいかね	

	88	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だろう		
Adding a s	sentence	<u></u>	<u></u>	だよ!		
to explain				7200.		
murderer i	S					
"Unknown	1"					
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だ		Vera Claythorne
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ようだ	われわれ	Open/Vera Claythorne
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	しれな		
				いな		
	89	_	<u> </u>	します	さて、では	Open
				カュ		
		わたし	<u> </u>	おこう		
		<u> </u>	<u></u>	なって		
				いる		
		わたし	<u> </u>	いない		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なんだ		
				な		
	89-	わたし	<u> </u>	いない		
	90					
	90	<u> </u>	みなさ	だ		
			ん			
		わたし	_	ですよ		
		<u> </u>	_	あがっ		
				てくる		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いる	われわれ、	
					われわれ	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いる		
		わたし	<u> </u>	いた		
		<u> </u>	アーム	いた		
			ストロ			
			ング先			
			生			
		<u> </u>	マース	いた	あだな	
			トンく			
			ん			
		<u></u>	ブレン	いた		
			トさん	'-		
		<u></u>	マッカ	いた		
			ーサー	' '		
			将軍			
		<u></u>	一	いる	われわれ	
		<u></u>	<u></u>	いるよ	われわれ	
				うだ	4/4/4/4/	
) / <u> </u>		

	91	わたし	I	もらい		
		47/20		たい		
		わたし	_	いる	われわれ	
		わたし	_	現われ	12401240	
		N>7C 0		た		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	だった		
		<u> </u>	<u></u>	すぐれ		
				ていた		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	与えた		
		_	_	ようが		
				ない		
	91-	わたし	_	なった		
	92					
	92	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	した		
		わたし	_	だった		
				んです		
				よ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	した		
		_	_	執行さ		
			7 7 (2	れた		
		<u> </u>	みなさ	申し上		
		3-2-1	ん	げたい		
		わたし		いない		
	93			です		Edward Amastrons
	93			知りま		Edward Armstrong
				せんで したよ		
	96	<u> </u>		かね		Anthony Marston
	70	<u> </u>	きみ	かね		7 Milliony Warston
	99	_	—	いるぞ		William Blore
		わたし	_	いる		1010
		~>/C U	あんた	なった		
		<u> </u>	あんた	だった		
				ね		
		<u> </u>	<u></u>	死んだ		
		_	_	だった		
	100	_	あんた	だろう		
				ね		
***	102	<u> </u>	ブレン	ないん		Emily Brent
			トさん	ですか		
		_	_	おきま	申し開き	
				すか		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	しおう	では	Open
	103	<u> </u>	ロジャ	いるの	われわれ、	Thomas Rogers

			ーズ	か	おまえたち	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	たしか		
				カュ		
		<u> </u>	_	のか	われわれ	Open
		<u> </u>	_	しない		
		<u> </u>		思えな		
				V)		
		_	_	しれな		
				い		
		わたし	<u> </u>	思う		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ではな		
				いです		
				カュ		
		_	_	ないの		Thomas Rogers
				カュ		
	104	_	_	いるん	では	
				だ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	思う	それでは、	Open
					よかろう	
		わたし		なんと	ありがたく	Anthony Marston
	100			もない		
	108	<u> </u>	マース	いたの		Edward Armstrong
			トンく	かね		
	124		ん	2 2 2		
	134	<u> </u>		ではな		
				いな		
	125		<u> </u>	は?		
***	135	1.2.1	<u>—</u>	かな		E
ate ate ate	137	わたし	ブレン	もらい		Emily Brent
			トさん	ますよ	do la do la	
				おられ	われわれ	
				る けわし		
				りわし		
				のです		
				よ		
	140	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	くるん		Thomas Rogers
	1-70			だるん		Thomas Rogors
	194	<u> </u>	きみた	じゃな		Open / Partly directed
			5	いか		towards William
				V 13		Blore, Edward
						Armstrong and Philip
						Lombard
	198	<u> </u>	先生	でした		Edward Armstrong

				カュ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のかな		
	199			いない		
	199					
				のだな		0
		<u> </u>	\	したな		Open
		わたし	きみたち	いた		William Blore, Edward Armstrong and Philip Lombard
		<u> </u>	きみたち	なかっ た		•
			_	いたん だろう ?		
		わたし	きみたち	だな	わけ	
			<u> </u>	ない		
	199— 200	_	_	ようだ な	われわれ	
	200	_	<u> </u>	ないだ ろう		William Blore
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	変わり はない		
		_	<u> </u>	ことだ	われわれ	Open
		<u> </u>	きみ	とおりだ		Edward Armstrong
		わたし		した		
		<u> </u>	きみたち	よかっ たのだ が		William Blore, Edward Armstrong and Philip Lombard
		わたし	_	ないね		
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	に		
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	だ		Open
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ない		•
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ない		
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	だ	われわれ	
	201	<u></u>	お嬢さ	じゃな	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Vera Claythorne
			h	いでしょう		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いる		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いる	われわれ	Open
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ない	47404740	open —
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だ		
			<u> </u>	った		
???		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	- 1	残るは、わ	
: : :				1	1X214, 17	

				れわれ七人	
	_	_	かな		
	わたし	<u> </u>	だろう		
			カ		
202		<u></u>	あおう		William Blore
	わたし	<u> </u>	させた		
			V		
	<u> </u>	ロンバ	だ		Philip Lombard
		ードく			
		ん、き			
		み			
		<u> </u>	ないか		Open
	_	<u> </u>	あるか		
		ブロア	あるよ		William Blore
		くん、	うだな		
		きみ			
203	_	みんな	ある	われわれ	Open/William Blore
	1		ないね		
	1	みなさ	いない		Open
		ん	ようだ		
	わたし	—	思う	われわれ	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だろう	われわれ	
			か		
204	わたし	<u> </u>	だ!		Edward Armstrong
	_	先生	でしょ		
			う		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ある	きたすこと	
	_	<u> </u>	こと		
	_	_	そうだ		Edward Armstrong
			!		and William Blore
207		<u></u>	のかな		Philip Lombard
205	_	先生	じゃな		Edward Armstrong
			いだろ		
			うか		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のだね		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いる		Open
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	できる		
	_	_	あるま い		
206	<u> </u>	お嬢さ	もらい		Vera Claythorne
200		んり	もらいたい		v Ga Ciayuloille
	わたし	あんた	ではな		
	N-1C U	<i>W</i> 7707C	V)		
	わたし	ブレン	なさら		Emily Brent
	1 4-10	/ / /	ないり	<u> </u>	

		トさ	ないで		
		ん、あ	しょう		
		なた	な		
	_	<u> </u>	だな	では	Open
	_	<u> </u>	できな		
			V		
207	_	<u> </u>	どうし	ロジャーズ	Philip Lombard
			たね		
	_	<u> </u>	は?		
	わたし	<u> </u>	いた		
	_	<u> </u>	なった		
			ぞ		
	_	きみ	いるね		
	_	<u> </u>	わから	われわれ	
			ない		
207-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	しれな	われわれ	
208			いだろ		
			う		
208	_	_	しれな		
			V		
	わたし	<u> </u>	だよ		

Table 7.

Vera	33	わたし	<u> </u>	ましょう		Open
Claythorne , Secretary						
		<u> </u>	みなさん	いらして	お先に	
				ください		
		<u> </u>	_	いいます		Philip
						Lombard
*	34	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	よ		Taxi
						Driver
	35	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですけれ	お天気	Philip
				ど		Lombard
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なんです		
				よ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いません		
		わたし	<u> </u>	です	オーエンさ	
					ま、奥さま	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ないでし		
				よ		
	35-36	_	_	んです	奥さま	
	36	_	_	ほしいっ		

				て		
		わたり	<u> </u>	来て		
		わたし		ですから		
	<u> </u>	_	_			
				だけの		
	-	わたし	<u> </u>	なんです		
				ないんで すよ		
		-	<u> </u>	でしょ		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	なんです		
				かかってよ		
			_	なんです		
				か	~_\!= \\	
		_	_	でしょう	ご夫婦、らっ	
				ねえ	しゃるん	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ですか	ご夫婦って、	
				2.25.6	方たち	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ください		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ました		
		<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	ですね		
	37	-	<u> </u>		ぜんぜん	
		わたくし	_	おります	オーエンさ ま、奥さま	John Macarthur
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	おります		
				ので		
		_	_	おっしゃ	ロンバードさ	
				います	ん	
	38	_	_	ですね		
		<u> </u>	_	ようだわ		
	39	_	_	んですね		John Macarthur and Philip Lombard
	48	_	_	ようだわ		Ethel Rogers
		わたし	<u> </u>	です	オーエンさ ま、奥さま	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	でしょう けど	ご存じ	
	49	わたし	<u> </u>	んですか	奥さま、おっ しゃらなかっ た	
		_	_	は?		
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	ないの?		
	50	<u></u>	<u></u>	でしょう		
<u> </u>	1	ı	1		ı	i

			ね		
64	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	もしかし		Anthony
			7		Marston/O
					pen
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のかしら		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	おもしろい!	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありませ	じゃ	
			んか		
	わたし	<u> </u>	んですよ		
65	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありませ	アイデア	
			んか		
	わたし	<u> </u>	です		Emily Brent
	<u> </u>	_	でしょう		
			ね、ここ		
			は		
66	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ありませ	お手伝いさ	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	思います	ん、んじゃオーエンさ	
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ま、奥さま、	
				ラッキー	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ええ		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	たしかー		
70	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だったの		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	かしら		
	<u> </u>	_	なんだか		
			<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>	1	まるでー		
71	<u> </u>	_	のかしら		Open
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	いたい、		
			誰		
	<u> </u>	1	わよ	じゃなかった	
72	<u> </u>	_	とめて!		Philip
			(Lombard
			Repeated		
			twice)		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	を!		
88	_	_	ことって		Lawrence Wargrave
91	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ないわ!		
93	<u> </u>	_	ください		Open
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	あの子		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	のこと		
	わたし	<u> </u>	でした		
	<u> </u>	_	いたんで		

	Г	1		1 .	T	1
				す		
		わたし	_	だしまし		
				た		
		わたし	_	ました		
		_	_	ませんで		
				した		
		<u></u>	<u></u>	そうでし		
				た		
		わたし	<u> </u>	ありませ	では	
		, , _ ,		\[\lambda \]		
		わたし	<u> </u>	くれまし		
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		た		
	93-94	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	くれたん	お母さん	
	75 74			です	4014070	
???	94	わたし	<u></u>	思ったの		
• • •		42720		に、な		
				ぜ。。。		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ないんで		
				しょう	+) + h	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	だわー	あんまり	
		-	<u> </u>	です	あんまり	71.11
	95	<u> </u>		ですか	死なせたん	Philip Lombard
	108	<u> </u>	_	のですか		Edward
						Armstrong
	109	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	考えられ		Open
				ない		
		<u> </u>	_	いたのに		
		_	<u> </u>	ありませ	じゃ	
				んか!		
	132	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	んです		Open
	148	_	<u> </u>	ないかし		Emily
				6		Brent
		わたし(<u> </u>	ありませ		
		Written		λ		
		twice)				
1		, ,		•		